arXiv:1211.3508v2 [math.RA] 23 Nov 2012

WITT RING ATTACHED TO A POLYNOMIAL AND ITS SPECIALIZATIONS

YOUNG-TAK OH

Abstract. Given any polynomial $g(q) \in \mathbb{Z}[q]$, we construct a functor $\overline{\mathsf{W}}^{g(q)}$ from the category of $\mathbb{Z}[q]$-algebras to that of commutative rings. When $g(q) = q$ and q is specialized into an integer m, it produces a functor W^m from the category of commutative rings with unity to that of commutative rings. In particular, at $m = 0$, it coincides with the big Witt ring functor $\mathbb{W}$ due to Cartier under a suitable adjustment of the range. In order to demonstrate the structure of $\overline{\mathsf{W}}^{g(q)}$ and W^m, we also introduce functors such as $\overline{\Lambda}^{g(q)}, \overline{\mathsf{B}}^{g(q)}, \Lambda^m, \mathsf{B}^m$ $(m \in \mathbb{Z})$. Here Λ^0 and B^0 have close connection to the λ-ring functor Λ due to Grothendieck and the necklace ring functor Nr due to Metropolis and Rota, respectively. Functorial and structural properties concerned with induction, restriction, classification up to strict isomorphism and unitalness will be investigated intensively.

Contents

1. Introduction 2
2. Preliminaries 4
2.1. Pre-λ-rings, λ-rings, and Ψ-rings 4
2.2. Categories and forgetful functors 5
2.3. Witt ring functor and necklace ring functor 6
3. q-deformation of Witt rings and its specializations 9
3.1. q-Deformation of Witt rings 10
3.2. Specializing q into integers 14
3.3. Classification theorems 16
4. q-Deformation of Grothendieck's Λ-functor 21
4.1. q-Deformation of Grothendieck's Λ-functor and its specializations 21
4.2. Symmetric functions arising in the context of our q-deformed Witt rings. 24
4.3. Connection between $\overline{\mathsf{W}}^{q(q)}$ and $\overline{\Lambda}^{g(q)}$ 28
5. Necklace rings 30
5.1. q-Deformation of necklace rings 30
5.2. Necklace ring attached to an integer 33
5.3. Classification theorems 34
5.4. Structure constants of B^m and $\overline{\mathsf{B}}^{g(q)}$ 38
6. Functorial and structural properties 43
6.1. Induction 43
6.2. Restriction 46
6.3. Unitalness theorems 49
7. Relationship between Witt rings and necklace rings 51
7.1. Connection between $\overline{\mathsf{W}}^{g(q)}$ and $\overline{\mathsf{B}}^{g(q)}$ 51
7.2. Connection between W^m and B^m 56
References 59

1. Introduction

The big Witt ring functor $\mathbb{W}$ of Cartier [2] was introduced as a generalization of the classical p-typical Witt ring functor $\mathbb{W}_p$ of Teichmüller and Witt [20]. Since its birth, it had remained as a mysterious object for a long time due to its bizarre structure. For instance, even their value at the ring of integers had been veiled until the beginning of nineteen-eighties. The necklace ring was contrived by Metropolis and Rota [12] in their study of Witt vectors as a combinatorial model of the big Witt ring over a certain class of rings. To be precise, given a commutative ring A with unity, they defined a commutative ring structure on the set of infinite sequences, that is $A^{\mathbb{N}}$, so that the addition is defined componentwise and the multiplication is defined in the following way:

$$(a_n)_{n\in\mathbb{N}} \cdot (b_n)_{n\in\mathbb{N}} = (c_n)_{n\in\mathbb{N}}, \text{ where } c_n = \sum_{[i,j]=n} (i,j) a_j b_j.$$

Here $[i,j]$ denotes the least common multiple of i and j, (i,j) the greatest common divisor of i and j, and $\mathbb{N}$ the set of positive integers, respectively. The ring thus defined is called *the necklace ring over* A and is usually denoted by $Nr(A)$. Going further, they proved that $Nr(\mathbb{Z})$ is isomorphic to $\mathbb{W}(\mathbb{Z})$ by using the combinatorics of necklace polynomials.

In their seminal papers [3, 4], Dress and Siebeneicher interpreted $Nr(\mathbb{Z})$ as the Burnside ring of the profinite completion of the multiplicative infinite cyclic group C, which led them to construct the Witt-Burnside ring functor $\mathbb{W}_G$ attached to each profinite group G such that

$$(1)\ \mathbb{W}_{\hat{C}} = \mathbb{W}, \quad (2)\ \mathbb{W}_{\hat{C}_p} = \mathbb{W}_p, \quad \text{and } (3)\ \mathbb{W}_G(\mathbb{Z}) \cong \hat{\Omega}(G).$$

Here $\hat{C}$ denotes the profinite completion of C, $\hat{C}_p$ the pro-p-completion of C, and $\hat{\Omega}(G)$ the Burnside ring of G, that is, the Grothendieck ring of those discrete G-spaces X for which the cardinality of U-invariant elements in X is finite for every open subgroup U of G.

Regarding to the structure of the Witt-Burnside ring over a λ-ring, a notable result was published in [13, 14], where the author constructed a functor, denoted by $Nr_G : \lambda\text{-}\mathsf{Rings} \to \mathsf{Rings}$, such that

$$\mathbb{W}_G \circ F^{\lambda\text{-}\mathsf{Rings}}_{\mathsf{Rings}} \cong Nr_G.$$

Here Rings denotes the category of commutative rings with unity, λ-Rings the category of λ-rings, and $F^{\lambda\text{-}\mathsf{Rings}}_{\mathsf{Rings}}$ the forgetful functor assigning to each λ-ring R its underlying ring R.

The present paper concerns the generalization of $\mathbb{W}_G$ and Nr_G. In [15], it was shown that for each integer m there exist functors $\mathbb{W}^m, Nr^m_G : \mathsf{Rings} \to \mathsf{RINGS}$ such that

$$\mathbb{W}^1 = \iota^{\mathsf{Rings}}_{\mathsf{RINGS}} \circ \mathbb{W} \quad \text{and} \quad Nr^1_G = \iota^{\mathsf{Rings}}_{\mathsf{RINGS}} \circ Nr_G.$$

Here RINGS denotes the category of commutative rings and $\iota^{\mathsf{Rings}}_{\mathsf{RINGS}}$ the natural injection from Rings to RINGS. Very recently, in [17], Nr^m_G was extended to a functor $B^m_G : \Psi\text{-}\mathsf{Rings} \to \mathsf{RINGS}$ for each integer m with

$$Nr^1_G \cong B^1_G|_{\lambda\text{-}\mathsf{Rings}}.$$

For undefined notations, see Section 2.2.

The main objective of this paper is to extend the theory concerned with $\mathbb{W}$ and $B^1_{\hat{C}}$. First, we construct a functor $\overline{\mathsf{W}}^{g(q)} : \mathbb{Z}[q]\text{-}\mathsf{Algebras} \to \mathsf{RINGS}$ attached to each polynomial

---

This research was supported by Basic Science Research Program through the National Research Foundation of Korea(NRF) funded by the Ministry of Education, Science and Technology (2012R1A1A2001635).

2010 MSC :13F35 (primary), 19A22, 20E18 (secondary)

Keywords: Witt vector, Witt ring, necklace ring, λ-ring, Adams operation, symmetric function.

$g(q) \in \mathbb{Z}[q]$. When $g(q) = q$ and q is specialized into an integer m, it gives a rise to a functor $\mathsf{W}^m : \mathsf{Rings} \to \mathsf{RINGS}$. This process can be illustrated well in the following diagram.

$$\begin{tikzcd} \overline{\mathsf{W}}^q : \mathbb{Z}[q]\text{-}\mathsf{Algebras} \to \mathsf{RINGS} \arrow[d, "q\mapsto m"] \\ \mathsf{W}^m : \mathsf{Rings} \to \mathsf{RINGS} \end{tikzcd}$$

At $m = 0$, it coincides with $\mathbb{W}$ when it is viewed as a functor from Rings into itself. On the other hand, in the context of Witt vectors, it is well known that

$$\mathbb{W} \cong F^{\lambda\text{-}\mathsf{Rings}}_{\mathsf{Rings}} \circ \Lambda,$$

where Λ denotes the λ-ring functor due to Grothendieck (see Section 2.3). Motivated by this fact, for each polynomial $g(q) \in \mathbb{Z}[q]$, we construct a functor $\overline{\Lambda}^{g(q)}$ such that

$$(1)\ \overline{\Lambda}^{g(q)} \cong \overline{\mathsf{W}}^{g(q)}, \quad (2)\ \Lambda^m \cong \mathsf{W}^m\ (m \in \mathbb{Z}), \quad (3)\ \Lambda^0 = F^{\lambda\text{-}\mathsf{Rings}}_{\mathsf{RINGS}} \circ \Lambda.$$

Here Λ^m denotes the functor obtained from Λ^q by specializing q into an integer m.

Second, as a generalization of $B^1_{\hat{C}}$, we construct a functor

$$\overline{\mathsf{B}}^{g(q)} : \mathbb{Z}[q] \otimes \Psi\text{-}\mathsf{Rings} \to \mathsf{RINGS},$$

where $\mathbb{Z}[q] \otimes \Psi\text{-}\mathsf{Rings}$ is the category of $\mathbb{Z}[q]$-algebras equipped with a Ψ-ring structure with $\Psi^n(q) = q^n$ for all $n \geq 1$. The morphisms are $\mathbb{Z}[q]$-algebra homomorphisms compatible with Ψ-operations. We also introduce $\mathsf{B}^m : \Psi\text{-}\mathsf{Rings} \to \mathsf{RINGS}$ for each integer m. It should be noted that it cannot be obtained from $\overline{\mathsf{B}}^q$ by specializing q into m. Then one easily sees that $\mathsf{B}^0 \cong B^1_{\hat{C}}$. These functors have close connection to $\overline{\mathsf{W}}^{g(q)}$ and W^m. Indeed we show that

$$\overline{\mathsf{W}}^{g(q)} \circ F^{\mathbb{Z}[q] \otimes \lambda\text{-}\mathsf{Rings}}_{\mathsf{Rings}} \cong \overline{\mathsf{B}}^{g(q)}|_{\mathbb{Z}[q] \otimes \lambda\text{-}\mathsf{Rings}} \quad \text{for all } g(q) \in \mathbb{Z}[q] \text{ and}$$
$$\mathsf{W}^m \circ F^{\lambda\text{-}\mathsf{Rings}}_{\mathsf{Rings}} \cong \mathsf{B}^m|_{\lambda\text{-}\mathsf{Rings}} \quad \text{for all } m \in \mathbb{Z}.$$

Here $\mathbb{Z}[q] \otimes \lambda\text{-}\mathsf{Rings}$ is the subcategory of $\mathbb{Z}[q] \otimes \Psi\text{-}\mathsf{Rings}$ such that the objects are $\mathbb{Z}[q]$-algebras equipped with the λ-ring structure with $\psi^n = \Psi^n$ for all $n \geq 1$ and the morphisms are $\mathbb{Z}[q]$-algebra homomorphisms preserving λ-operations.

This paper is organized as follows. In Section 2, we introduce prerequisites necessary to develop our arguments. In Section 3, we construct $\overline{\mathsf{W}}^{g(q)}$ for each $g(q) \in \mathbb{Z}[q]$ and W^m for each integer $m \in \mathbb{Z}$. We also classify $\overline{\mathsf{W}}^{g(q)}$ and $F^{\mathsf{RINGS}}_{\mathsf{Abel}} \circ \overline{\mathsf{W}}^{g(q)}$ up to strict-isomorphism as $g(q)$ ranges over $\mathbb{Z}[q]$. The classification of W^m and $F^{\mathsf{RINGS}}_{\mathsf{Abel}} \circ \mathsf{W}^m$ up to strict-isomorphism will also be provided as m ranges over the set of integers. In Section 4, we provide functors $\overline{\Lambda}^{g(q)}$ and Λ^m which are equivalent to $\overline{\mathsf{W}}^{g(q)}$ and W^m, respectively. Some new bases of $\mathbb{Q}[q] \otimes \Lambda_{\mathbb{Z}}$ and $\mathbb{Z}[q, \frac{1}{1-q}] \otimes \Lambda_{\mathbb{Z}}$ will be also given, where $\Lambda_{\mathbb{Z}}$ is the ring of symmetric functions in infinitely many variables with integer coefficients. In Section 5, we construct $\overline{\mathsf{B}}^{g(q)}$ and B^m and classify them up to strict-isomorphism. Moreover, in Sec 5.4, structure constants of $\overline{\mathsf{B}}^{g(q)}$ and B^m will be intensively investigated. These are particularly interesting due to their connection to *Möbius-like functions.* In Section 6, we study functorial and structural properties of the functors introduced in the previous sections. To be more precise, we deal with induction, restriction, and the conditions for the unitalness. In Section 7, we demonstrate the connection between $\overline{\mathsf{W}}^{g(q)}$ and $\overline{\mathsf{B}}^{g(q)}$ and that of W^m and B^m. As an application, we give a ring theoretical interpretation of the product identities recently introduced by Kim and Lee [9] (see Remark 7.2).

2. Preliminaries

Here we introduce definitions, terms, and notations which will be required to develop our arguments.

2.1. Pre-λ-rings, λ-rings, and Ψ-rings.

For the clarity we will use the character R for pre-λ-rings, λ-rings, and Ψ-rings. On the other hand, the character A will be used to denote a commutative ring.

2.1.1. A *pre-λ-ring* R is a commutative ring with unity, 1_R (or simply 1), together with a series of operations $\lambda^n : R \to R$, $(n = 0, 1, 2, \ldots)$, such that, for all $x, y \in R$,

$$(1)\ \lambda^0(x) = 1_R, \quad (2)\ \lambda^1(x) = x, \quad (3)\ \lambda^n(x+y) = \sum_{r=0}^{n} \lambda^r(x)\lambda^{n-r}(y).$$

Let R and R' be pre-λ-rings. A ring homomorphism $f : R \to R'$ with $f(1_R) = 1_{R'}$ is called a *pre-λ-ring homomorphism* if $\lambda^n(f(x)) = f(\lambda^n(x))$ for all $x \in R$ and all $n \geq 0$. Given an element $x \in R$, we define $\lambda_t(x)$ to be the formal power series $\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \lambda^n(x)t^n$, where t is an indeterminate. The third condition in the above implies $\lambda_t(x+y) = \lambda_t(x)\lambda_t(y)$ for all $x, y \in R$.

Given a positive integer n, we define the *nth Adams operation,* $\psi^n : R \to R$, so that $(-1)^{n-1}\psi^n(x)$ is the coefficient of $\frac{d}{dt}\log\lambda_t(x)$, that is,

$$\frac{d}{dt}\log\lambda_t(x) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty}(-1)^{n-1}\psi^n(x)t^{n-1}, \quad \forall x \in R. \tag{2.1}$$

It is easy to show that ψ^1 is the identity function and ψ^n is additive for all $n \geq 1$. Comparing the coefficient of either side of Eq.(2.1) yields the well known *Newton's formula*:

$$\sum_{i=0}^{n-1}(-1)^i\psi^{n-i}(x)\lambda^i(x) + (-1)^n n\lambda^n(x) = 0, \quad \forall\, x \in R, \forall\, n \geq 1.$$

Utilizing this formula repeatedly, one can deduce that $\psi^n(x)$ is a polynomial in $\lambda^i(x)$ $(1 \leq i \leq n)$ with integer coefficients. To be more precise, for each positive integer n, there exists the unique polynomial $\mathcal{Q}_n \in \mathbb{Z}[t_1, \ldots, t_n]$ with $\psi^n(x) = \mathcal{Q}_n(\lambda^1(x), \lambda^2(x), \ldots, \lambda^n(x))$. Hence every pre-$\lambda$-ring homomorphism preserves Adams operations.

2.1.2. Naively speaking, a λ-ring R is a pre-λ-ring with very special properties for $\lambda^n(xy)$ and $\lambda^n(\lambda^m(x))$ for all $x, y \in R$ and $m, n \geq 1$. For more information on λ-rings, refer to [1, 7, 8, 10, 13, 14, 21].

Let $X = \{x_i : i \geq 1\}$ and $Y = \{y_i : i \geq 1\}$ be the infinite sets of commuting indeterminates x_i and y_i $(i \geq 1)$, respectively. We call these sets *alphabets.* Let us introduce the following operations on alphabets:

$$\begin{aligned}
&X + Y = \{x_i, y_i : i \geq 1\},\\
&X \cdot Y = \{x_i y_j : i, j \geq 1\}, \text{ and}\\
&\Lambda^m(X) = \{x_{i_1} \cdots x_{i_m} : i_1 < i_2 < \cdots < i_m\} \quad (m \geq 1).
\end{aligned}$$

Let $h_n(X)$ be the nth complete symmetric function in x_i $(i \geq 1)$ and $H(X, t)$ the associated generating function, that is,

$$H(X,t) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} h_n(X)t^n = \prod_{i=1}^{\infty}\frac{1}{1 - x_i t}.$$

Lemma 2.1. ([1]) *With the above notation, we have the following.*

(a) *For each positive integer* n, $h_n(XY) \in \mathbb{Z}[h_1(X), \dots, h_n(X); h_1(Y), \dots, h_n(Y)]$.

(b) *For all positive integers* m *and* n, $h_n(\Lambda^m(X)) \in \mathbb{Z}[h_i(X) : 1 \le i \le mn]$.

Let $P_n \in \mathbb{Z}[s_1, \dots, s_n; t_1, \dots, t_n]$ be the unique polynomial with

$$P_n(h_1(X), \dots, h_n(X); h_1(Y), \dots, h_n(Y)) = h_n(XY)$$

and $P_{n,m} \in \mathbb{Z}[s_i : 1 \le i \le mn]$ the unique polynomial with

$$P_{n,m}(h_1(X), \dots, h_{mn}(X)) = h_n(\Lambda^m(X)).$$

Definition 2.2. *A pre-λ-ring R is called a λ-ring if the following conditions are satisfied:*

1. $\lambda_t(1_R) = 1_R + t$.
2. *For all* $x, y \in R$ *and* $n \ge 0$, $\lambda^n(xy) = P_n(\lambda^1(x), \dots, \lambda^n(x); \lambda^1(y), \dots, \lambda^n(y))$.
3. *For all* $x \in R$ *and* $m, n \ge 0$, $\lambda^n(\lambda^m(x)) = P_{n,m}(\lambda^1(x), \dots, \lambda^{nm}(x))$.

2.1.3. A Ψ-*ring* R is a commutative ring with unity together with a series of operations $\Psi^n : R \to R$ $(n \ge 1)$ such that, for all $x, y \in R$ and $m, n \ge 1$,

1. $\Psi^1(x) = x$,
2. $\Psi^n(x + y) = \Psi^n(x) + \Psi^n(y)$,
3. $\Psi^n(1_R) = 1_R$,
4. $\Psi^n(xy) = \Psi^n(x)\Psi^n(y)$, and
5. $\Psi^n(\Psi^m(x)) = \Psi^{nm}(x)$.

Let R, R' be Ψ-rings. A ring homomorphism $f : R \to R'$ with $f(1_R) = 1_{R'}$ is called a Ψ-*ring homomorphism* if $\Psi^n(f(x)) = f(\Psi^n(x))$ for all $x \in R$ and all $n \ge 1$.

Example 2.3. (a) Every commutative ring with unity admits the structure of a Ψ-ring with $\Psi^n = \mathrm{id}$ for all $n \ge 1$.

(b) Every λ-ring admits the structure of a Ψ-ring where Ψ^n is given by the nth Adams operation for all $n \ge 1$.

It is quite interesting to note that Ψ-operations can be interpreted as Adams operations of a λ-ring in some cases.

Proposition 2.4. ([20]) *Let R be a Ψ-ring which has no $\mathbb{Z}$-torsion and such that $\Psi^p(a) = a^p \pmod{pR}$ if p is a prime. Then there is the unique λ-ring structure on R such that the Ψ^n $(n \ge 1)$ are the associated Adams operations.*

2.2. **Categories and forgetful functors.** In the present paper, we deal with many kinds of ring categories and forgetful functors. Here we collect all of them for the understanding of the readers.

1. Let Abel be the category of abelian groups and group homomorphisms.
2. Let RINGS be the category of commutative rings (not necessarily unital) and ring homomorphisms.
3. Let Rings be the category of commutative rings with unity and unity-preserving ring homomorphisms.
4. Let $\mathbb{Z}[q]$-Algebras be the category of $\mathbb{Z}[q]$-algebras with unity and unity-preserving $\mathbb{Z}[q]$-algebra homomorphisms. Here q is an indeterminate.
5. Let Pre-λ-Rings be the category of pre-λ-rings and pre-λ-ring homomorphisms.
6. Let λ-Rings be the category of λ-rings and pre-λ-ring homomorphisms.
7. Let Ψ-Rings be the category of Ψ-rings and Ψ-ring homomorphisms.

(8) Let $\mathbb{Z}[q] \otimes \Psi$-$\mathsf{Rings}$ be the category of $\mathbb{Z}[q]$-algebras that are equipped with a Ψ-ring structure with $\Psi^n(q) = q^n$ for all $n \geq 1$. The morphisms are $\mathbb{Z}[q]$-algebra homomorphisms compatible with Ψ-operations.
(9) Let $\mathbb{Z}[q] \otimes \lambda$-$\mathsf{Rings}$ be the subcategory of $\mathbb{Z}[q] \otimes \Psi$-$\mathsf{Rings}$ such that the objects are $\mathbb{Z}[q]$-algebras equipped with the λ-ring structure with $\psi^n = \Psi^n$ for all $n \geq 1$ and the morphisms are $\mathbb{Z}[q]$-algebra homomorphisms preserving λ-operations.

Let $F^{\mathcal{C}_1}_{\mathcal{C}_2} : \mathcal{C}_1 \to \mathcal{C}_2$ be the forgetful functor assigning to each object R in $\mathcal{C}_1$ the object R in $\mathcal{C}_2$. For instance, we will use the following forgetful functors.

(1) Let $F^{\lambda\text{-}\mathsf{Rings}}_{\mathsf{Rings}} : \lambda$-$\mathsf{Rings} \to \mathsf{Rings}$ be the forgetful functor assigning to each λ-ring R its underlying ring R.
(2) Let $F^{\Psi\text{-}\mathsf{Rings}}_{\mathsf{Rings}} : \Psi$-$\mathsf{Rings} \to \mathsf{Rings}$ be the forgetful functor assigning to each Ψ-ring R its underlying ring R.
(3) Let $F^{\mathsf{RINGS}}_{\mathsf{Abel}} : \mathsf{RINGS} \to \mathsf{Abel}$ be the forgetful functor assigning to each commutative ring A its underlying abelian group A.
(4) Let $F^{\mathbb{Z}[q]\text{-}\mathsf{Algebras}}_{\mathsf{Rings}} : \mathbb{Z}[q]$-$\mathsf{Algebras} \to \mathsf{RINGS}$ be the forgetful functor assigning to each $\mathbb{Z}[q]$-algebra A its underlying ring A.

Finally, when $\mathcal{C}$ is a subcategory of $\mathcal{D}$, the notation $\iota^{\mathcal{C}}_{\mathcal{D}} : \mathcal{C} \to \mathcal{D}$ denotes the natural injection induced by the assignment $A \to A$. On the other hand, for a functor $\mathscr{F} : D \to \mathcal{D}'$, the notation $\mathscr{F}|_{\mathcal{C}} : \mathcal{C} \to \mathcal{D}'$ denotes the restriction of $\mathscr{F}$ onto $\mathcal{C}$.

2.3. **Witt ring functor and necklace ring functor.** Finally, let us review basic definitions and notation on the ring-valued functors $\mathbb{W}, \Lambda$, and B very briefly. To do this we need $gh : \mathsf{Rings} \to \mathsf{Rings}$ which is the unique functor defined by the following conditions:

(1) As a set, $gh(A) = A^{\mathbb{N}} = \{(a_n)_{n\in\mathbb{N}} : a_n \in A \text{ for all } n \geq 1\}$.
(2) Addition and multiplication are defined componentwise.
(3) For every unity-preserving ring homomorphism $f : A \to B$ and every $\alpha \in gh(A)$ one has $gh(f)(\alpha) = f \circ \alpha$.

The following characterization on the big Witt ring functor is due to Cartier.

Proposition 2.5. ([2]) *There exists the unique functor* $\mathbb{W} : \mathsf{Rings} \to \mathsf{Rings}$ *satisfying the following conditions*:

(1) *As a set,* $\mathbb{W}(A) = A^{\mathbb{N}}$.
(2) *For every unity-preserving ring homomorphism* $f : A \to B$ *and every* $\alpha \in \mathbb{W}(A)$ *one has* $\mathbb{W}(f)(\alpha) = f \circ \alpha$.
(3) *The map,* $\Phi_A : \mathbb{W}(A) \to gh(A)$, $(a_n)_{n\in\mathbb{N}} \mapsto \left(\sum_{d|n} d a_d^{\frac{n}{d}}\right)_{n\in\mathbb{N}}$, *is a ring homomorphism.*

It should be mentioned that the assignment $A \mapsto \Phi_A$ induces a natural transformation $\Phi : \mathbb{W} \to gh$. Next, we introduce Grothendieck's Λ-functor. More information can be found in [1, 7, 8, 10, 13, 14]. Given a commutative ring A with unity, let $\Lambda(A)$ be the set of all formal power series of the form

$$1 + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} a_n t^n, \quad a_n \in A \text{ for all } n \geq 1.$$

Grothendieck showed that $\Lambda(A)$ can have a λ-ring structure with respect to the operations defined in the following manner:

(1) Addition, $+_\Lambda$, is the usual product of power series.

(2) Multiplication, $\times_\Lambda$, is given by

$$\left(1+\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} a_n t^n\right) \times_\Lambda \left(1+\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} b_n t^n\right) = 1+\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} P_n(a_1,\dots,a_n;b_1,\dots,b_n)t^n \ .$$

(3) For each nonnegative integer m, the mth λ-operation, λ^m, is given by

$$\lambda^m \left(1+\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} a_n t^n\right) = 1+\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} P_{n,m}(a_1,\dots,a_{nm})t^n \ .$$

For the definition of P_n and $P_{n,m}$, refer to Section 2.1.1. Given a unity-preserving ring homomorphism $f : A \to B$, define $\Lambda(f) : \Lambda(A) \to \Lambda(B)$ by

$$\Lambda(f)\left(1+\sum_{n\geq 1} a_n t^n\right) = 1+\sum_{n\geq 1} f(a_n)t^n.$$

It is easy to see that the assignment $(A, f) \mapsto (\Lambda(A), \Lambda(f))$ induces a functor $\Lambda : \mathsf{Rings} \to \lambda\text{-}\mathsf{Rings}$. But, since the present paper is mainly concerned with the ring structure of $\Lambda(A)$, we frequently use $F^{\lambda\text{-}\mathsf{Rings}}_{\mathsf{Rings}} \circ \Lambda$ instead of Λ.

The functor $F^{\lambda\text{-}\mathsf{Rings}}_{\mathsf{Rings}} \circ \Lambda$ has the characterization similar to Proposition 2.5. To introduce it, we need $Gh : \mathsf{Rings} \to \mathsf{Rings}$ which is the functor defined by the following conditions:

(1) As a set, $Gh(A) = A[[t]] = \{\sum_{n\geq 1} a_n t^n : a_n \in A\}$.

(2) Addition and multiplication are defined componentwise, that is,

$$\left(\sum_{n\geq 1} a_n t^n\right) + \left(\sum_{n\geq 1} b_n t^n\right) = \sum_{n\geq 1}(a_n+b_n)t^n,$$

$$\left(\sum_{n\geq 1} a_n t^n\right) \cdot \left(\sum_{n\geq 1} b_n t^n\right) = \sum_{n\geq 1}(a_n b_n)t^n.$$

(3) For every unity-preserving ring homomorphism $f : A \to B$ and every $\alpha \in Gh(A)$ one has $Gh(f)(\alpha) = f \circ \alpha$.

With this preparation, the following proposition can be stated.

Proposition 2.6. ([7, 8, 10]) *With the above notation,* $F^{\lambda\text{-}\mathsf{Rings}}_{\mathsf{Rings}} \circ \Lambda : \mathsf{Rings} \to \mathsf{Rings}$ *is the unique functor satisfying the following conditions*:

(1) *As a set,* $\left(F^{\lambda\text{-}\mathsf{Rings}}_{\mathsf{Rings}} \circ \Lambda\right)(A) = \{1+\sum_{n\geq 1} a_n t^n : a_n \in A\}$.

(2) *For every unity-preserving ring homomorphism* $f : A \to B$, *one has*

$$\left(F^{\lambda\text{-}\mathsf{Rings}}_{\mathsf{Rings}} \circ \Lambda\right)(f) : \left(F^{\lambda\text{-}\mathsf{Rings}}_{\mathsf{Rings}} \circ \Lambda\right)(A) \to \left(F^{\lambda\text{-}\mathsf{Rings}}_{\mathsf{Rings}} \circ \Lambda\right)(B),\ 1+\sum_{n\geq 1} a_n t^n \mapsto 1+\sum_{n\geq 1} f(a_n)t^n.$$

(3) *The map,*

$$\frac{d}{dt}\log : \left(F^{\lambda\text{-}\mathsf{Rings}}_{\mathsf{Rings}} \circ \Lambda\right)(A) \to Gh(A), \quad 1+\sum_{n\geq 1} a_n t^n \mapsto \frac{\sum_{n\geq 1} n a_n t^{n-1}}{1+\sum_{n\geq 1} a_n t^n},$$

is a ring homomorphism.

Given a commutative ring A with unity, let

$$\Theta_A : \mathbb{W}(A) \to \left(F^{\lambda\text{-Rings}}_{\text{Rings}} \circ \Lambda\right)(A), \quad (a_n)_{n\in\mathbb{N}} \mapsto \prod_{n\geq 1}\left(\frac{1}{1-a_n t^n}\right).$$

It is well known that the assignment $A \mapsto \Theta_A$ induces a natural isomorphism $\Theta : \mathbb{W} \to F^{\lambda\text{-Rings}}_{\text{Rings}} \circ \Lambda$ (for instance, refer to [7]).

In the rest of this subsection, we introduce the functor $B : \Psi\text{-Rings} \to \text{Rings}$. In 1984, Metropolis and Rota [12] introduced the necklace ring functor Nr such that $Nr(\mathbb{Z})$ is isomorphic of $\mathbb{W}(\mathbb{Z})$. Here, given a commutative ring A with unity, $Nr(A)$ denotes the ring $A^{\mathbb{N}}$ such that the addition is defined componentwise and the multiplication is defined by

$$(a_n)_{n\in\mathbb{N}} \cdot (b_n)_{n\in\mathbb{N}} = \left(\sum_{[i,j]=n}(i,j)a_i b_j\right)_{n\in\mathbb{N}}.$$

In [13], the author constructed $Nr_G : \lambda\text{-Rings} \to \text{Rings}$ for each profinite group G. In particular, if $G = \hat{C}$, the profinite completion of the multiplicative infinite cyclic group C, and R is a λ-ring, then $Nr_{\hat{C}}(R)$ turns out to be the ring $R^{\mathbb{N}}$ such that the addition is defined componentwise and the multiplication is defined by

$$(a_n)_{n\in\mathbb{N}} \cdot (b_n)_{n\in\mathbb{N}} = \left(\sum_{[i,j]=n}(i,j)\psi^{\frac{n}{i}}(a_i)\psi^{\frac{n}{j}}(b_j)\right)_{n\in\mathbb{N}}.$$

The subsequent proposition tells us that $Nr_{\hat{C}}$ can be extended to the category of Ψ-rings.

Proposition 2.7. ([17]) *There exists the unique functor $B : \Psi$-Rings $\to$ Rings satisfying the following conditions:*

1. *As a set, $B(R) = R^{\mathbb{N}}$.*
2. *For every Ψ-ring homomorphism $f : R \to S$ and every $\alpha \in B(R)$ one has $B(f)(\alpha) = f \circ \alpha$.*
3. *The map, $\varphi_R : B(R) \to \left(gh \circ F^{\Psi\text{-Rings}}_{\text{Rings}}\right)(R)$, $(a_n)_{n\in\mathbb{N}} \mapsto \left(\sum_{d|n} d\Psi^{\frac{n}{d}}(a_d)\right)_{n\in\mathbb{N}}$, is a ring homomorphism.*

One can easily see that the addition of $B(R)$ is defined componentwise and the multiplication is defined by

$$(a_n)_{n\in\mathbb{N}} \cdot (b_n)_{n\in\mathbb{N}} = \left(\sum_{[i,j]=n}(i,j)\Psi^{\frac{n}{i}}(a_i)\Psi^{\frac{n}{j}}(b_j)\right)_{n\in\mathbb{N}}.$$

Lemma 2.8. ([14, Section 3]) *Let x be an indeterminate and E the λ-ring freely generated by x. For every positive integer n, let $M(x,n) = \frac{1}{n}\sum_{d|n}\mu(d)\psi^d(x^{\frac{n}{d}})$. Then $M(x,n) \in \mathbb{Z}[\lambda^i(x) : 1 \leq i \leq n]$.*

Given a λ-ring R and an element $r \in R$, let $\pi : \mathsf{E} \to R$ be the unique λ-ring homomorphism with $\pi(x) = r$. Finally, we define $M(r,n)$ to be $\pi(M(x,n))$ for all $n \geq 1$. Given a λ-ring R, define $\tau_R : \mathbb{W} \circ F^{\lambda\text{-Rings}}_{\text{Rings}}(R) \to B(R)$ by

$$\tau_A\left((a_n)_{n\in\mathbb{N}}\right) = \left(\sum_{d|n} M(a_d, n/d)\right)_{n\in\mathbb{N}}.$$

The following theorem demonstrates the relationship between $\mathbb{W}$ and B.

Theorem 2.9. ([5, 1.9.3] and [13, 14]) *The assignment* $R \mapsto \tau_R$ *induces a natural isomorphism* $\tau : \mathbb{W} \circ F^{\lambda\text{-Rings}}_{\text{Rings}} \to B|_{\lambda\text{-Rings}}$.

3. q-DEFORMATION OF WITT RINGS AND ITS SPECIALIZATIONS

Hereafter q denotes an indeterminate and $g(q)$ an polynomial in $\mathbb{Z}[q]$. The purpose of this section is to introduce two functors $\overline{\mathsf{W}}^{g(q)}$, $\overline{\Lambda}^{g(q)} : \mathbb{Z}[q]\text{-}\mathsf{Algebras} \to \mathsf{RINGS}$ which are naturally equivalent to each other. When $g(q) = q$, by specializing q into an arbitrary integer m, we derive functors W^m, $\Lambda^m : \mathsf{Rings} \to \mathsf{RINGS}$.

Definition 3.1. (a) *Let* p *be a prime. For any nonzero integer* a*, we define* $\mathrm{ord}_p(a)$ *to be the* p*-valuation of* a*, the exponent of* p *in the highest power of* p *dividing* a*.*

(b) *Let* q *be an indeterminate and* m *an integer. For each positive integer* n*, we let*

$$[n]_q := \frac{1-q^n}{1-q} = 1 + q + \cdots + q^{n-1},$$
$$[n]_m := 1 + m + \cdots + m^{n-1}.$$

Although the following lemma is very elementary, it plays a crucial role in our arguments.

Lemma 3.2. *Let* n *be a positive integer and* p *any prime divisor of* n*.*

(a) *Let* $k \geq 1$*. For any polynomial* $f(x_1, \ldots, x_k) \in \mathbb{Z}[x_1, \ldots, x_k]$*, we have*

$$f(x_1^p, \ldots, x_k^p)^{\frac{n}{p}} \equiv f(x_1, \ldots, x_k)^n \pmod{p^{\mathrm{ord}_p(n)}}.$$

(b) *Let* m *be an integer. For any divisor* d *of* n*, we have*

$$d(1 - m^{\frac{n}{d}}) \equiv d(1 - m^{\frac{n}{pd}}) \pmod{p^{\mathrm{ord}_p(n)}}.$$

Proof. (a) For the verification of our assertion it suffices to show that

$$f(x_1^p, \ldots, x_k^p)^{p^l} \equiv f(x_1, \ldots, x_k)^{p^{l+1}} \pmod{p^{l+1}}$$

for all nonnegative integers l. Since $f(x_1, \ldots, x_k)^p \equiv f(x_1^p, \ldots, x_k^p) \pmod{p}$, the assertion holds when $l = 0$. If $l > 0$, assume that our assertion holds for all positive integers less than l. Letting

$$f(x_1^p, \ldots, x_k^p)^{p^{l-1}} = f(x_1, \ldots, x_k)^{p^l} + p^l s$$

for some integer s, we have

$$f(x_1^p, \ldots, x_k^p)^{p^l} = (f(x_1, \ldots, x_k)^{p^l} + p^l s)^p \equiv f(x_1, \ldots, x_k)^{p^{l+1}} \pmod{p^{l+1}}.$$

The second modular equivalence follows from the binomial expansion formula.

(b) First, assume that p is coprime to m. If $\mathrm{ord}_p(d) = \mathrm{ord}_p(n)$, then there is nothing to prove. In case where $\mathrm{ord}_p(d) < \mathrm{ord}_p(n)$, the desired result follows from the modular equivalence

$$q^{p^{\mathrm{ord}_p(n)-\mathrm{ord}_p(d)}} \equiv q^{p^{\mathrm{ord}_p(n)-\mathrm{ord}_p(d)-1}} \pmod{p^{\mathrm{ord}_p(n)-\mathrm{ord}_p(d)}}.$$

If m is a multiple of p, then the assertion is straightforward since $dq^{\frac{n}{d}} \equiv dq^{\frac{n}{pd}} \equiv 0 \pmod{p^{\mathrm{ord}_p(n)}}$. □

3.1. **q-Deformation of Witt rings.** Let $\mathsf{F} = \mathbb{Z}[q][x_n, y_n : n \geq 1]$. Given a polynomial $g(q) \in \mathbb{Z}[q]$, consider the map

$$\overline{\Phi}^{g(q)}_{\mathbb{Q}\otimes\mathsf{F}} : (\mathbb{Q}\otimes\mathsf{F})^{\mathbb{N}} \to (\mathbb{Q}\otimes\mathsf{F})^{\mathbb{N}}, \quad (a_n)_{n\in\mathbb{N}} \mapsto \left(\sum_{d|n} d(1-g(q)^{\frac{n}{d}})a_d^{\frac{n}{d}}\right)_{n\in\mathbb{N}}.$$

Let $X = (x_n)_{n\in\mathbb{N}}$ and $Y = (y_n)_{n\in\mathbb{N}}$ and then define $\mathsf{S}_n, \mathsf{P}_n$ $(n \geq 1)$ via the following relations:

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{d|n} d\left[\frac{n}{d}\right]_{g(q)} \mathsf{S}_d^{\frac{n}{d}} &= \left(\sum_{d|n} d\left[\frac{n}{d}\right]_{g(q)} x_d^{\frac{n}{d}}\right) + \left(\sum_{d|n} d\left[\frac{n}{d}\right]_{g(q)} y_d^{\frac{n}{d}}\right), \\ \sum_{d|n} d\left[\frac{n}{d}\right]_{g(q)} \mathsf{P}_d^{\frac{n}{d}} &= (1-g(q))\left(\sum_{d|n} d\left[\frac{n}{d}\right]_{g(q)} x_d^{\frac{n}{d}}\right)\left(\sum_{d|n} d\left[\frac{n}{d}\right]_{g(q)} y_d^{\frac{n}{d}}\right). \end{aligned} \tag{3.1}$$

Multiplying either side of Eq.(3.1) by $1-g(q)$, one can see that

$$\begin{aligned} &\overline{\Phi}^{g(q)}_{\mathbb{Q}\otimes\mathsf{F}}((\mathsf{S}_n)_{n\in\mathbb{N}}) = \overline{\Phi}^{g(q)}_{\mathbb{Q}\otimes\mathsf{F}}(X) + \overline{\Phi}^{g(q)}_{\mathbb{Q}\otimes\mathsf{F}}(Y) \text{ and} \\ &\overline{\Phi}^{g(q)}_{\mathbb{Q}\otimes\mathsf{F}}((\mathsf{P}_n)_{n\in\mathbb{N}}) = \overline{\Phi}^{g(q)}_{\mathbb{Q}\otimes\mathsf{F}}(X) \cdot \overline{\Phi}^{g(q)}_{\mathbb{Q}\otimes\mathsf{F}}(Y). \end{aligned}$$

We also let $\mathsf{I} = (\mathsf{I}_n)_{n\in\mathbb{N}}$ be the unique element of $(\mathbb{Q}\otimes\mathsf{F})^{\mathbb{N}}$ such that

$$\left(\sum_{d|n} d\left[\frac{n}{d}\right]_{g(q)} x_d^{\frac{n}{d}}\right) + \left(\sum_{d|n} d\left[\frac{n}{d}\right]_{g(q)} \mathsf{I}_d^{\frac{n}{d}}\right) = 0 \quad \text{for all } n \geq 1. \tag{3.2}$$

Let us multiply either side of Eq.(3.2) by $1-g(q)$ to get

$$\overline{\Phi}^{g(q)}_{\mathbb{Q}\otimes\mathsf{F}}(X) + \overline{\Phi}^{g(q)}_{\mathbb{Q}\otimes\mathsf{F}}(\mathsf{I}) = 0. \tag{3.3}$$

Utilizing the mathematical induction on n, one can easily see that $\mathsf{S}_n, \mathsf{P}_n \in \mathbb{Q}[g(q)][x_d, y_d : d|n]$ and $\mathsf{I}_n \in \mathbb{Q}[g(q)][x_d : d|n]$ for all $n \geq 1$. The subsequent lemma is a key ingredient in the construction of $\overline{\mathsf{W}}^{g(q)}$.

Lemma 3.3. *For each positive integer n, it holds that $\mathsf{S}_n, \mathsf{P}_n \in \mathbb{Z}[g(q)][x_d, y_d : d|n]$ and $\mathsf{I}_n \in \mathbb{Z}[g(q)][x_d : d|n]$.*

Proof. We will prove only $\mathsf{P}_n \in \mathbb{Z}[g(q)][x_d, y_d : d|n]$ since the others can be treated in the same way. If $g(q) = 1$, then $\mathsf{P}_n = 0$ for all $n \geq 1$. Indeed it can be verified by applying the mathematical induction on n to Eq.(3.1). So, from now on, we will suppose $g(q) \neq 1$. When $n = 1$, then our assertion is obvious since $P_1 = (1-g(q))x_1y_1$. If $n > 1$, then assume that our assertion holds for all positive integers less than n. Note that for all $n \geq 1$,

$$n(1-g(q))\mathsf{P}_n = \left(\sum_{d|n} d(1-g(q)^{\frac{n}{d}})x_d^{\frac{n}{d}}\right)\left(\sum_{d|n} d(1-g(q)^{\frac{n}{d}})y_d^{\frac{n}{d}}\right) - \sum_{\substack{d|n \\ d<n}} d(1-g(q)^{\frac{n}{d}})\mathsf{P}_d^{\frac{n}{d}}. \tag{3.4}$$

First, we note that the induction hypothesis shows that the right hand side of Eq.(3.4) is contained in $(1-g(q))\mathbb{Z}[g(q)][x_d, y_d : d|n]$. Second, let us show that it is divisible by n. We will do this by using the mathematical induction on n. Let p be any prime divisor of

n. Ignoring all the terms with $\mathrm{ord}_p(d) = \mathrm{ord}_p(n)$, one can derive the following modular equivalence:

$$\begin{aligned}
&n(1-g(q))\mathsf{P}_n \\
&\equiv \left(\sum_{d|\frac{n}{p}} d\left(1-g(q)^{\frac{n}{pd}}\right) x_d^{\frac{n}{d}}\right)\left(\sum_{d|\frac{n}{p}} d\left(1-g(q)^{\frac{n}{d}}\right) y_d^{\frac{n}{d}}\right) - \sum_{d|\frac{n}{p}} d\left(1-g(q)^{\frac{n}{d}}\right)\mathsf{P}_d^{\frac{n}{d}} \pmod{p^{\mathrm{ord}_p(n)}} \\
&\equiv \left(\sum_{d|\frac{n}{p}} d\left(1-(g(q)^p)^{\frac{n}{pd}}\right)(x_d^p)^{\frac{n}{pd}}\right)\left(\sum_{d|\frac{n}{p}} d\left(1-(g(q)^p)^{\frac{n}{pd}}\right)(y_d^p)^{\frac{n}{pd}}\right) \\
&\qquad - \sum_{d|\frac{n}{p}} d\left(1-(g(q)^p)^{\frac{n}{pd}}\right)(\mathsf{P}_d^p)^{\frac{n}{pd}} \pmod{p^{\mathrm{ord}_p(n)}}.
\end{aligned} \tag{3.5}$$

By Eq.(3.4), the term

$$\left(\sum_{d|\frac{n}{p}} d\left(1-(g(q)^p)^{\frac{n}{pd}}\right)(x_d^p)^{\frac{n}{pd}}\right)\left(\sum_{d|\frac{n}{p}} d\left(1-(g(q)^p)^{\frac{n}{pd}}\right)(y_d^p)^{\frac{n}{pd}}\right)$$

is equal to

$$\sum_{d|\frac{n}{p}} d\left(1-(g(q)^p)^{\frac{n}{pd}}\right)\left(\mathsf{P}_d\big|_{\substack{g(q)\mapsto g(q)^p\\ x_i\mapsto x_i^p\\ y_i\mapsto y_i^p}}\right)^{\frac{n}{pd}},$$

where $\mathsf{P}_d\big|_{\substack{g(q)\mapsto g(q)^p\\ x_i\mapsto x_i^p\\ y_i\mapsto y_i^p}}$ denotes the polynomial obtained from P_d by replacing $g(q), x_i, y_i$ by $g(q)^p, x_i^p, y_i^p$, respectively, for every divisor i of d. Therefore, Eq.(3.5) can be simplified as

$$n(1-g(q))\mathsf{P}_n \equiv \sum_{d|\frac{n}{p}} d\left(1-(g(q)^p)^{\frac{n}{pd}}\right)\left(\left(\mathsf{P}_d\big|_{\substack{g(q)\mapsto g(q)^p\\ x_i\mapsto x_i^p\\ y_i\mapsto y_i^p}}\right)^{\frac{n}{pd}} - (\mathsf{P}_d^p)^{\frac{n}{pd}}\right) \pmod{p^{\mathrm{ord}_p(n)}}. \tag{3.6}$$

For each divisor d of n/p, the induction hypothesis implies that $\mathsf{P}_d \in \mathbb{Z}[g(q)][x_i, y_i : i|d]$. Hence we can use Lemma 3.2(a) to deduce that

$$\left(\mathsf{P}_d\big|_{\substack{g(q)\mapsto g(q)^p\\ x_i\mapsto x_i^p\\ y_i\mapsto y_i^p}}\right)^{p^{\mathrm{ord}_p(n)-\mathrm{ord}_p(d)-1}} - \mathsf{P}_d^{p^{\mathrm{ord}_p(n)-\mathrm{ord}_p(d)}} \equiv 0 \pmod{p^{\mathrm{ord}_p(n)-\mathrm{ord}_p(d)}}$$

and thus

$$\left(\mathsf{P}_d\big|_{\substack{g(q)\mapsto g(q)^p\\ x_i\mapsto x_i^p\\ y_i\mapsto y_i^p}}\right)^{\frac{n}{pd}} - \mathsf{P}_d^{\frac{n}{d}} \equiv 0 \pmod{p^{\mathrm{ord}_p(n)-\mathrm{ord}_p(d)}}.$$

So we can conclude that the right hand side of Eq.(3.6) is divisible by $p^{\mathrm{ord}_p(n)}$. Since p is arbitrary, it follows that $n(1-g(q))\mathsf{P}_n$ is divisible by n.

For the justification of our assertion, we have to prove that the right hand side of Eq.(3.6) is divisible by $n(1-g(q))$. Choose any prime divisor p' of $n(1-g(q))$.

Case 1: $(p', 1-g(q)) = 1$ or $(p', n) = 1$

There is nothing to prove since $(1-g(q))\mathsf{P}_n, n\mathsf{P}_n \in \mathbb{Z}[g(q)][x_d, y_d : d|n]$.

Case 2: $(p', 1-g(q)) = (p', n) = p'$

Since $g(q) \neq 1$, we can write $1-g(q)$ as $-{p'}^l\hat{g}(q)$ for the unique $\hat{g}(q) \in \mathbb{Z}[q]$ with $(p', \hat{g}(q)) = 1$. For every divisor d of n, let $\frac{n}{d} = {p'}^{\mathrm{ord}_{p'}(n)-\mathrm{ord}_{p'}(d)}s$ with $(s, p') = 1$. Because

$$1 - g(q)^{\frac{n}{d}} = 1 - ((1 + {p'}^l\hat{g}(q))^{{p'}^{\mathrm{ord}_{p'}(n)-\mathrm{ord}_{p'}(d)}})^s) \equiv 0 \pmod{{p'}^{\mathrm{ord}_{p'}(n)-\mathrm{ord}_{p'}(d)+l}}, \tag{3.7}$$

it holds that

$$\mathrm{ord}_{p'}(d(1-g(q)^{\frac{n}{d}})) \geq \mathrm{ord}_{p'}(d) + \mathrm{ord}_{p'}(n) - \mathrm{ord}_{p'}(d) + l = \mathrm{ord}_{p'}(n) + l.$$

It implies that the right hand side of Eq.(3.4) is divisible by ${p'}^{\mathrm{ord}_{p'}(n(1-g(q)))}$ and thus $n(1-g(q))\mathsf{Y}_n$ is also divisible by ${p'}^{\mathrm{ord}_{p'}(n(1-g(q)))}$. From *Case 1* and *Case 2* it follows that $\mathsf{Y}_n \in \mathbb{Z}[g(q)][x_d : d|n]$.

In the same manner, we can show that $\mathsf{S}_n \in \mathbb{Z}[g(q)][x_d, y_d : d|n]$. To be more precise,

$$\mathsf{S}_n - (x_n + y_n) \in \mathbb{Z}[g(q)][x_d, y_d : d|n, d < n]$$

for all $n \geq 1$ since the coefficient of x_n, y_n in S_n equals 1, respectively. Combining the identity

$$\mathsf{S}_n|_{\substack{x_d \mapsto x_d \\ y_d \mapsto \mathsf{I}_d}} = 0,$$

which follows from Eq.(3.3), with the induction hypothesis that $\mathsf{I}_d \in \mathbb{Z}[g(q)][x_i : i|d]$ for all positive integers d less than n, we finally conclude that

$$\mathsf{I}_n + x_n \in \mathbb{Z}[g(q)][x_d : d|n \text{ with } d < n].$$

This completes the proof. □

Example 3.4. Let p be a prime. Then

$$\mathsf{S}_p = x_p + y_p - [p]_{g(q)} \sum_{i=1}^{p-1} \frac{\binom{p}{i}}{p} x_1^i y_1^{p-i},$$

$$\mathsf{P}_p = \begin{cases} p(1-g(q))x_py_p + (1-g(q)^p)(x_1^py_p + x_py_1^p) + \left([p]_{g(q)} \sum_{i=1}^{p-1} \frac{\binom{p}{i}}{p}(-g(q))^i\right) x_1^py_1^p & \text{if } p \text{ is odd,} \\ 2(1-g(q))x_2y_2 + (1-g(q)^2)(x_1^2y_2 + x_2y_1^2) + (g(q) - g(q)^3)x_1^2y_1^2 & \text{if } p = 2, \end{cases}$$

$$\mathsf{I}_p = \begin{cases} -x_p & \text{if } p \text{ is odd,} \\ -x_2 - (1+g(q))x_1^2 & \text{if } p = 2. \end{cases}$$

Set $\mathsf{gh} := \iota_{\mathsf{RINGS}}^{\mathsf{Rings}} \circ gh$. With this notation, we can derive the following generalization of Witt vectors.

Theorem 3.5. *Given any polynomial $g(q) \in \mathbb{Z}[q]$, there exists a functor, $\overline{\mathsf{W}}^{g(q)} : \mathbb{Z}[q]$-$\mathsf{Algebras} \to$ RINGS, subject to the following conditions*:

1. *As a set, $\overline{\mathsf{W}}^{g(q)}(A) = A^{\mathbb{N}}$.*
2. *For every $\mathbb{Z}[q]$-algebra homomorphism $f : A \to B$ and every $\alpha \in \overline{\mathsf{W}}^{g(q)}(A)$ one has $\overline{\mathsf{W}}^{g(q)}(f)(\alpha) = f \circ \alpha$.*
3. *The map,*

$$\overline{\Phi}_A^{g(q)} : \overline{\mathsf{W}}^{g(q)}(A) \to \left(\mathsf{gh} \circ F_{\mathsf{Rings}}^{\mathbb{Z}[q]\text{-}\mathsf{Algebras}}\right)(A), \ (a_n)_{n\in\mathbb{N}} \mapsto \left(\sum_{d|n} d\left(1 - g(q)^{\frac{n}{d}}\right) a_d^{\frac{n}{d}}\right)_{n\in\mathbb{N}},$$

is a ring homomorphism.

If $g(q) \neq 1$*, then* $\overline{\mathsf{W}}^{g(q)}$ *is uniquely determined by the conditions (1)-(3).*

Proof. First, let us show the existence of $\overline{\mathsf{W}}^{g(q)}$. Let $\mathsf{F} = \mathbb{Z}[q][x_n, y_n : n \geq 1]$, $X = (x_n)_{n\in\mathbb{N}}$ and $Y = (y_n)_{n\in\mathbb{N}}$. Given any $\mathbb{Z}[q]$-algebra A, define $\overline{\mathsf{W}}^{g(q)}(A)$ to be the ring $A^{\mathbb{N}}$ whose ring operations are defined in such a way that for any elements $(a_n)_{n\in\mathbb{N}}, (b_n)_{n\in\mathbb{N}} \in \overline{\mathsf{W}}^{g(q)}(A)$,

$$\begin{aligned}
&(a_n)_{n\in\mathbb{N}} + (b_n)_{n\in\mathbb{N}} = (\mathsf{S}_n|_{\substack{x_d \mapsto a_d \\ y_d \mapsto b_d}})_{n\in\mathbb{N}},\\
&(a_n)_{n\in\mathbb{N}} \cdot (b_n)_{n\in\mathbb{N}} = (\mathsf{P}_n|_{\substack{x_d \mapsto a_d \\ y_d \mapsto b_d}})_{n\in\mathbb{N}},\\
&-(a_n)_{n\in\mathbb{N}} = (\mathsf{I}_n|_{x_d \mapsto a_d})_{n\in\mathbb{N}}.
\end{aligned}$$

In addition, define morphisms as in (2). Then one easily sees that the assignment $A \mapsto \overline{\mathsf{W}}^{g(q)}(A)$ induces a functor $\overline{\mathsf{W}}^{g(q)} : \mathbb{Z}[q]\text{-}\mathsf{Algebras} \to \mathsf{RINGS}$, satisfying the conditions (1)-(3).

For the second assertion, assume that $g(q) \neq 1$ and $\hat{\mathsf{W}}^{g(q)}$ is a functor satisfying the desired conditions (1)-(3), where the third condition says that the map

$$\hat{\Phi}^{g(q)}_A : \hat{\mathsf{W}}^{g(q)}(A) \to \left(\mathsf{gh} \circ F^{\mathbb{Z}[q]\text{-}\mathsf{Algebras}}_{\mathsf{Rings}}\right)(A),\ (a_n)_{n\in\mathbb{N}} \mapsto \left(\sum_{d|n} d\left(1 - g(q)^{\frac{n}{d}}\right) a_d^{\frac{n}{d}}\right)_{n\in\mathbb{N}}$$

is a ring homomorphism. For any $\mathbb{Z}[q]$-algebra A, let

$$\mathrm{id}_A : \overline{\mathsf{W}}^{g(q)}(A) \to \hat{\mathsf{W}}^{g(q)}(A), \quad (b_n)_{n\in\mathbb{N}} \mapsto (b_n)_{n\in\mathbb{N}}.$$

We claim that $A \mapsto \mathrm{id}_A$ induces a natural isomorphism $\mathrm{id} : \overline{\mathsf{W}}^{g(q)} \to \hat{\mathsf{W}}^{g(q)}$. In verifying our claim, the only nontrivial part is to confirm that id_A is a ring homomorphism. In case where $A = \mathsf{F}$ it is obvious since $\overline{\Phi}^{g(q)}_{\mathsf{F}}, \hat{\overline{\Phi}}^{g(q)}_{\mathsf{F}}$ are injective (since $g(q) \neq 1$) and $\overline{\Phi}^{g(q)}_{\mathsf{F}} = \hat{\Phi}^{g(q)}_{\mathsf{F}} \circ \mathrm{id}_{\mathsf{F}}$. From now on suppose that A is an arbitrary $\mathbb{Z}[q]$-algebra. Given any elements $a = (a_n)_{n\in\mathbb{N}}$ and $b = (b_n)_{n\in\mathbb{N}}$ of $\overline{\mathsf{W}}^{g(q)}(A)$, note that

$$\mathrm{id}_A(a \cdot b) = \mathrm{id}_A\left(\left(\mathsf{P}_n|_{\substack{x_d \mapsto a_d \\ y_d \mapsto b_d}}\right)_{n\in\mathbb{N}}\right) = \left(\mathsf{P}_n|_{\substack{x_d \mapsto a_d \\ y_d \mapsto b_d}}\right)_{n\in\mathbb{N}}.$$

Now, we compute $\mathrm{id}_A(a) \cdot \mathrm{id}_A(b)$. Let $\pi : \mathsf{F} \to A$ be the unique $\mathbb{Z}[q]$-algebra homomorphism with $x_n \mapsto a_n, y_n \mapsto b_n$ for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$. By the functoriality of $\hat{\mathsf{W}}^{g(q)}$ we have the ring homomorphism $\hat{\mathsf{W}}^{g(q)}(\pi) : \hat{\mathsf{W}}^{g(q)}(\mathsf{F}) \to \hat{\mathsf{W}}^{g(q)}(A)$ with $X = (x_n) \mapsto a$ and $Y = (y_n) \mapsto b$. Therefore it holds that

$$\mathrm{id}_A(a) \cdot \mathrm{id}_A(b) = a \cdot b = \hat{\mathsf{W}}^{g(q)}(\pi)(X) \cdot \hat{\mathsf{W}}^{g(q)}(\pi)(Y) = \hat{\mathsf{W}}^{g(q)}(\pi)(X \cdot Y).$$

Now, let us replace $X \cdot Y$ by $(\mathsf{P}_n)_{n\in\mathbb{N}}$ to get

$$\mathrm{id}_A(a) \cdot \mathrm{id}_A(b) = (\pi(\mathsf{P}_n))_{n\in\mathbb{N}} = \left(\mathsf{P}_n|_{\substack{x_d \mapsto a_d \\ y_d \mapsto b_d}}\right)_{n\in\mathbb{N}}.$$

It says that $\mathrm{id}_A(a \cdot b) = \mathrm{id}_A(a) \cdot \mathrm{id}_A(b)$. In the same way as the above, we can show that $\mathrm{id}_A(a + b) = \left(\mathsf{S}_n|_{\substack{x_d \mapsto a_d \\ y_d \mapsto b_d}}\right)_{n\in\mathbb{N}} = \mathrm{id}_A(a) + \mathrm{id}_A(b)$. Consequently id_A is a ring homomorphism. This completes the proof. □

Observe that the assignment $A \mapsto \overline{\Phi}_A^{g(q)}$ induces a natural transformation $\Phi^{g(q)} : \overline{\mathsf{W}}^{g(q)} \to \left(\mathsf{gh} \circ F_{\mathsf{Rings}}^{\mathbb{Z}[q]\text{-}\mathsf{Algebras}}\right)$. For the verification, let $f : A \to B$ be an arbitrary $\mathbb{Z}[q]$-algebra homomorphism. Then the diagram

$$\begin{tikzcd}
\overline{\mathsf{W}}^{g(q)}(A) \arrow[r, "\overline{\Phi}_A^{g(q)}"] \arrow[d, "\overline{\mathsf{W}}^{g(q)}(f)"'] & \left(\mathsf{gh} \circ F_{\mathsf{Rings}}^{\mathbb{Z}[q]\text{-}\mathsf{Algebras}}\right)(A) \arrow[d, "\left(\mathsf{gh} \circ F_{\mathsf{Rings}}^{\mathbb{Z}[q]\text{-}\mathsf{Algebras}}\right)(f)"] \\
\overline{\mathsf{W}}^{g(q)}(B) \arrow[r, "\overline{\Phi}_B^{g(q)}"] & \left(\mathsf{gh} \circ F_{\mathsf{Rings}}^{\mathbb{Z}[q]\text{-}\mathsf{Algebras}}\right)(B)
\end{tikzcd}$$

is commutative since

$$\begin{aligned}
&\left(\mathsf{gh} \circ F_{\mathsf{Rings}}^{\mathbb{Z}[q]\text{-}\mathsf{Algebras}}\right)(f) \circ \overline{\Phi}_A^{g(q)}((a_n)_{n\in\mathbb{N}}) \\
&= \left(\sum_{d|n} d\left(1 - g(q)^{\frac{n}{d}}\right) f(a_d)^{\frac{n}{d}}\right)_{n\in\mathbb{N}} \qquad \text{(since } f \text{ is a } \mathbb{Z}[q]\text{-algebra homomorphism)} \\
&= \overline{\Phi}_B^{g(q)} \circ \overline{\mathsf{W}}^{g(q)}(f)((a_n)_{n\in\mathbb{N}}).
\end{aligned}$$

Remark 3.6. The third condition of Theorem 3.5 can be sharpened a little more. Indeed the first equation in (3.1) implies that the third condition can be divided into two parts in the following manner:

(3-1) The map,

$$\begin{aligned}
(\Phi_{\mathrm{ab}})_A^{g(q)} : F_{Abel}^{RINGS} \circ \overline{W}^{g(q)}(A) &\to F_{Abel}^{RINGS} \circ \left(gh \circ F_{Rings}^{\mathbb{Z}[q]\text{-}Algebras}\right)(A) \\
(a_n)_{n\in\mathbb{N}} &\mapsto \left(\sum_{d|n} d\left[\frac{n}{d}\right]_{g(q)} a_d^{\frac{n}{d}}\right)_{n\in\mathbb{N}},
\end{aligned}$$

is an abelian group homomorphism.

(3-2) The map,

$$\overline{\Phi}_A^{g(q)} : \overline{W}^{g(q)}(A) \to \left(gh \circ F_{Rings}^{\mathbb{Z}[q]\text{-}Algebras}\right)(A), \quad (a_n)_{n\in\mathbb{N}} \mapsto \left(\sum_{d|n} d(1 - g(q)^{\frac{n}{d}})a_d^{\frac{n}{d}}\right)_{n\in\mathbb{N}},$$

is multiplicative.

This description turns out to be very useful in studying the abelian structure of $\overline{\mathsf{W}}^{g(q)}(A)$. Furthermore, by mimicking the proof of Theorem 3.5, one can show that $F_{\mathsf{Abel}}^{\mathsf{RINGS}} \circ \overline{\mathsf{W}}^{g(q)}$ is the unique functor satisfying the conditions (1), (2), and (3-1) for all $g(q) \in \mathbb{Z}[q]$. It should be mentioned that the condition $g(q) \neq 1$ is not necessary in proving the uniqueness.

3.2. **Specializing q into integers.** It is quite interesting to note that one can specialize q into an arbitrary integer m in Theorem 3.5. In fact this specialization makes sense since it is compatible with ring homomorphisms. Let us explain it in more detail. Let A, B be $\mathbb{Z}[q]$-algebras and let $f : A \to B$ be a $\mathbb{Z}[q]$-algebra homomorphism. Denote by

$$q \mapsto m, \ A|_{q\mapsto m}, \ B|_{q\mapsto m}, \ \text{and } f|_{q\mapsto m}$$

the specialization of q into m, the ring obtained from A by the specialization $q \mapsto m$, the ring obtained from B by the specialization $q \mapsto m$, and the ring homomorphism obtained from f by the specialization $q \mapsto m$, respectively. Then the following diagram

$$\begin{tikzcd}
A \arrow[r, "f"] \arrow[d, "q\mapsto m"'] & B \arrow[d, "q\mapsto m"] \\
A|_{q\mapsto m} \arrow[r, "f|_{q\mapsto m}"'] & B|_{q\mapsto m}
\end{tikzcd}$$

is obviously commutative since for all $x \in A$,

$$\begin{aligned}
&((q \mapsto m) \circ f)(q \cdot x) = (q \mapsto m)(q \cdot f(x)) = mf(x) \quad \text{and} \\
&(f_{q\mapsto m} \circ (q \mapsto m))(q \cdot x) = f_{q\mapsto m}(mx) = mf(x).
\end{aligned}$$

Denote by $\mathsf{W}^m : \mathsf{Rings} \to \mathsf{RINGS}$ the functor obtained from $\overline{\mathsf{W}}^q$ by specializing q into m. Let us explain it in more detail. For any commutative ring A with unity, $\mathsf{W}^m(A)$ means the ring defined in such a way that for any elements $(a_n)_{n\in\mathbb{N}}, (b_n)_{n\in\mathbb{N}} \in \mathsf{W}^m(A)$,

$$\begin{aligned}
(a_n)_{n\in\mathbb{N}} + (b_n)_{n\in\mathbb{N}} &= \left(\mathsf{S}_n|_{\substack{q\mapsto m \\ x_d\mapsto a_d \\ y_d\mapsto b_d}} \right)_{n\in\mathbb{N}}, \\
(a_n)_{n\in\mathbb{N}} \cdot (b_n)_{n\in\mathbb{N}} &= \left(\mathsf{P}_n|_{\substack{q\mapsto m \\ x_d\mapsto a_d \\ y_d\mapsto b_d}} \right)_{n\in\mathbb{N}}, \text{ and} \\
-(a_n)_{n\in\mathbb{N}} &= \left(\mathsf{I}_n|_{\substack{q\mapsto m \\ x_d\mapsto a_d}} \right)_{n\in\mathbb{N}}.
\end{aligned}$$

The following corollary is straightforward by Theorem 3.5.

Corollary 3.7. *Given an integer m, $\mathsf{W}^m : \mathsf{Rings} \to \mathsf{RINGS}$ satisfies the following conditions*:

(1) *As a set, $\mathsf{W}^m(A) = A^{\mathbb{N}}$.*

(2) *For every unity-preserving ring homomorphism $f : A \to B$ and every $\alpha \in \mathsf{W}^m(A)$ one has $\mathsf{W}^m(f)(\alpha) = f \circ \alpha$.*

(3) *The map, $\Phi^m_A : \mathsf{W}^m(A) \to \mathsf{gh}(A)$, $(a_n)_{n\in\mathbb{N}} \mapsto \left(\sum_{d|n} d(1-m^{\frac{n}{d}})a_d^{\frac{n}{d}}\right)_{n\in\mathbb{N}}$, is a ring homomorphism.*

Furthermore, if $m \neq 1$, then it is uniquely determined by (1)-(3).

Proof. The first assertion can be derived from Theorem 3.5 by specializing q into m. For the second assertion, assume that $\hat{\mathsf{W}}^m$ is a functor satisfying the desired conditions (1)-(3). To begin with, let us investigate the ring operations of $\hat{\mathsf{W}}^m(F)$, where $F = \mathbb{Z}[x_n, y_n : n \geq 1]$. Since $m \neq 1$, Φ^m_F is injective, the third condition implies that

$$\mathrm{id}_F : \mathsf{W}^m(F) \to \hat{\mathsf{W}}^m(F), \quad (b_n)_{n\in\mathbb{N}} \mapsto (b_n)_{n\in\mathbb{N}}$$

is a ring isomorphism. The rest of the proof can be done exactly in the same way as in Theorem 3.5. □

Remark 3.8. (a) As in Remark 3.6, the third condition in Corollary 3.7 can be rephrased in the following manner:

(3-1) The map, $(\Phi_{\mathrm{ab}})^m_A : F^{\mathsf{RINGS}}_{\mathsf{Abel}} \circ \mathsf{W}^m(A) \to F^{\mathsf{RINGS}}_{\mathsf{Abel}} \circ \mathsf{gh}(A)$, $(a_n)_{n\in\mathbb{N}} \mapsto \left(\sum_{d|n} d \left[\frac{n}{d}\right]_m a_d^{\frac{n}{d}}\right)_{n\in\mathbb{N}}$, is an abelian group homomorphism.

(3-2) The map, $\overline{\Phi}^{g(q)}_A : \mathsf{W}^m(A) \to \mathsf{gh}(A)$, $(a_n)_{n\in\mathbb{N}} \mapsto \left(\sum_{d|n} d(1-g(q)^{\frac{n}{d}})a_d^{\frac{n}{d}}\right)_{n\in\mathbb{N}}$, is multiplicative.

(b) Let m be an integer. In the same way as in Corollary 3.7, we can derive a functor, $\overline{\mathsf{W}}^{g(q)}|_{q\mapsto m} : \mathsf{Rings} \to \mathsf{RINGS}$, by specializing q into m. Let us denote this functor by $\mathsf{W}^{g(m)}$. To see that this notation is well defined, let us assume that $g(m) = g'(m') = k$ for some $g(q), g'(q) \in \mathbb{Z}[q] - \{1\}$ and $m, m' \in \mathbb{Z}$. Then it is obvious that

$$\mathsf{W}^k \left(\overset{\text{by definition}}{=} \overline{\mathsf{W}}^q|_{q\mapsto k}\right) = \overline{\mathsf{W}}^{g(q)}|_{q\mapsto m} = \overline{\mathsf{W}}^{g'(q)}|_{q\mapsto m'}.$$

(c) It should be noted that $\overline{\mathsf{W}}^m$ is not W^m but $\overline{\mathsf{W}}^{g(q)}$ with $g(q) = m$.

Example 3.9. Let us investigate the cases where $m = 0, 1, -1$ in more detail.

(a) Let $m = 0$. Then, for each commutative ring A with unity, we have

$$\Phi^0_A : \mathsf{W}^0(A) \to \mathsf{gh}(A), \quad (a_n)_{n\in\mathbb{N}} \mapsto \left(\sum_{d|n} d a_d^{\frac{n}{d}}\right)_{n\in\mathbb{N}}.$$

Hence $\mathsf{W}^0(A) \cong \mathbb{W}(A)$ and W^0 is naturally equivalent to $\iota^{\mathsf{Rings}}_{\mathsf{RINGS}} \circ \mathbb{W}$.

(b) Let $m = 1$. Specializing q into 1 in Eq.(3.1) yields

$$\begin{aligned}
&\mathsf{S}_n|_{q\mapsto 1} = \sum_{d|n}\left(x_d^{\frac{n}{d}} + y_d^{\frac{n}{d}}\right) - \sum_{\substack{d|n\\ d<n}} \mathsf{S}_d|^{\frac{n}{d}}_{q\mapsto 1}, \quad \mathsf{S}_1|_{q\mapsto 1} = x_1 + y_1,\\
&\mathsf{P}_n|_{q\mapsto 1} = -\sum_{\substack{d|n\\ d<n}} \mathsf{P}_d|^{\frac{n}{d}}_{q\mapsto 1}, \quad \mathsf{P}_1|_{q\mapsto 1} = 0.
\end{aligned} \tag{3.8}$$

The second equation of (3.8) shows that $\mathsf{P}_n|_{q\mapsto 1} = 0$ for all $n \geq 1$. On the other hand, the first equation of (3.8) implies that $F^{\mathsf{RINGS}}_{\mathsf{Abel}} \circ \mathsf{W}^1 : \mathsf{Rings} \to \mathsf{Abel}$ is the unique functor satisfying the following conditions:

1. As a set, $F^{\mathsf{RINGS}}_{\mathsf{Abel}} \circ \mathsf{W}^1(A) = A^{\mathbb{N}}$.
2. For every ring homomorphism $f : A \to B$ and every $\alpha \in F^{\mathsf{RINGS}}_{\mathsf{Abel}} \circ \mathsf{W}^1(A)$ one has $F^{\mathsf{RINGS}}_{\mathsf{Abel}} \circ \mathsf{W}^1(f)(\alpha) = f \circ \alpha$.
3. The map, $(\Phi_{\mathrm{ab}})^1_A : F^{\mathsf{RINGS}}_{\mathsf{Abel}} \circ \mathsf{W}^1(A) \to \left(F^{\mathsf{RINGS}}_{\mathsf{Abel}} \circ \mathsf{gh}\right)(A)$, $(a_n)_{n\in\mathbb{N}} \mapsto \left(\sum_{d|n} a_d^{\frac{n}{d}}\right)_{n\in\mathbb{N}}$, is an abelian group homomorphism.

However, since $(\Phi_{\mathrm{ab}})^1_A$ is a bijection for every commutative ring A with unity, it follows that $(\Phi_{\mathrm{ab}})^1 : F^{\mathsf{RINGS}}_{\mathsf{Abel}} \circ \mathsf{W}^1 \to F^{\mathsf{RINGS}}_{\mathsf{Abel}} \circ \mathsf{gh}$ is a natural isomorphism.

(c) Upon substitution of -1 for m, Eq.(3.1) is simplified as follows:

$$n\mathsf{S}_n|_{q\mapsto -1} = \left(\sum_{\substack{d|n\\ \frac{n}{d}\text{ is odd}}} d x_d^{\frac{n}{d}}\right) + \left(\sum_{\substack{d|n\\ \frac{n}{d}\text{ is odd}}} d y_d^{\frac{n}{d}}\right) - \sum_{\substack{d|n\\ d<n\\ \frac{n}{d}\text{ is odd}}} d\mathsf{S}_d|^{\frac{n}{d}}_{q\mapsto -1},$$

$$n\mathsf{P}_n|_{q\mapsto -1} = 2\left(\sum_{\substack{d|n\\ \frac{n}{d}\text{ is odd}}} d x_d^{\frac{n}{d}}\right)\left(\sum_{\substack{d|n\\ \frac{n}{d}\text{ is odd}}} d y_d^{\frac{n}{d}}\right) - \sum_{\substack{d|n\\ d<n\\ \frac{n}{d}\text{ is odd}}} d\mathsf{P}_d|^{\frac{n}{d}}_{q\mapsto -1}.$$

For instance, if $n = 2^k, (k \geq 1)$, then $\mathsf{S}_n|_{q\mapsto -1} = x_n + y_n$ and $\mathsf{P}_n|_{q\mapsto -1} = 2n x_n y_n$.

3.3. Classification theorems.

3.3.1. Here we classify $\overline{\mathsf{W}}^{g(q)}$ and $F^{\mathsf{RINGS}}_{\mathsf{Abel}} \circ \overline{\mathsf{W}}^{g(q)}$ up to strict-isomorphism as $g(q)$ varies over $\mathbb{Z}[q]$.

Definition 3.10. *Suppose that $g(q)$ and $h(q)$ range over $\mathbb{Z}[q]$.*

(a) *We say that $\overline{\mathsf{W}}^{g(q)}$ is strictly isomorphic to $\overline{\mathsf{W}}^{h(q)}$ if there exists a natural isomorphism, say $\omega : \overline{\mathsf{W}}^{g(q)} \to \overline{\mathsf{W}}^{h(q)}$, such that $\overline{\Phi}^{g(q)} = \overline{\Phi}^{h(q)} \circ \omega$.*

(b) *We say that $F^{\mathsf{RINGS}}_{\mathsf{Abel}} \circ \overline{\mathsf{W}}^{g(q)}$ is strictly isomorphic to $F^{\mathsf{RINGS}}_{\mathsf{Abel}} \circ \overline{\mathsf{W}}^{h(q)}$ if there exists a natural isomorphism, say $\omega : F^{\mathsf{RINGS}}_{\mathsf{Abel}} \circ \overline{\mathsf{W}}^{g(q)} \to F^{\mathsf{RINGS}}_{\mathsf{Abel}} \circ \overline{\mathsf{W}}^{h(q)}$, such that $\overline{\Phi}^{g(q)}_{\mathrm{ab}} = \overline{\Phi}^{h(q)}_{\mathrm{ab}} \circ \omega$.*

Proposition 3.11. *Let $g(q), h(q) \in \mathbb{Z}[q]$. Then $\overline{\mathsf{W}}^{g(q)}$ is strictly isomorphic to $\overline{\mathsf{W}}^{h(q)}$ if and only if $h(q) = g(q)$ or $h(q) = 2 - g(q)$ with $g(q) \neq 1$.*

Proof. ($\Longrightarrow$): Let $F = \mathbb{Z}[q][x_n : n \geq 1]$. By assumption there exists a ring isomorphism $\omega_F : \overline{\mathsf{W}}^{g(q)}(F) \to \overline{\mathsf{W}}^{h(q)}(F)$ satisfying that

$$\sum_{d|n} d(1 - g(q)^{\frac{n}{d}}) x_d^{\frac{n}{d}} = \sum_{d|n} d(1 - h(q)^{\frac{n}{d}}) \mathsf{y}_d^{\frac{n}{d}}, \ (n \geq 1), \tag{3.9}$$

where $(\mathsf{y}_n)_{n\in\mathbb{N}} = \omega_F((x_n)_{n\in\mathbb{N}})$.

Case 1: $g(q) = 1$

For all $n \geq 1$ we have $\sum_{d|n} d(1 - h(q)^{\frac{n}{d}}) \mathsf{y}_d^{\frac{n}{d}} = 0$. If $h(q) \neq 1$, then $\mathsf{y}_n = 0$ for all $n \geq 1$. This is obviously a contradiction since ω_F is a bijection. Thus $h(q)$ should be 1.

Case 2: $g(q) \neq 1$

When $n = 1$, Eq.(3.9) is reduced to $(1 - g(q))x_1 = (1 - h(q))\mathsf{y}_1$. Hence y_1 is divisible by x_1. If we let $y_1 = x_1\alpha$ for some $\alpha \in F$, then $(1 - g(q))x_1 = (1 - h(q))x_1\alpha$ and thus $1 - g(q)$ is divisible by $1 - h(q)$. In the same manner we can show that $1 - h(q)$ is divisible by $1 - g(q)$. As a consequence, $1 - g(q) = \pm(1 - h(q))$. If $g(q) \neq h(q)$, then $1 - g(q) = -(1 - h(q))$, that is, $h(q) = 2 - g(q)$.

($\Longleftarrow$): In case where $h(q) = g(q)$ we can take ω as id. From now on, we suppose $h(q) \neq g(q)$. To begin with, note $g(q) \neq 1$ since $h(q) = 2 - g(q) = 1$. Also we note $1 - h(q) = -(1 - g(q))$. As before, let $F = \mathbb{Z}[q][x_n : n \geq 1]$ and $X = (x_n)_{n\in\mathbb{N}}$. For each positive integer n, define y_n via the following recursive relation:

$$-n(1 - g(q))\mathsf{y}_n = \sum_{d|n} d(1 - g(q)^{\frac{n}{d}}) x_d^{\frac{n}{d}} - \sum_{\substack{d|n \\ d<n}} d(1 - (2 - g(q))^{\frac{n}{d}}) \mathsf{y}_d^{\frac{n}{d}}, \ (n \geq 1). \tag{3.10}$$

We claim that $\mathsf{y}_n \in \mathbb{Z}[g(q)][x_d : d|n]$ for all $n \geq 1$. When $n = 1$, it is clear that $\mathsf{y}_1 = -x_1$. If $n > 1$, assume that our assertion holds for all positive integers less than n. Exploiting the induction hypothesis, it is easy to see that the right hand side of Eq.(3.10) is contained in $(1 - g(q))\mathbb{Z}[g(q)][x_d : d|n]$. In the following, we will see that it is also divisible by n. Choose any prime divisor p of n. Note that

$$-n(1 - g(q))\mathsf{y}_n \equiv \sum_{d|\frac{n}{p}} d(1 - (g(q)^p)^{\frac{n}{pd}})(x_d^p)^{\frac{n}{pd}} - \sum_{d|\frac{n}{p}} d(1 - (2 - g(q))^{\frac{n}{d}})(\mathsf{y}_d^p)^{\frac{n}{pd}} \pmod{p^{\mathrm{ord}_p(n)}}.$$

But, by replacing n and $g(q)$ by n/p and $g(q)^p$, respectively, in Eq.(3.10), we can deduce that

$$\sum_{d|\frac{n}{p}} d(1 - (g(q)^p)^{\frac{n}{pd}})(x_d^p)^{\frac{n}{pd}} = \sum_{d|\frac{n}{p}} d(1 - (2 - g(q)^p)^{\frac{n}{pd}}) \left(\mathsf{y}_d|_{\substack{g(q)\mapsto g(q)^p \\ x_i \mapsto x_i^p}} \right)^{\frac{n}{pd}}.$$

Consequently we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
&-n(1-g(q))\mathsf{y}_n \\
&\equiv \sum_{d|\frac{n}{p}} d(1-(2-g(q)^p)^{\frac{n}{pd}})\left(\mathsf{y}_d|_{\substack{g(q)\mapsto g(q)^p\\ x_i\mapsto x_i^p}}\right)^{\frac{n}{pd}} - \sum_{d|\frac{n}{p}} d(1-(2-g(q))^{\frac{n}{d}})(\mathsf{y}_d^p)^{\frac{n}{pd}} \pmod{p^{\mathrm{ord}_p(n)}} \\
&\equiv \sum_{d|\frac{n}{p}} d(1-(2-g(q)^p)^{\frac{n}{pd}})\left(\left(\mathsf{y}_d|_{\substack{g(q)\mapsto g(q)^p\\ x_i\mapsto x_i^p}}\right)^{\frac{n}{pd}} - (\mathsf{y}_d^p)^{\frac{n}{pd}}\right) \\
&\quad -\sum_{d|\frac{n}{p}} d\left((2-g(q)^p)^{\frac{n}{pd}} - ((2-g(q))^p)^{\frac{n}{pd}}\right)(\mathsf{y}_d^p)^{\frac{n}{pd}} \pmod{p^{\mathrm{ord}_p(n)}}.
\end{aligned}
$$

For each divisor d of n/p, Lemma 3.2(a) implies that

$$\left(\mathsf{y}_d|_{\substack{g(q)\mapsto g(q)^p\\ x_i\mapsto x_i^p}}\right)^{\frac{n}{pd}} - (\mathsf{y}_d^p)^{\frac{n}{pd}} \equiv 0 \pmod{p^{\mathrm{ord}_p(n)-\mathrm{ord}_p(d)}}$$

and

$$(2-g(q)^p)^{\frac{n}{pd}} - ((2-g(q))^p)^{\frac{n}{pd}} \equiv 0 \pmod{p^{\mathrm{ord}_p(n)-\mathrm{ord}_p(d)}}$$

since $(2-g(q)^p)-(2-g(q))^p \equiv 0 \pmod{p}$. Therefore $-n(1-g(q))\mathsf{y}_n \equiv 0 \pmod{p^{\mathrm{ord}_p(n)}}$ and thus $-n(1-g(q))\mathsf{y}_n \equiv 0 \pmod{n}$ since p is an arbitrary prime divisor of n.

For the justification of our claim, we have to prove that the right hand side of Eq.(3.10) is divisible by $n(1-g(q))$. This can be done in the same way as in the proof of Lemma 3.3 (more precisely, refer to *Case 1* and *Case 2*).

Now, we are ready to construct the desired natural transformation. Given a $\mathbb{Z}[q]$-algebra A, let

$$\omega_A : \overline{\mathsf{W}}^{g(q)}(A) \to \overline{\mathsf{W}}^{2-g(q))}(A), \quad (a_n)_{n\in\mathbb{N}} \mapsto (\mathsf{y}_n|_{x_d\mapsto a_d})$$

Obviously ω_A is a bijection since the coefficient of x_n in y_n equals 1. One can verify in a routine way that the assignment $A \mapsto \omega_A$ induces a natural isomorphism $\omega : \overline{\mathsf{W}}^{g(q)} \to \overline{\mathsf{W}}^{2-g(q))}$ satisfying $\overline{\Phi}^{g(q)} = \overline{\Phi}^{h(q)} \circ \omega$. This completes the proof. □

Lemma 3.12. *Suppose that p is a prime and $f(q) \in \mathbb{Z}[q]$. Then $p|1-f(q)$ if and only if $p|[p]_{f(q)}$.*

Proof. Suppose $p|1-f(q)$. If $f(q)=1$, then $[p]_{f(q)} = p$, so it is divisible by p. If $f(q)\neq 1$, let us write $1-f(q)$ as $-p^a\hat{f}(q)$ with $(p,\hat{f}(q))=1$ and $a\geq 1$. Then the desired result follows from the following modular equivalence:

$$1-f(q)^p = 1-(1+p^a\hat{f}(q))^p \equiv 0 \pmod{p^{a+1}}.$$

For the converse, assume $p|[p]_{f(q)}$. Obviously $p|1-f(q)^p$ and thus $p|1-f(q^p)$. Letting $f(q) = a_0 + a_1q + \cdots + a_mq^m$, the above condition implies that $1-a_0, a_1, a_2, \cdots, a_m$ are divisible by p. Consequently p divides $1-f(q)$. □

Recall that a nonzero polynomial $k(q)\in\mathbb{Z}[q]$ is called *primitive* if the only integers that divide all coefficients of $k(q)$ at once are ± 1. With this definition, we can derive the following classification.

Proposition 3.13. *Let $g(q), h(q) \in \mathbb{Z}[q]$. Then $F_{\mathsf{Abel}}^{\mathsf{RINGS}} \circ \overline{W}^{g(q)}$ is strictly isomorphic to $F_{\mathsf{Abel}}^{\mathsf{RINGS}} \circ \overline{W}^{h(q)}$ if and only if $1-g(q) = c_1k(q)$ and $1-h(q) = c_2k(q)$ for some $c_1, c_2 \in \mathbb{Z}$ and $k(q)\in\mathbb{Z}[q]$ such that*

(1) *c_1 and c_2 have the same set of prime divisors, and*

(2) $k(q)$ *is primitive.*

Proof. ($\Longrightarrow$): Let $F = \mathbb{Z}[q][x_n : n \geq 1]$. By assumption there exists a ring isomorphism $\omega_F : \overline{\mathsf{W}}^{g(q)}(F) \to \overline{\mathsf{W}}^{h(q)}(F)$ satisfying

$$\sum_{d|n} d \left[\frac{n}{d}\right]_{g(q)} x_d^{\frac{n}{d}} = \sum_{d|n} d \left[\frac{n}{d}\right]_{h(q)} \mathsf{y}_d^{\frac{n}{d}} \text{ for all } n \geq 1,$$

where $(\mathsf{y}_n)_{n\in\mathbb{N}} = \omega_F((x_n)_{n\in\mathbb{N}})$. When n is a prime p, it can be easily verified that

$$\mathsf{y}_p = x_p + \frac{[p]_{g(q)} - [p]_{h(q)}}{p} x_1^p. \tag{3.11}$$

It implies that $[p]_{g(q)} - [p]_{h(q)}$ is divisible by p. Set

$$1 - g(q) = c_1 q^a \hat{g}(q) \text{ and } 1 - h(q) = c_2 q^b \hat{h}(q),$$

where $c_1, c_2 \in \mathbb{Z}$, $a, b \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}$, and $\hat{g}(q), \hat{h}(q)$ are primitive polynomials with a positive constant term. Then the assertion that c_1, c_2 have the same set of divisors follows from the equivalences

$$p|1 - g(q) \overset{\text{by Lemma 3.12}}{\iff} p|[p]_{g(q)} \overset{\text{by Eq.3.11}}{\iff} p|[p]_{h(q)} \overset{\text{by Lemma 3.12}}{\iff} p|1 - h(q).$$

Next, we will show that $a = b$ and $\hat{g}(q) = \pm\hat{h}(q)$. In the following, suppose $p \nmid 1 - g(q)$ (so, $p \nmid 1 - h(q)$). In particular, c_1 and c_2 are nonzero. Because $p|g(q)^{p-1} - [p]_{h(q)}$, it obviously holds that $p|(1 - h(q))g(q)^p - (1 - g(q))(1 - h(q)^p)$. Applying $g(q)^p \equiv g(q^p) \pmod{p}$ and $h(q)^p \equiv h(q^p) \pmod{p}$ yields

$$p|(c_2 q^b \hat{h}(q))(c_1 q^{pa} \hat{g}(q^p)) - (c_1 q^a \hat{g}(q))(c_2 q^{pb} \hat{h}(q^p)).$$

For simplicity, set $\hat{g}(q) = a_0 + a_1 q + \cdots + a_s q^s$ and $\hat{h}(q) = b_0 + b_1 q + \cdots + b_t q^t$, where a_0, b_0 are nonzero. It is not difficult to see that

$$\begin{aligned}
&(c_2 q^b \hat{h}(q))(c_1 q^{pa} \hat{g}(q^p)) - (c_1 q^a \hat{g}(q))(c_2 q^{pb} \hat{h}(q^p)) \\
&= c_1 c_2 q^{pa+b} \sum_{k\geq 0} \left(\sum_{pi+j=k} a_i b_j \right) q^k - c_1 c_2 q^{a+pb} \sum_{k\geq 0} \left(\sum_{i+pj=k} a_i b_j \right) q^k.
\end{aligned}$$

We first claim $a = b$. If not, assume $a > b$. Then the coefficient of q^{a+pb} equals $c_1 c_2 a_0 b_0$. Since p is an arbitrary prime with $p \nmid 1 - g(q)$, we may assume that p is sufficiently large. Under this assumption, the condition $p|c_1 c_2 a_0 b_0$ forces $a = 0$ or $b = 0$, which is absurd. In the same manner, we can derive a contradiction when $a < b$. Consequently $a = b$ and this equality implies that the coefficient of q^{pa+a+k} equals $c_1 c_2 (a_0 b_k - a_k b_0)$ for all $k \geq 0$ (because p is sufficiently large). In addition, since none of c_1, c_2 are divisible by p, we get $a_0 b_k - a_k b_0 = 0$. It means that $\hat{h}(q) = \frac{b_0}{a_0} \hat{g}(q)$. On the other hand, $\hat{g}(q), \hat{h}(q)$ are primitive, thus $\frac{b_0}{a_0}$ should be ± 1.

($\Longleftarrow$): Let $F = \mathbb{Z}[q][x_n : n \geq 1]$ and $X = (x_n)_{n\in\mathbb{N}}$. For $n \geq 1$, define y_n via the following recursive relation:

$$n\mathsf{y}_n = \sum_{d|n} d \left[\frac{n}{d}\right]_{g(q)} x_d^{\frac{n}{d}} - \sum_{\substack{d|n \\ d<n}} d \left[\frac{n}{d}\right]_{h(q)} \mathsf{y}_d^{\frac{n}{d}} \text{ for all } n \geq 1. \tag{3.12}$$

We claim that $\mathsf{y}_n \in \mathbb{Z}[g(q)][x_d : d|n]$ for all $n \geq 1$. When $n = 1$, $\mathsf{y}_1 = x_1$. If $n > 1$, assume that our assertion holds for all positive integers less than n. In view of the induction hypothesis, it is obvious that the right hand side of Eq.(3.12) is contained in $\mathbb{Z}[g(q)][x_d : d|n]$. To see that it is also divisible by n, choose any prime divisor p of n.

Case 1: $(p, 1-g(q)) = (p, 1-h(q)) = p$

In view of Eq.(3.7), $d\left[\frac{n}{d}\right]_{g(q)}$ and $d\left[\frac{n}{d}\right]_{h(q)}$ are divisible by $p^{\mathrm{ord}_p(n)}$ for every divisor d of n and thus the right hand side of Eq.(3.12) is also divisible by $p^{\mathrm{ord}_p(n)}$.

Case 2: $(p, 1-g(q)) = (p, 1-h(q)) = 1$

From Eq.(3.12) it follows that

$$\begin{aligned}
&n(1-g(q))(1-h(q))\mathsf{y}_n \\
&\equiv (1-h(q))\sum_{d|\frac{n}{p}} d(1-g(q)^{\frac{n}{d}})x_d^{\frac{n}{d}} - (1-g(q))\sum_{d|\frac{n}{p}} d(1-h(q)^{\frac{n}{d}})\mathsf{y}_d^{\frac{n}{d}} \\
&\equiv (1-h(q))\sum_{d|\frac{n}{p}} d(1-(g(q)^p)^{\frac{n}{dp}})(x_d^p)^{\frac{n}{dp}} - (1-g(q))\sum_{d|\frac{n}{p}} d(1-(h(q)^p)^{\frac{n}{dp}})(\mathsf{y}_d^p)^{\frac{n}{dp}} \\
&\equiv (1-h(q))(1-g(q^p))\sum_{d|\frac{n}{p}} d\left[\frac{n}{dp}\right]_{g(q^p)} (x_d^p)^{\frac{n}{dp}} - (1-g(q))(1-h(q^p))\sum_{d|\frac{n}{p}} d\left[\frac{n}{dp}\right]_{h(q^p)} (\mathsf{y}_d^p)^{\frac{n}{dp}}.
\end{aligned}$$

Here '$\equiv$' denotes '$\equiv \pmod{p^{\mathrm{ord}_p(n)}}$'. Considering Eq.(3.12) for $\frac{n}{p}$, we can deduce that

$$\sum_{d|\frac{n}{p}} d\left[\frac{n}{dp}\right]_{g(q^p)} (x_d^p)^{\frac{n}{dp}} = \sum_{d|\frac{n}{p}} d\left[\frac{n}{dp}\right]_{h(q^p)} (\mathsf{y}_d|_{\substack{q\mapsto q^p \\ x_d\mapsto x_d^p}})^{\frac{n}{dp}}.$$

Combining it with the modular equivalence

$$(\mathsf{y}_d|_{\substack{q\mapsto q^p \\ x_d\mapsto x_d^p}})^{\frac{n}{dp}} \equiv (\mathsf{y}_d|_{\substack{q\mapsto q^p \\ x_d\mapsto x_d^p}})^{\frac{n}{dp}} \pmod{p^{\mathrm{ord}_p(\frac{n}{p})}},$$

we come to

$$\begin{aligned}
&n(1-g(q))(1-h(q))\mathsf{y}_n \\
&\equiv ((1-h(q))(1-g(q^p)) - (1-g(q))(1-h(q^p)))\sum_{d|\frac{n}{p}} d\left[\frac{n}{dp}\right]_{h(q^p)} \mathsf{y}_d^{\frac{n}{d}} \pmod{p^{\mathrm{ord}_p(n)}} \\
&\equiv 0 \pmod{p^{\mathrm{ord}_p(n)}}.
\end{aligned}$$

Because $(p, 1-g(q)) = (p, 1-h(q)) = 1$, it follows that $n\mathsf{y}_n \equiv 0 \pmod{p^{\mathrm{ord}_p(n)}}$. So our claim is verified. Finally, by mimicking the method in the proof of Proposition 3.11, we can construct the desired natural transformation. □

3.3.2. Here we classify W^m and $F^{\mathsf{RINGS}}_{\mathsf{Abel}} \circ \mathsf{W}^m$ up to strict-isomorphism as m varies over the set of integers.

Definition 3.14. *Suppose that a, b range over the set of integers.*

(a) *We say that W^a is strictly-isomorphic to W^b if there exists a natural isomorphism, say $\omega : \mathsf{W}^a \to \mathsf{W}^b$, satisfying $\Phi^a = \Phi^b \circ \omega$. In this case, ω is called a strict natural isomorphism.*

(b) *We say that $F^{\mathsf{RINGS}}_{\mathsf{Abel}} \circ \mathsf{W}^a$ is strictly isomorphic to $F^{\mathsf{RINGS}}_{\mathsf{Abel}} \circ \mathsf{W}^b$ if there exists a natural isomorphism, say $\omega : F^{\mathsf{RINGS}}_{\mathsf{Abel}} \circ \mathsf{W}^a \to F^{\mathsf{RINGS}}_{\mathsf{Abel}} \circ \mathsf{W}^b$, such that $(\Phi_{\mathrm{ab}})^a = (\Phi_{\mathrm{ab}})^b \circ \omega$.*

Proposition 3.15. (a) *Let a, b be integers. Then W^a is strictly-isomorphic to W^b if and only if $a = b$ or $a + b = 2$ with $a \neq 1$.*

(b) *Suppose that m varies over the set of integers. Then W^m is classified by the set of positive integers up to strict-isomorphism.*

Proof. (a) It follows from proposition 3.11.

(b) First, we will show that W^a is not strictly isomorphic to W^b for any integers $a, b \geq 1$ with $a \neq b$. It is trivial by (a) since $a + b$ always exceeds 2. Second, we will show that for any nonnegative integer a, W^a is strictly isomorphic to W^b for some positive integer b. It, however, is trivial since W^a is strictly isomorphic to W^{2-a} and $2 - a \geq 2$. $\square$

Proposition 3.16. (a) *Let a, b be arbitrary integers. Then $F^{\mathsf{RINGS}}_{\mathsf{Abel}} \circ \mathsf{W}^a$ is strictly isomorphic to $F^{\mathsf{RINGS}}_{\mathsf{Abel}} \circ \mathsf{W}^b$ if and only if $(1-a)$ and $(1-b)$ have the same set of prime divisors.*

(b) *Suppose that m varies over the set of integers and let $\mathcal{P}$ be the set of all primes. Then $F^{\mathsf{RINGS}}_{\mathsf{Abel}} \circ \mathsf{W}^m$ is classified by $\{S \subset \mathcal{P} : |S| < \infty \text{ or } S = \mathcal{P}\}$ up to strict-isomorphism.*

Proof. (a) It follows from Proposition 3.13.

(b) For $a, b \in \mathbb{Z}$ define $a \sim b$ if $1 - a$ and $1 - b$ have the same set of prime divisors. Obviously $\sim$ is an equivalence relation on $\mathbb{Z}$. And, from (a) it follows that $F^{\mathsf{RINGS}}_{\mathsf{Abel}} \circ \mathsf{W}^m$ is classified by the set of equivalence classes up to strict-isomorphism. Consider the map

$$\eta : \mathbb{Z}/\sim \to \{S \subset \mathcal{P} : |S| < \infty \text{ or } S = \mathcal{P}\}, \quad [m] \mapsto \text{ the set of all prime divisors of } 1 - m.$$

By the definition of $\sim$, η is well defined and injective. To see that η is surjective, pick up any finite subset $\{p_1, \ldots, p_l\}$ of $\mathcal{P}$. Then $\eta(1 - p_1 p_2 \cdots p_l) = \{p_1, \ldots, p_l\}$. In addition, $\eta(1) = \mathcal{P}$. This completes the proof. $\square$

4. q-Deformation of Grothendieck's Λ-functor

4.1. q-Deformation of Grothendieck's Λ-functor and its specializations. In Section 2.1.2, we have introduced Grothendieck's Λ-functor. In the context of Witt vectors it is well known that $\mathbb{W}$ is naturally equivalent to Λ. The natural isomorphism, say Θ, is defined in such a way that for each commutative ring A with unity,

$$\Theta_A : \mathbb{W}(A) \to \Lambda(A), \quad (a_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \mapsto \prod_{n \geq 1} \left(\frac{1}{1 - a_n t^n} \right).$$

Let $g(q)$ be an arbitrary polynomial in $\mathbb{Z}[q]$. The objective of the present section is to construct a functor $\overline{\Lambda}^{g(q)} : \mathbb{Z}[q]\text{-}\mathsf{Algebras} \to \mathsf{RINGS}$ such that $\Theta : \overline{\Lambda}^{g(q)} \to \overline{\mathsf{W}}^{g(q)}$ is a natural isomorphism. For any $\mathbb{Z}[q]$-algebra A, let $\overline{\Lambda}^{g(q)}(A)$ be the ring such that the underlying set is

$$\left\{ 1 + \sum_{n \geq 1} a_n t^n : a_n \in A \right\}$$

and the addition and the multiplication are defined in the following way: For each object A in $\mathbb{Z}[q]\text{-}\mathsf{Algebras}$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \prod_{n \geq 1} \left(\frac{1}{1 - a_n t^n} \right) +_{\overline{\Lambda}^{g(q)}_A} \prod_{n \geq 1} \left(\frac{1}{1 - b_n t^n} \right) &= \prod_{n \geq 1} \left(\frac{1}{1 - \mathsf{S}_n|_{\substack{x_d \mapsto a_d \\ y_d \mapsto b_d}} t^n} \right), \\ \prod_{n \geq 1} \left(\frac{1}{1 - a_n t^n} \right) \times_{\overline{\Lambda}^{g(q)}_A} \prod_{n \geq 1} \left(\frac{1}{1 - b_n t^n} \right) &= \prod_{n \geq 1} \left(\frac{1}{1 - \mathsf{P}_n|_{\substack{x_d \mapsto a_d \\ y_d \mapsto b_d}} t^n} \right). \end{aligned} \tag{4.1}$$

For a $\mathbb{Z}[q]$-algebra homomorphism $f : A \to B$, define the map $\overline{\Lambda}^{g(q)}(f) : \overline{\Lambda}^{g(q)}(A) \to \overline{\Lambda}^{g(q)}(B)$ by $1 + \sum_{n \geq 1} a_n t^n \mapsto 1 + \sum_{n \geq 1} f(a_n) t^n$. One easily sees that the assignment $A \mapsto \overline{\Lambda}^{g(q)}(A)$

induces a functor $\overline{\Lambda}^{g(q)} : \mathbb{Z}[q]\text{-}\mathsf{Algebras} \to \mathsf{RINGS}$. Set $\mathsf{Gh} := \iota^{\mathsf{Rings}}_{\mathsf{RINGS}} \circ Gh$ (for the definition of Gh, refer to Section 2.3). Then we have the following characterization of $\overline{\Lambda}^{g(q)}$.

Theorem 4.1. *Let $g(q)$ be any polynomial in $\mathbb{Z}[q]$. Then, $\overline{\Lambda}^{g(q)} : \mathbb{Z}[q]\text{-}\mathsf{Algebras} \to \mathsf{RINGS}$ is a functor satisfying the following conditions*:

1. *As a set, $\overline{\Lambda}^{g(q)}(A) = \{1 + \sum_{n\geq 1} a_n t^n : a_n \in A\}$.*
2. *For a $\mathbb{Z}[q]$-algebra homomorphism $f : A \to B$, one has*
$$\overline{\Lambda}^{g(q)}(f) : \overline{\Lambda}^{g(q)}(A) \to \overline{\Lambda}^{g(q)}(B), \quad 1 + \sum_{n\geq 1} a_n t^n \mapsto 1 + \sum_{n\geq 1} f(a_n) t^n.$$
3. *The map,*
$$\overline{\Upsilon}^{g(q)}_A : \overline{\Lambda}^{g(q)}(A) \to \left(\mathsf{Gh} \circ F^{\mathbb{Z}[q]\text{-}\mathsf{Algebras}}_{\mathsf{Rings}}\right)(A)$$
$$\prod_{n\geq 1}\left(\frac{1}{1-a_n t^n}\right) \mapsto \frac{d}{dt}\log \prod_{n\geq 1}\left(\frac{1-g(q)a_n t^n}{1-a_n t^n}\right),$$
is a ring homomorphism.

Furthermore, if $g(q) \neq 1$, then $\overline{\Lambda}^{g(q)}$ is uniquely determined by the conditions (1)-(3).

Proof. First, let us show that $\overline{\Lambda}^{g(q)}$ satisfies the condition (1)-(3). Since (1),(2) are obvious by the definition of $\overline{\Lambda}^{g(q)}$, we will only see (3). For any $\mathbb{Z}[q]$-algebra A, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
&\overline{\Upsilon}^{g(q)}_A \left(\prod_{n\geq 1}\left(\frac{1}{1-a_n t^n}\right) +_{\overline{\Lambda}^{g(q)}_A} \prod_{n\geq 1}\left(\frac{1}{1-b_n t^n}\right)\right)\\
&= \overline{\Upsilon}^{g(q)}_A \left(\prod_{n\geq 1}\left(\frac{1}{1-\left(\mathsf{S}_n|_{\substack{x_d \mapsto a_d\\ y_d \mapsto b_d}}\right)t^n}\right)\right)\\
&= \sum_{n\geq 1}\left(\sum_{d|n} d(1-g(q)^{\frac{n}{d}})\left(\mathsf{S}_d|_{\substack{x_d \mapsto a_d\\ y_d \mapsto b_d}}\right)^{\frac{n}{d}}\right)t^{n-1}\\
&= \sum_{n\geq 1}\left(\sum_{d|n} d(1-g(q)^{\frac{n}{d}})(x_d^{\frac{n}{d}} + y_d^{\frac{n}{d}})\right)t^{n-1}\\
&= \overline{\Upsilon}^{g(q)}_A \left(\prod_{n\geq 1}\left(\frac{1}{1-a_n t^n}\right)\right) + \overline{\Upsilon}^{g(q)}_A \left(\prod_{n\geq 1}\left(\frac{1}{1-b_n t^n}\right)\right).
\end{aligned}$$

In the exactly same manner, one can see that $\overline{\Upsilon}^{g(q)}_A$ also preserves the multiplication.

For the second assertion, assume that $g(q) \neq 1$ and $\hat{\Lambda}^{g(q)}$ is a functor satisfying the conditions (1)-(3). For any $\mathbb{Z}[q]$-algebra A, consider the map $\mathrm{id}_A : \overline{\Lambda}^{g(q)}(A) \to \hat{\Lambda}^{g(q)}(A),\ (b_n)_{n\in\mathbb{N}} \mapsto (b_n)_{n\in\mathbb{N}}$. We claim that $A \mapsto \mathrm{id}_A$ induces a natural isomorphism $\mathrm{id} : \overline{\Lambda}^{g(q)} \to \hat{\Lambda}^{g(q)}$. In verifying the claim, the only nontrivial part is to show that id_A is a ring homomorphism. Let $\mathsf{F} = \mathbb{Z}[q][x_n, y_n : n \geq 1]$. In case where $A = \mathsf{F}$, it is obvious since $\overline{\Upsilon}^{g(q)}_{\mathsf{F}}, \hat{\overline{\Upsilon}}^{g(q)}_{\mathsf{F}}$ are injective (since $g(q) \neq 1$) and $\overline{\Upsilon}^{g(q)}_{\mathsf{F}} = \hat{\overline{\Upsilon}}^{g(q)}_{\mathsf{F}} \circ \mathrm{id}_{\mathsf{F}}$. In particular, letting $X = (x_n)_{n\in\mathbb{N}}$ and $Y = (y_n)_{n\in\mathbb{N}}$, we have $\Theta_{\mathsf{F}}(X)\cdot\Theta_{\mathsf{F}}(Y)=\Theta_{\mathsf{F}}(\mathsf{P})$ (in $\hat{\Lambda}^{g(q)}(\mathsf{F})$) since $\Theta:\overline{\mathsf{W}}^{g(q))}\to\overline{\Lambda}^{g(q)}$ is a natural isomorphism (see Section 4.3). Given any elements $a=(a_n)_{n\in\mathbb{N}}$ and $b=(b_n)_{n\in\mathbb{N}}\in\overline{\mathsf{W}}^{g(q)}(A)$, note that

$$\mathrm{id}_A(\Theta_A(a)\cdot\Theta(b))=\mathrm{id}_A\left(\Theta_A\left(\left(\mathsf{P}_n|_{\substack{x_d\mapsto a_d\\ y_d\mapsto b_d}}\right)_{n\in\mathbb{N}}\right)\right)=\Theta_A\left(\left(\mathsf{P}_n|_{\substack{x_d\mapsto a_d\\ y_d\mapsto b_d}}\right)_{n\in\mathbb{N}}\right).$$

Now, we compute $\mathrm{id}_A(\Theta_A(a))\cdot\mathrm{id}_A(\Theta(b))$. Let $\pi:\mathsf{F}\to A$ be the unique $\mathbb{Z}[q]$-algebra homomorphism with $x_n\mapsto a_n, y_n\mapsto b_n$ for all $n\in\mathbb{N}$. By the functoriality of $\hat{\Lambda}^{g(q)}$ we have the ring homomorphism $\hat{\Lambda}^{g(q)}(\pi):\hat{\Lambda}^{g(q)}(\mathsf{F})\to\hat{\Lambda}^{g(q)}(A)$ with $\Theta_{\mathsf{F}}(X)\mapsto\Theta_A(a)$ and $\Theta_{\mathsf{F}}(Y)\mapsto\Theta_A(b)$. Therefore we have

$$\begin{aligned}\mathrm{id}_A(\Theta_A(a))\cdot\mathrm{id}_A(\Theta_A(b))&=\Theta_A(a)\cdot\Theta_A(b)\\&=\hat{\Lambda}^{g(q)}(\pi)(\Theta_{\mathsf{F}}(X))\cdot\hat{\Lambda}^{g(q)}(\pi)(\Theta_{\mathsf{F}}(Y))\\&=\hat{\Lambda}^{g(q)}(\pi)(\Theta_{\mathsf{F}}(X)\cdot\Theta_{\mathsf{F}}(Y))\\&=\hat{\Lambda}^{g(q)}(\pi)(\Theta_{\mathsf{F}}((\mathsf{P}_n)_{n\in\mathbb{N}}))\\&=\prod_{n\geq1}\left(\frac{1}{1-\pi(\mathsf{P}_n)t^n}\right)\\&=\Theta_{\mathsf{A}}\left(\left(\mathsf{P}_n|_{\substack{x_d\mapsto a_d\\ y_d\mapsto b_d}}\right)_{n\in\mathbb{N}}\right).\end{aligned}$$

Thus $\mathrm{id}_A(\Theta_A(a)\cdot\Theta_A(b))=\mathrm{id}_A(\Theta_A(a))\cdot\mathrm{id}_A(\Theta_A(b))$. In the same way as the above, one can show that $\mathrm{id}_A(\Theta_A(a)+\Theta_A(b))=\mathrm{id}_A(\Theta_A(a))+\mathrm{id}_A(\Theta_A(b))$. Consequently id_A is a ring homomorphism. So we are done. □

Remark 4.2. As in Remark 3.6, the third condition in Theorem 4.1 can be divided into two parts in the following manner:

(3-1) The map,

$$\begin{gathered}(\overline{\Upsilon}^{\mathrm{ab}}_A)^{g(q)}:F^{\mathsf{RINGS}}_{\mathsf{Abel}}\circ\overline{\Lambda}^{g(q)}(A)\to F^{\mathsf{RINGS}}_{\mathsf{Abel}}\circ\left(\mathsf{Gh}\circ F^{\mathbb{Z}[q]\text{-}\mathsf{Algebras}}_{\mathsf{Rings}}\right)(A)\\ \prod_{n\geq1}\left(\frac{1}{1-a_nt^n}\right)\mapsto\sum_{n\geq1}\left(\sum_{d|n}d\left[\frac{n}{d}\right]_{g(q)}a_d^{\frac{n}{d}}\right)t^{n-1},\end{gathered}$$

is an abelian group homomorphism.

(3-2) The map,

$$\begin{gathered}\overline{\Upsilon}^{g(q)}_A:\overline{\Lambda}^{g(q)}(A)\to\left(\mathsf{Gh}\circ F^{\mathbb{Z}[q]\text{-}\mathsf{Algebras}}_{\mathsf{Rings}}\right)(A)\\ \prod_{n\geq1}\left(\frac{1}{1-a_nt^n}\right)\mapsto\frac{d}{dt}\log\prod_{n\geq1}\left(\frac{1-g(q)a_nt^n}{1-a_nt^n}\right),\end{gathered}$$

is multiplicative.

Consider the case where $g(q)=q$. Specializing q into an integer m produces a functor $\Lambda^m:\mathsf{Rings}\to\mathsf{RINGS}$ for each $m\in\mathbb{Z}$. In this case, for each commutative ring A with unity, we have

$$\prod_{n\geq 1}\left(\frac{1}{1-a_nt^n}\right) +_{\Lambda_A^m} \prod_{n\geq 1}\left(\frac{1}{1-b_nt^n}\right) = \prod_{n\geq 1}\left(\frac{1}{1-\mathsf{S}_n|_{\substack{q\mapsto m\\ x_d\mapsto a_d\\ y_d\mapsto b_d}} t^n}\right),$$

$$\prod_{n\geq 1}\left(\frac{1}{1-a_nt^n}\right) \times_{\Lambda_A^m} \prod_{n\geq 1}\left(\frac{1}{1-b_nt^n}\right) = \prod_{n\geq 1}\left(\frac{1}{1-\mathsf{P}_n|_{\substack{q\mapsto m\\ x_d\mapsto a_d\\ y_d\mapsto b_d}} t^n}\right).$$

By Corollary 3.7 the subsequent corollary is almost straightforward.

Corollary 4.3. *Let m be an integer. Then, Λ^m : $\mathsf{Rings} \to \mathsf{RINGS}$ satisfies the following conditions*:

1. *As a set, $\Lambda^m(A) = \{1 + \sum_{n\geq 1} a_nt^n : a_n \in A\}$.*
2. *For a unity-preserving ring homomorphism $f : A \to B$, one has*
$$\Lambda^m(f) : \Lambda^m(A) \to \Lambda^m(B), \quad 1 + \sum_{n\geq 1} a_nt^n \mapsto 1 + \sum_{n\geq 1} f(a_n)t^n.$$
3. *The map,*
$$\Upsilon_A^m : \Lambda^m(A) \to \mathsf{Gh}(A), \ \prod_{n\geq 1}\left(\frac{1}{1-a_nt^n}\right) \mapsto \frac{d}{dt}\log \prod_{n\geq 1}\left(\frac{1-ma_nt^n}{1-a_nt^n}\right),$$
is a ring homomorphism.

Furthermore, if $m \neq 1$, then it is uniquely determined by (1)-(3).

4.2. **Symmetric functions arising in the context of our q-deformed Witt rings.** In this subsection, we focus on certain symmetric functions which are naturally arise in the context of our q-deformed Witt rings. Let $X = \{x_i : i \geq 1\}$ be an alphabet. Define new symmetric functions $\mathsf{u}_n^q(X), \mathsf{v}_n^q(X), (n \geq 1)$ via the following relations:

$$\begin{aligned} &\sum_{d|n} d \begin{bmatrix} n \\ d \end{bmatrix}_q \mathsf{u}_d^q(X)^{\frac{n}{d}} = p_n(X), \ (n \geq 1), \\ &\sum_{d|n} d(1-q^{\frac{n}{d}})\mathsf{v}_d^q(X)^{\frac{n}{d}} = p_n(X), \ (n \geq 1). \end{aligned} \tag{4.2}$$

Here $p_n(X)$ denotes the nth power-sum symmetric function in x_i $(i \geq 1)$, that is, $p_n(x) = x_1^n + x_2^n + x_3^n + \cdots$. Therefore we obtain that

$$\left(\frac{d}{dt}\log\right)^{-1}\left(\sum_{n\geq 1}\left(\sum_{d|n} d(1-q^{\frac{n}{d}})\mathsf{u}_d^q(X)^{\frac{n}{d}}\right)t^{n-1}\right) = \left(\frac{d}{dt}\log\right)^{-1}\left((1-q)\sum_{n\geq 1} p_n(X)t^{n-1}\right),$$

$$\left(\frac{d}{dt}\log\right)^{-1}\left(\sum_{n\geq 1}\left(\sum_{d|n} d(1-q^{\frac{n}{d}})\mathsf{v}_d^q(X)^{\frac{n}{d}}\right)t^{n-1}\right) = \left(\frac{d}{dt}\log\right)^{-1}\left(\sum_{n\geq 1} p_n(X)t^{n-1}\right).$$

Equivalently,

$$\prod_{n\geq 1}\left(\frac{1-q\mathsf{u}_n^q(X)t^n}{1-\mathsf{u}_n^q(X)t^n}\right) = H(X,t)^{1-q} \text{ and}$$

$$\prod_{n\geq 1}\left(\frac{1-q\mathsf{v}_n^q(X)t^n}{1-\mathsf{v}_n^q(X)t^n}\right) = H(X,t).$$

Here $H(X,t)^{1-q}$ denotes $\exp\left((1-q)\log H(X,t)\right)$. Let

$$\mathsf{H}^q(X,t) = 1 + \sum_{n\geq 1} \mathsf{h}_n^q(X)t^n := \prod_{n\geq 1}\left(\frac{1}{1-\mathsf{u}_n^q(X)t^n}\right) \text{ and}$$
$$\mathsf{G}^q(X,t) = 1 + \sum_{n\geq 1} \mathsf{g}_n^q(X)t^n := \prod_{n\geq 1}\left(\frac{1}{1-\mathsf{v}_n^q(X)t^n}\right).$$

Lemma 4.4. *Let $X = \{x_i : i \geq 1\}$, $Y = \{y_i : i \geq 1\}$ be alphabets. For each positive integer n, we have*

(a) $\mathsf{h}_n^q(X+Y) \in \mathbb{Z}[q][\mathsf{h}_i^q(X), \mathsf{h}_j^q(Y) : 1 \leq i,j \leq n]$.

(b) $\mathsf{g}_n^q(XY) \in \mathbb{Z}[q][\mathsf{g}_i^q(X), \mathsf{g}_j^q(Y) : 1 \leq i,j \leq n]$.

Proof.

(a) Let us observe

$$\begin{aligned}&\mathsf{u}_n^q(X) - \mathsf{h}_n^q(X) \in \mathbb{Z}[q][\mathsf{h}_d^q(X) : d|n] \quad \text{and}\\ &\mathsf{h}_n^q(X+Y) = \sum_{\substack{a_1+2a_2+\cdots+na_n=n\\ a_i\geq 0}} \mathsf{u}_1^q(X+Y)^{a_1}\mathsf{u}_2^q(X+Y)^{a_2}\cdots\mathsf{u}_n^q(X+Y)^{a_n}\end{aligned}$$

for all $n \geq 1$. Thus, to verify our assertion, it suffices to see that

$$\mathsf{u}_n^q(X+Y) \in \mathbb{Z}[q][\mathsf{u}_d^q(X), \mathsf{u}_d^q(Y) : d|n],\ (n\geq 1).$$

On the other hand, due to the identity

$$\sum_{d|n} d\left[\frac{n}{d}\right]_q \mathsf{u}_d^q(X+Y)^{\frac{n}{d}} = p_n(X+Y) = p_n(X) + p_n(Y),$$

we can derive the equation

$$\sum_{d|n} d\left[\frac{n}{d}\right]_q \mathsf{u}_d^q(X+Y)^{\frac{n}{d}} = \sum_{d|n} d\left[\frac{n}{d}\right]_q \left(\mathsf{u}_d^q(X)^{\frac{n}{d}} + \mathsf{u}_d^q(Y)^{\frac{n}{d}}\right).$$

Immediately it follows that $\mathsf{u}_n^q(X+Y) \in \mathbb{Q}[q][\mathsf{u}_d^q(X), \mathsf{u}_d^q(Y) : d|n]$ for each positive integer n. In the following, we will show that $\mathsf{u}_n^q(X+Y) \in \mathbb{Z}[q][\mathsf{u}_d^q(X), \mathsf{u}_d^q(Y) : d|n]$. Let p be any prime divisor of n. Then

$$\begin{aligned}&n(1-q)\mathsf{u}_n^q(X+Y)\\ &= \sum_{d|n} d(1-q^{\frac{n}{d}})\left(\mathsf{u}_d^q(X)^{\frac{n}{d}} + \mathsf{u}_d^q(Y)^{\frac{n}{d}}\right) - \sum_{\substack{d|n\\ d<n}} d(1-q^{\frac{n}{d}})\mathsf{u}_d^q(X+Y)^{\frac{n}{d}}\\ &\equiv \sum_{d|\frac{n}{p}} d(1-(q^p)^{\frac{n}{pd}})\left((\mathsf{u}_d^q(X)^p)^{\frac{n}{dp}} + (\mathsf{u}_d^q(Y)^p)^{\frac{n}{pd}}\right) - \sum_{d|\frac{n}{p}} d(1-(q^p)^{\frac{n}{pd}})(\mathsf{u}_d^q(X+Y)^p)^{\frac{n}{pd}}\\ &\equiv \sum_{d|\frac{n}{p}} d(1-(q^p)^{\frac{n}{pd}})\left(\left(\mathsf{u}_d^q(X+Y)|_{\substack{q\mapsto q^p\\ \mathsf{u}_i(X)\mapsto \mathsf{u}_i(X)^p\\ \mathsf{u}_i(Y)\mapsto \mathsf{u}_i(Y)^p}}\right)^{\frac{n}{pd}} - (\mathsf{u}_d^q(X+Y)^p)^{\frac{n}{pd}}\right)\\ &\equiv 0 \quad \text{(by Lemma 3.2)}.\end{aligned}$$

Here '$\equiv$' denotes '$\equiv \pmod{p^{\mathrm{ord}_p(n)}}$'. It implies that $n(1-q)\mathsf{u}_n^q(X+Y)$ is divisible by n. But, it it obvious that $n(1-q)\mathsf{u}_n^q(X+Y)$ is divisible by $1-q$. Hence our proof is completed.

(b) Recall

$$\mathsf{g}_n^q(XY) = \sum_{\substack{a_1+2a_2+\cdots+na_n=n\\ a_i\geq 0}} \mathsf{v}_1^q(XY)^{a_1}\mathsf{v}_2^q(XY)^{a_2}\cdots\mathsf{v}_n^q(XY)^{a_n}.$$

Hence, to verify our assertion, it suffices to show that $\mathsf{v}_n^q(XY) \in \mathbb{Z}[q][\mathsf{v}_d^q(X), \mathsf{v}_d^q(Y) : d|n]$ for all $n \geq 1$. since $\mathsf{v}_d^q(X) - \mathsf{g}_d^q(X) \in \mathbb{Z}[q][g_i^q(X) : i|d]$. To this end, observe that the equalities

$$\sum_{d|n} d(1-q^{\frac{n}{d}})\mathsf{v}_d^q(XY)^{\frac{n}{d}} = p_n(XY) = p_n(X)p_n(Y),\ (n\geq 1)$$

imply

$$\sum_{d|n} d(1-q^{\frac{n}{d}})\mathsf{v}_d^q(XY)^{\frac{n}{d}} = \left(\sum_{d|n} d(1-q^{\frac{n}{d}})\mathsf{v}_d^q(X)^{\frac{n}{d}}\right)\left(\sum_{d|n} d(1-q^{\frac{n}{d}})\mathsf{v}_d^q(Y)^{\frac{n}{d}}\right)$$

for all $n \geq 1$. In a recursive way, one easily sees that $\mathsf{v}_n^q(XY) \in \mathbb{Q}[q][\mathsf{v}_d^q(X), \mathsf{v}_d^q(Y) : d|n]$. Now, we are ready to prove $\mathsf{v}_n^q(XY) \in \mathbb{Z}[q][\mathsf{v}_d^q(X), \mathsf{v}_d^q(Y) : d|n]$ for all $n \geq 1$. Let p be any prime divisor of n. Then

$$\begin{aligned}
&n(1-q)\mathsf{v}_n^q(XY)\\
&= \left(\sum_{d|n} d(1-q^{\frac{n}{d}})\mathsf{v}_d^q(X)^{\frac{n}{d}}\right)\left(\sum_{d|n} d(1-q^{\frac{n}{d}})\mathsf{v}_d^q(Y)^{\frac{n}{d}}\right) - \sum_{\substack{d|n\\ d<n}} d(1-q^{\frac{n}{d}})\mathsf{v}_d^q(XY)^{\frac{n}{d}}\\
&\equiv \left(\sum_{d|\frac{n}{p}} d(1-(q^p)^{\frac{n}{pd}})(\mathsf{v}_d^q(X)^p)^{\frac{n}{pd}}\right)\left(\sum_{d|\frac{n}{p}} d(1-(q^p)^{\frac{n}{pd}})(\mathsf{v}_d^q(Y)^p)^{\frac{n}{pd}}\right) - \sum_{d|\frac{n}{p}} d(1-q^{\frac{n}{d}})(\mathsf{v}_d^q(XY)^p)^{\frac{n}{pd}}\\
&\equiv \sum_{d|\frac{n}{p}} d(1-(q^p)^{\frac{n}{pd}})\left(\left(\mathsf{v}_d^q(XY)|_{\substack{q\mapsto q^p\\ \mathsf{v}_i^q(X)\mapsto(\mathsf{v}_i^q(X))^p\\ \mathsf{v}_i^q(Y)\mapsto(\mathsf{v}_i^q(Y))^p}}\right)^{\frac{n}{pd}} - (\mathsf{v}_d^q(XY)^p)^{\frac{n}{pd}}\right)\\
&\equiv 0 \quad \text{(by Lemma 3.2)(a))}.
\end{aligned}$$

Here '$\equiv$' denotes '$\equiv \pmod{p^{\mathrm{ord}_p(n)}}$'. Since p is arbitrary, our assertion is verified. □

For a partition $\lambda = (\lambda_1, \ldots, \lambda_l)$, let

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathsf{u}_\lambda^q(X) &:= \mathsf{u}_{\lambda_1}^q(X)\mathsf{u}_{\lambda_2}^q(X)\cdots\mathsf{u}_{\lambda_l}^q(X),\\
\mathsf{v}_\lambda^q(X) &:= \mathsf{v}_{\lambda_1}^q(X)\mathsf{v}_{\lambda_2}^q(X)\cdots\mathsf{v}_{\lambda_l}^q(X),\\
\mathsf{h}_\lambda^q(X) &:= \mathsf{h}_{\lambda_1}^q(X)\mathsf{h}_{\lambda_2}^q(X)\cdots\mathsf{h}_{\lambda_l}^q(X),\\
\mathsf{g}_\lambda^q(X) &:= \mathsf{g}_{\lambda_1}^q(X)\mathsf{g}_{\lambda_2}^q(X)\cdots\mathsf{g}_{\lambda_l}^q(X).
\end{aligned}$$

In order to state the next proposition, we need the following notation. Let $X = \{x_i : i \geq 1\}$ be an alphabet, $\Lambda_{\mathbb{Z}}$ the ring of symmetric functions in infinitely many variables $x_i, (i \geq 1)$, over $\mathbb{Z}$, and Par the set of all partitions. For simplicity, let $\mathbb{Z}[q]_{1-q} := \mathbb{Z}[q]\left[\frac{1}{1-q}\right]$.

Theorem 4.5. *With the notation above, we have*
(a)$\{\mathsf{u}_\lambda^q(X) : \lambda \in Par\}$ *is a* $\mathbb{Q}[q]$*-basis of* $\mathbb{Q}[q] \otimes \Lambda_{\mathbb{Z}}$.
(b)$\{\mathsf{h}_\lambda^q(X) : \lambda \in Par\}$ *is a* $\mathbb{Q}[q]$*-basis of* $\mathbb{Q}[q] \otimes \Lambda_{\mathbb{Z}}$.
(c)$\{\mathsf{v}_\lambda^q(X) : \lambda \in Par\}$ *is a* $\mathbb{Z}[q]_{1-q}$*-basis of* $\mathbb{Z}[q]_{1-q} \otimes \Lambda_{\mathbb{Z}}$.
(d)$\{\mathsf{g}_\lambda^q(X) : \lambda \in Par\}$ *is a* $\mathbb{Z}[q]_{1-q}$*-basis of* $\mathbb{Z}[q]_{1-q} \otimes \Lambda_{\mathbb{Z}}$.

Proof.

(a) From the first equation of (4.2) it follows that

$$p_n(X) - n\mathsf{u}^q_n(X) \in \mathbb{Z}[q][\mathsf{u}^q_d(X) : d|n, d < n]$$

for all $n \geq 1$. Since $\{p_\lambda(X) : \lambda \in Par\}$ is a $\mathbb{Q}$-basis of $\mathbb{Q} \otimes \Lambda_{\mathbb{Z}}$, it follows that $\{\mathsf{u}^q_\lambda(X) : \lambda \in Par\}$ is a spanning set of $\mathbb{Q}[q] \otimes \Lambda_{\mathbb{Z}}$. To see that it is linearly independent, let

$$a_{\lambda^{(1)}}\mathsf{u}^q_{\lambda^{(1)}} + a_{\lambda^{(2)}}\mathsf{u}^q_{\lambda^{(2)}} + \cdots + a_{\lambda^{(r)}}\mathsf{u}^q_{\lambda^{(r)}} = 0, \text{ with } a_{\lambda^{(i)}} \in \mathbb{Q}[q],$$

where $\lambda^{(1)} \trianglerighteq \lambda^{(2)} \trianglerighteq \cdots \trianglerighteq \lambda^{(r)}$ in the dominance order. Writing the left hand side in terms of $p_\lambda(X), (\lambda \in Par)$, we get $a_{\lambda^{(1)}}p_{\lambda^{(1)}} +$ lower terms $= 0$. Hence $a_{\lambda^{(1)}} = 0$. Repeating this process, we can see that all the coefficients vanish.

(b) Since

$$\mathsf{h}^q_n(X) = \sum_{\substack{a_1+2a_2+\cdots+na_n=n \\ a_i \geq 0}} \mathsf{u}^q_1(X)^{a_1}\mathsf{u}^q_2(X)^{a_2}\cdots\mathsf{u}^q_n(X)^{a_n},$$

it follows that $\mathsf{h}^q_n(X) - \mathsf{u}^q_n(X) \in \mathbb{Z}[\mathsf{u}^q_d(X) : d|n, d < n]$ for all positive integers n. Thus, our assertion follows from (a).

(c) To begin with, we will show that $\mathsf{v}^q_n(X) \in \mathbb{Z}[q]_{1-q} \otimes \Lambda_{\mathbb{Z}}$, $(n \geq 1)$. From the equation

$$\prod_{n\geq 1}\left(\frac{1 - q\mathsf{v}^q_n(X)t^n}{1 - \mathsf{v}^q_n(X)t^n}\right) = H(X,t)$$

it follows that $h_n(X)$ can be expressed as

$$\sum_{\substack{i+j=n \\ 0\leq i,j\leq n}}\left(\sum_{\substack{a_1+2a_2+\cdots+ia_i=i \\ a_l\geq 0,(1\leq l\leq i)}} \mathsf{v}^q_1(X)^{a_1}\mathsf{v}^q_2(X)^{a_2}\cdots\mathsf{v}^q_n(X)^{a_n}\right)\left(\sum_{\substack{b_1+b_2+\cdots+b_s=j \\ b_k>0,(1\leq k\leq s)}} (-q)^l\mathsf{v}^q_{b_1}(X)\mathsf{v}^q_{b_2}(X)\cdots\mathsf{v}^q_{b_s}(X)\right). \tag{4.3}$$

In case where $n = 1$, our claim is obvious since $\mathsf{v}^q_n(X) = \frac{1}{1-q}h_1(X)$. When $n > 1$, assume that our assertion is true for all positive integers less than n. By (4.3) we have

$$h_n(X) - (1-q)\mathsf{v}^q_n(X) \in \mathbb{Z}[q][\mathsf{v}^q_i(X) : 1 \leq i \leq n-1],$$

and thus

$$\mathsf{v}^q_n(X) - \frac{1}{1-q}h_n(X) \in \mathbb{Z}[q][\mathsf{v}^q_i(X) : 1 \leq i \leq n-1].$$

Now, the claim is immediate from the induction hypothesis. We are now ready to show that $\{\mathsf{v}^q_\lambda(X) : \lambda \in Par\}$ is a basis. In view of (4.3), $\{\mathsf{v}^q_\lambda(X) : \lambda \in Par\}$ is obviously a spanning set of $\mathbb{Z}[q]_{1-q} \otimes \Lambda_{\mathbb{Z}}$. The linear independence can be shown in the same way as in (a).

(d) It can be verified in the same manner as in (b). □

Remark 4.6. It should be noted that $\{\mathsf{u}^q_\lambda(X) : \lambda \in Par\}$ is not a $\mathbb{Z}[q]$-basis of $\mathbb{Z}[q] \otimes \Lambda_{\mathbb{Z}}$. To illustrate this, define symmetric functions $q_n(X), (n \geq 1)$ by the formula

$$p_n(X) = \sum_{d|n} dq_d(X)^{\frac{n}{d}}, \ (n \geq 1).$$

For a partition $\lambda = (\lambda_1, \ldots, \lambda_l)$, let $q_\lambda(X) := q_{\lambda_1}(X)q_{\lambda_2}(X)\cdots q_{\lambda_l}(X)$. Then it is easy to see that $\{q_\lambda(X) : \lambda \in Par\}$ is a $\mathbb{Z}$-basis of $\Lambda_{\mathbb{Z}}$ (see [18, 19]). However, the equation

$$\sum_{d|n} d\begin{bmatrix} n \\ d \end{bmatrix}_q \mathsf{u}^q_d(X)^{\frac{n}{d}} = \sum_{d|n} dq_d(X)^{\frac{n}{d}}, \ (n \geq 1),$$

implies $q_p(X) = \mathsf{u}^q_p(X) + \frac{[p]_q - 1}{p}\mathsf{u}^q_1(X)^p$, where p is a prime. Obviously $\frac{[p]_q-1}{p} \notin \mathbb{Z}[q]$.

4.3. **Connection between $\overline{\mathsf{W}}^{g(q)}$ and $\overline{\Lambda}^{g(q)}$.** As before, let $g(q)$ be an arbitrary polynomial in $\mathbb{Z}[q]$. Given a $\mathbb{Z}[q]$-algebra A, let

$$\Theta_A : \overline{\mathsf{W}}^{g(q)}(A) \to \overline{\Lambda}^{g(q)}(A), \quad (a_n)_{n\in\mathbb{N}} \mapsto \prod_{n\geq 1}\left(\frac{1}{1-a_nt^n}\right).$$

Then one can easily see that the assignment $A \mapsto \Theta_A$ induces a natural isomorphism $\Theta : \overline{\mathsf{W}}^{g(q)} \to \overline{\Lambda}^{g(q)}$ such that the diagram

$$\begin{tikzcd}
\overline{\mathsf{W}}^{g(q)} \arrow[r, "\Theta", "\cong"'] \arrow[d, "\overline{\Phi}^{g(q)}"'] & \overline{\Lambda}^{g(q)} \arrow[d, "\overline{\Upsilon}^{g(q)}"'] \\
\mathsf{gh} \circ F^{\mathbb{Z}[q]\text{-}\mathsf{Algebras}}_{\mathsf{Rings}} \arrow[r, "int"'] & \mathsf{Gh} \circ F^{\mathbb{Z}[q]\text{-}\mathsf{Algebras}}_{\mathsf{Rings}}
\end{tikzcd}$$

is commutative. Here int denotes the natural transformation such that $int_A((a_n)_{n\in\mathbb{N}}) = \sum_{n\geq 1} a_nt^{n-1}$ for any $\mathbb{Z}[q]$-algebra A. In fact our assertion is obvious since the ring structure of $\overline{\Lambda}^{g(q)}(A)$ is transported from that of $\overline{\mathsf{W}}^{g(q)}(A)$ via the bijection Θ_A. See Eq.(4.1).

Consider the case where $g(q) = q$. Specializing q into an integer m, we can derive a natural isomorphism $\Theta : \mathsf{W}^m \to \Lambda^m$ such that the diagram

$$\begin{tikzcd}
\mathsf{W}^m \arrow[r, "\Theta"] \arrow[d, "\Phi^m"'] & \Lambda^m \arrow[d, "\Upsilon^m"'] \\
\mathsf{gh} \arrow[r, "\mathrm{int}"'] & \mathsf{Gh}
\end{tikzcd}$$

is commutative. Here $\mathrm{int} : \mathsf{gh} \to \mathsf{Gh}$ denotes the natural transformation such that $\mathrm{int}_A((a_n)_{n\in\mathbb{N}}) = \sum_{n\geq 1} a_nt^{n-1}$ for any commutative ring A with unity.

In the rest of this subsection, we introduce some product identities which arise naturally in our context. Let x_n $(n \geq 1)$ be indeterminates and assume that $g(q)$ ranges over the set $\mathbb{Z}[q] \setminus \{1\}$. For $h(q) \in \mathbb{Z}[q] \setminus \{1\}$, consider the following system of equations:

$$\sum_{d|n} d(1-g(q)^{\frac{n}{d}})x_d^{\frac{n}{d}} = \sum_{d|n} d(1-h(q)^{\frac{n}{d}})\mathsf{T}_d^{\frac{n}{d}}, \ (n \geq 1). \tag{4.4}$$

Hence we have

$$\prod_{n\geq 1}\left(\frac{1-g(q)x_nt^n}{1-x_nt^n}\right) = \prod_{n\geq 1}\left(\frac{1-h(q)\mathsf{T}_nt^n}{1-\mathsf{T}_nt^n}\right). \tag{4.5}$$

Lemma 4.7. *Assume that $g(q)$ ranges over the set $\mathbb{Z}[q] \setminus \{1\}$. Then, $\mathsf{T}_n \in \mathbb{Z}[g(q)][x_d : d|n]$ for every positive integer n if and only if $h(q) = 0, 2$.*

Proof. ($\Longrightarrow$) From Eq.(4.4) it follows that

$$\mathsf{T}_n - \frac{1-g(q)}{1-h(q)}x_n \in \mathbb{Z}[g(q)][x_d : d|n, d < n]$$

for all $n \geq 1$. Since $g(q)$ is arbitrary, it follows that $1 - h(q) = \pm 1$, that is, $h(q) = 0$ or 2.

($\Longleftarrow$) We will use mathematical induction on n. When $n = 1$, our assertion is trivial since $\mathsf{T}_1 = \pm(1 - g(q))x_1$ by Eq.(4.4). When $n > 1$, assume that it holds for all positive integers less than n. First, let $h(q) = 0$. Let p be any prime divisor of n. Then

$$\begin{aligned}
n\mathsf{T}_n &= \sum_{d|n} d(1 - g(q)^{\frac{n}{d}})x_d^{\frac{n}{d}} - \sum_{\substack{d|n\\ d<n}} d\mathsf{T}_d^{\frac{n}{d}} \\
&\equiv \sum_{d|\frac{n}{p}} d(1 - (g(q)^p)^{\frac{n}{pd}})(x_d^p)^{\frac{n}{d}} - \sum_{d|\frac{n}{p}} d(\mathsf{T}_d^p)^{\frac{n}{pd}} \pmod{p^{\mathrm{ord}_p(n)}} \\
&\equiv \sum_{d|\frac{n}{p}} d\left((\mathsf{T}_d|_{\substack{g(q)\mapsto g(q)^p\\ x_i\mapsto x_i^p}})^{\frac{n}{pd}} - (\mathsf{T}_d^p)^{\frac{n}{pd}} \right) \pmod{p^{\mathrm{ord}_p(n)}} \\
&\equiv 0 \pmod{p^{\mathrm{ord}_p(n)}} \quad \text{(by Lemma 3.2(a))}.
\end{aligned}$$

On the other hand, if $h(q) = 2$, then

$$\begin{aligned}
-n\mathsf{T}_n &= \sum_{d|n} d(1 - g(q)^{\frac{n}{d}})x_d^{\frac{n}{d}} - \sum_{\substack{d|n\\ d<n}} d(1 - 2^{\frac{n}{d}})\mathsf{T}_d^{\frac{n}{d}} \\
&\equiv \sum_{d|\frac{n}{p}} d(1 - (g(q)^p)^{\frac{n}{pd}})(x_d^p)^{\frac{n}{d}} - \sum_{d|\frac{n}{p}} d(1 - 2^{\frac{n}{pd}})(\mathsf{T}_d^p)^{\frac{n}{pd}} \pmod{p^{\mathrm{ord}_p(n)}} \\
&\equiv \sum_{d|\frac{n}{p}} d(1 - 2^{\frac{n}{pd}})\left((\mathsf{T}_d|_{\substack{g(q)\mapsto g(q)^p\\ x_i\mapsto x_i^p}})^{\frac{n}{pd}} - (\mathsf{T}_d^p)^{\frac{n}{pd}} \right) \pmod{p^{\mathrm{ord}_p(n)}} \\
&\equiv 0 \pmod{p^{\mathrm{ord}_p(n)}} \quad \text{(by Lemma 3.2(a))}.
\end{aligned}$$

Since p is arbitrary, we can conclude that T_n is divisible by n. This completes the proof. □

Combining Eq.(4.5) and Lemma 4.7 yields the following proposition.

Proposition 4.8. *Let A be any $\mathbb{Z}[q]$-algebra and assume that $g(q)$ ranges over $\mathbb{Z}[q] \setminus \{1\}$. For $(a_n)_{n\in\mathbb{N}} \in \overline{\mathsf{W}}^{g(q)}(A)$, let $(b_n)_{n\in\mathbb{N}} := \omega_A^{g(q),0}((a_n)_{n\in\mathbb{N}})$ and $(c_n)_{n\in\mathbb{N}} := \omega_A^{g(q),2}((a_n)_{n\in\mathbb{N}})$. Then we have*

$$\prod_{n\geq 1} \left(\frac{1 - g(q)a_n t^n}{1 - a_n t^n} \right) = \prod_{n\geq 1} \left(\frac{1}{1 - b_n t^n} \right) = \prod_{n\geq 1} \left(\frac{1 - 2c_n t^n}{1 - c_n t^n} \right).$$

Let $h(q) = 0, 2$. For a $\mathbb{Z}[q]$-algebra A, let

$$\omega_A^{g(q),h(q)} : \overline{\mathsf{W}}^{g(q)}(A) \to \overline{\mathsf{W}}^{h(q)}(A), \ (a_n)_{n\in\mathbb{N}} \mapsto (\mathsf{T}_n|_{x_d\mapsto a_d})_{n\in\mathbb{N}}.$$

Then the assignment $A \mapsto \omega_A^{g(q),h(q)}$ induces a natural transformation $\omega_A^{g(q),h(q)} : \overline{\mathsf{W}}^{g(q)} \to \overline{\mathsf{W}}^{h(q)}$ such that the diagram

$$\begin{tikzcd}
\overline{\mathsf{W}}^{g(q)} \arrow[r, "\omega_A^{g(q),h(q)}"] \arrow[d, "\overline{\Phi}^{g(q)}"'] & \overline{\mathsf{W}}^{h(q)} \arrow[r, "\Theta"] \arrow[d, "\overline{\Phi}^{h(q)}"'] & \overline{\Lambda}^{h(q)} \arrow[d, "\overline{\Upsilon}^{h(q)}"'] \\
\mathsf{gh} \circ F_{\mathsf{Rings}}^{\mathbb{Z}[q]\text{-}\mathsf{Algebras}} \arrow[r, "\mathrm{id}"'] & \mathsf{gh} \circ F_{\mathsf{Rings}}^{\mathbb{Z}[q]\text{-}\mathsf{Algebras}} \arrow[r, "int"'] & \mathsf{Gh} \circ F_{\mathsf{Rings}}^{\mathbb{Z}[q]\text{-}\mathsf{Algebras}}
\end{tikzcd}$$

is commutative. By the definition of Θ, we have

$$(a_n)_{n\in\mathbb{N}} \overset{\omega_A^{g(q),h(q)}}{\mapsto} (\mathsf{T}_n|_{x_d\mapsto a_d})_{n\in\mathbb{N}} \overset{\Theta_A}{\mapsto} \prod_{n\geq 1} \left(\frac{1}{1 - \mathsf{T}_n|_{x_d\mapsto a_d} t^n} \right).$$

Suppose that l, m are arbitrary integers. Define $\mathsf{z}_n (n \geq 1)$ via the following system of equations:

$$\sum_{d|n} d(1 - m^{\frac{n}{d}}) x_d^{\frac{n}{d}} = \sum_{d|n} d(1 - l^{\frac{n}{d}}) \mathsf{z}_d^{\frac{n}{d}}, \ (n \geq 1).$$

Hence we have

$$\prod_{n\geq 1} \left(\frac{1 - m x_n t^n}{1 - x_n t^n} \right) = \prod_{n \geq 1} \left(\frac{1 - l \mathsf{z}_n t^n}{1 - \mathsf{z}_n t^n} \right).$$

Letting $g(q) = q$ and then specializing q into an integer m in the above paragraph, we can derive the following properties.

Corollary 4.9. *Let m vary the set of integers. Then we have the following.*

(a) *With the above notation, $\mathsf{z}_n \in \mathbb{Z}[x_d : d|n]$ for every positive integer n if and only if $l = 0, 2$*

(b) *Let $l = 0, 2$. For a $\mathbb{Z}[q]$-algebra A, let*

$$\bar{\omega}_A^{m,l} : \mathsf{W}^m(A) \to \mathsf{W}^l(A), \ (a_n)_{n\in\mathbb{N}} \mapsto (\mathsf{z}_n|_{x_d \mapsto a_d})_{n \in \mathbb{N}}.$$

Then the assignment $A \mapsto \omega_A^{m,l}$ induces a natural transformation $\bar{\omega}_A^{m,l} : \mathsf{W}^m \to \mathsf{W}^l$ such that the diagram

$$\begin{tikzcd} \mathsf{W}^m \arrow[r, "\bar{\omega}_A^{m,l}"] \arrow[d, "\Phi^m"'] & \mathsf{W}^l \arrow[r, "\Theta"] \arrow[d, "\Phi^l"'] & \Lambda^l \arrow[d, "\Upsilon^l"'] \\ \mathsf{gh} \arrow[r, "\mathrm{id}"'] & \mathsf{gh} \arrow[r, "int"'] & \mathsf{Gh} \end{tikzcd}$$

is commutative.

(c) *Let A be any commutative ring with unity and let m be any integer. For $(a_n)_{n\in\mathbb{N}} \in \mathsf{W}^m(A)$, let $(b_n)_{n\in\mathbb{N}} := \bar{\omega}_A^{m,0}((a_n)_{n\in\mathbb{N}})$ and $(c_n)_{n\in\mathbb{N}} := \bar{\omega}_A^{m,2}((a_n)_{n\in\mathbb{N}})$. Then we have*

$$\prod_{n\geq 1} \left(\frac{1 - m a_n t^n}{1 - a_n t^n} \right) = \prod_{n\geq 1} \left(\frac{1}{1 - b_n t^n} \right) = \prod_{n\geq 1} \left(\frac{1 - 2 c_n t^n}{1 - c_n t^n} \right).$$

5. NECKLACE RINGS

As explained in the introduction, classical necklace rings come as a partner of classical Witt rings. Motivated by this phenomenon, in this section, we provide functors

$$\overline{\mathsf{B}}^{g(q)} : \mathbb{Z}[q] \otimes \Psi\text{-}\mathsf{Rings} \to \mathsf{RINGS}, \quad \forall g(q) \in \mathbb{Z}[q],$$
$$\mathsf{B}^m : \Psi\text{-}\mathsf{Rings} \to \mathsf{RINGS}, \quad \forall m \in \mathbb{Z}.$$

The relationship between $\overline{\mathsf{W}}^{g(q)}$ and $\overline{\mathsf{B}}^{g(q)}$ and that between W^m and B^m will be studied intensively in Section 7.

5.1. q-Deformation of necklace rings.

Throughout this subsection, we assume that $\mathbb{Z}[q]$ is equipped with the Ψ-ring structure such that

$$\Psi^n(f(q)) = f(q^n) \tag{5.1}$$

for all $f(q) \in \mathbb{Z}[q]$ and $n \geq 1$. Let E be the Ψ-ring freely generated by x_n, y_n $(n \geq 1)$. It is easy to see that $\mathsf{F} := \mathbb{Z}[q] \otimes \mathsf{E}$ is made into a Ψ-ring if we define

$$\Psi^n(a \otimes b) = \Psi^n(a) \otimes \Psi^n(b), \quad a \in \mathbb{Z}[q], \, b \in \mathsf{E}.$$

Remark 5.1. Proposition 2.4 implies that the polynomial ring $\mathbb{Z}[q]$ can have the λ-ring structure such that the nth Adams operation equals Ψ^n in (5.1) for all $n \geq 1$.

Given a polynomial $g(q) \in \mathbb{Z}[q]$, consider the map

$$\overline{\varphi}^{g(q)}_{\mathbb{Q}\otimes\mathsf{F}} : (\mathbb{Q}\otimes\mathsf{F})^{\mathbb{N}} \to (\mathbb{Q}\otimes F)^{\mathbb{N}}, \quad (a_n)_{n\in\mathbb{N}} \mapsto \left(\sum_{d|n} d(1-g(q)^{\frac{n}{d}})\Psi^{\frac{n}{d}}(a_d)\right)_{n\in\mathbb{N}}.$$

Let $X = (x_n)_{n\in\mathbb{N}}$ and $Y = (y_n)_{n\in\mathbb{N}}$. Let $P = (P_n)_{n\in\mathbb{N}}$ be the element determined by the relation:

$$\sum_{d|n} d\left[\frac{n}{d}\right]_{g(q)} \Psi^{\frac{n}{d}}(P_d) = (1-g(q))\left(\sum_{d|n} d\left[\frac{n}{d}\right]_{g(q)} \Psi^{\frac{n}{d}}(x_d)\right)\left(\sum_{d|n} d\left[\frac{n}{d}\right]_{g(q)} \Psi^{\frac{n}{d}}(y_d)\right), \ (n \geq 1). \tag{5.2}$$

Multiplying either side of Eq.(5.2) by $1 - g(q)$, we have

$$\overline{\varphi}^{g(q)}_{\mathbb{Q}\otimes\mathsf{F}}(P) = \left(\overline{\varphi}^{g(q)}_{\mathbb{Q}\otimes F}(X)\right)\left(\overline{\varphi}^{g(q)}_{\mathbb{Q}\otimes\mathsf{F}}(Y)\right).$$

Lemma 5.2. *Let n be an arbitrary positive integer. Then*

$$P_n \in \mathbb{Z}[q][\Psi^{\frac{n}{d}}(x_d), \Psi^{\frac{n}{d}}(y_d) : d|n].$$

Proof. If $g(q) = 1$, then $P_n = 0$ for all $n \geq 1$. Indeed it can be verified by applying the mathematical induction on n to Eq.(5.2). So, from now on, assume $g(q) \neq 1$. Note $P_1 = (1 - g(q))x_1y_1$. If $n > 1$, assume that the desired assertion holds for all positive integers less than n. Multiplying either side of Eq.(5.2) by $1 - g(q)$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} &n(1-g(q))P_n \\ &= \left(\sum_{d|n} d(1-g(q)^{\frac{n}{d}})\Psi^{\frac{n}{d}}(x_d)\right)\left(\sum_{d|n} d(1-g(q)^{\frac{n}{d}})\Psi^{\frac{n}{d}}(y_d)\right) - \sum_{\substack{d|n \\ d<n}} d(1-g(q)^{\frac{n}{d}})\Psi^{\frac{n}{d}}(P_d). \end{aligned} \tag{5.3}$$

Due to the induction hypothesis, it is clear that the right hand side of the above equation is contained in $\mathbb{Z}[q][\Psi^{\frac{n}{d}}(x_d), \Psi^{\frac{n}{d}}(y_d) : d|n]$. The proof of Lemma 3.3 shows that our claim is almost straightforward if one can prove that the right hand side of Eq.(3.10) is divisible by n (more precisely, refer to *Case 1* and *Case 2*). Let p be a prime divisor of n. Then we have

$$\begin{aligned} n(1-g(q))P_n \equiv &\left(\sum_{d|\frac{n}{p}} d(1-g(q)^{\frac{n}{d}})\Psi^{\frac{n}{d}}(x_d)\right)\left(\sum_{d|\frac{n}{p}} d(1-g(q)^{\frac{n}{d}})\Psi^{\frac{n}{d}}(y_d)\right) \\ &- \sum_{d|\frac{n}{p}} d(1-g(q)^{\frac{n}{d}})\Psi^{\frac{n}{d}}(P_d) \pmod{p^{\mathrm{ord}_p(n)}}. \end{aligned} \tag{5.4}$$

In view of Lemma 3.2(a), one has

$$\Psi^p(1-g(q)^{\frac{n}{pd}}) = 1-g(q^p)^{\frac{n}{pd}} \equiv 1-g(q)^{\frac{n}{d}} \pmod{p^{\mathrm{ord}_p(\frac{n}{d})}} \tag{5.5}$$

for every divisor d of $\frac{n}{p}$. Hence

$$\begin{aligned}
&\left(\sum_{d|\frac{n}{p}} d(1-g(q)^{\frac{n}{d}})\Psi^{\frac{n}{d}}(x_d)\right)\left(\sum_{d|\frac{n}{p}} d(1-g(q)^{\frac{n}{d}})\Psi^{\frac{n}{d}}(y_d)\right)\\
&\equiv \Psi^p\left(\left(\sum_{d|\frac{n}{p}} d(1-g(q)^{\frac{n}{pd}})\Psi^{\frac{n}{pd}}(x_d)\right)\left(\sum_{d|\frac{n}{p}} d(1-g(q)^{\frac{n}{pd}})\Psi^{\frac{n}{pd}}(y_d)\right)\right) \pmod{p^{\mathrm{ord}_p(n)}}\\
&= \Psi^p\left(\sum_{d|\frac{n}{p}} d(1-g(q)^{\frac{n}{pd}})\Psi^{\frac{n}{pd}}(P_d)\right) \quad \text{(by Eq.(5.2))}\\
&= \sum_{d|\frac{n}{p}} d(1-g(q)^{\frac{n}{d}})\Psi^{\frac{n}{d}}(P_d).
\end{aligned}$$

Apply this to (5.4) to get $n(1-g(q))P_n \equiv 0 \pmod{p^{\mathrm{ord}_p(n)}}$, which implies $n(1-g(q))P_n \equiv 0 \pmod{n}$ since p is an arbitrary prime divisor of n. Thus we have the desired result. □

Let us define the category $\mathbb{Z}[q]\otimes\Psi$-Rings. Its objects consist of the $\mathbb{Z}[q]$-algebras that are equipped with the structure of a Ψ-ring such that $\Psi^n(q) = q^n$ for all $n \geq 1$. The morphisms are $\mathbb{Z}[q]$-algebra homomorphisms compatible with Ψ-operations.

Theorem 5.3. *Given an polynomial $g(g) \in \mathbb{Z}[q]$, there exists a functor, $\overline{\mathsf{B}}^{g(q)} : \mathbb{Z}[q]\otimes\Psi$-Rings $\to$ RINGS, subject to the following conditions*:

1. *As a set, $\overline{\mathsf{B}}^{g(g)}(R) = R^{\mathbb{N}}$.*
2. *For every morphism $f : R \to S$ and every $\alpha \in \overline{\mathsf{B}}^{g(g)}(R)$ one has $\overline{\mathsf{B}}^{g(g)}(f)(\alpha) = f \circ \alpha$.*
3. *The map,*

$$\begin{aligned}
\overline{\varphi}_R^{g(g)} : \overline{\mathsf{B}}^{g(g)}(R) &\to \left(gh \circ F^{\mathbb{Z}[q]\otimes\Psi\text{-}Rings}_{Rings}\right)(R)\\
(a_n)_{n\in\mathbb{N}} &\mapsto \left(\sum_{d|n} d(1-g(q)^{\frac{n}{d}})\Psi^{\frac{n}{d}}(a_d)\right)_{n\in\mathbb{N}},
\end{aligned}$$

is a ring homomorphism.

If $g(q) \neq 1$, then $\overline{\mathsf{B}}^{g(g)}$ is uniquely determined by the conditions (1)-(3).

Proof. First, let us show the existence of $\overline{\mathsf{B}}^{g(g)}$. Given an object R of $\mathbb{Z}[q]\otimes\Psi$-Rings, let $\overline{\mathsf{B}}^{g(g)}(R)$ be the ring $R^{\mathbb{N}}$ such that the ring operations are defined in such a way that for any elements $(a_n)_{n\in\mathbb{N}}, (b_n)_{n\in\mathbb{N}} \in \overline{\mathsf{B}}^{g(g)}(R)$,

$$\begin{aligned}
(a_n)_{n\in\mathbb{N}} + (b_n)_{n\in\mathbb{N}} &= (a_n + b_n)_{n\in\mathbb{N}},\\
(a_n)_{n\in\mathbb{N}} \cdot (b_n)_{n\in\mathbb{N}} &= (P_n|_{\substack{x_i\mapsto a_i\\ y_i\mapsto b_i}})_{n\in\mathbb{N}}.
\end{aligned}$$

Going further, if we define morphisms as in (2), then it is easy to show that the assignment $R \mapsto \overline{\mathsf{B}}^{g(g)}(R)$ induces a functor $\overline{\mathsf{B}}^{g(g)} : \mathbb{Z}[q]\otimes\Psi$-Rings $\to$ RINGS, satisfying the conditions (1)-(3). The second assertion can be shown in the exactly same way as in Theorem 3.5. □

Remark 5.4. (a) In the above theorem, one can see that $\overline{\varphi}^{g(q)}$ is a natural transformation.

(b) Obviously $\mathbb{Z}[q]$ is equipped with the Ψ-ring structure with $\Psi^n = \mathrm{id}$ for all $n \geq 1$. With this Ψ-ring structure, define P_n as in Eq.(5.2). But, in this case,

$$\mathsf{P}_n \notin \mathbb{Z}[q][\Psi^{\frac{n}{d}}(x_d), \Psi^{\frac{n}{d}}(y_d) : d|n]$$

in general. For instance, let $g(q) = q$ and $n = 2$. Then

$$\mathsf{P}_2 = (1-q^2)\Psi^2(x_1)y_2 + (1-q^2)x_2\Psi^2(y_1) + 2(1-q)x_2y_2 + \frac{q-q^3}{2}\Psi^2(x_1y_1).$$

5.2. **Necklace ring attached to an integer.** In this subsection, we let F be the Ψ-ring freely generated by $x_n, y_n \, (n \geq 1)$. Note that, as a ring, F equals $\mathbb{Z}[\Psi^k(x_n), \Psi^k(y_n) : k, n \geq 1]$. Given an integer m, let us consider the map

$$\varphi^m_{\mathbb{Q}\otimes\mathsf{F}} : (\mathbb{Q}\otimes\mathsf{F})^{\mathbb{N}} \to (\mathbb{Q}\otimes\mathsf{F})^{\mathbb{N}}, \quad (a_n)_{n\in\mathbb{N}} \mapsto \left(\sum_{d|n} d(1-m^{\frac{n}{d}})\Psi^{\frac{n}{d}}(a_d)\right)_{n\in\mathbb{N}}.$$

Let $X = (x_n)_{n\in\mathbb{N}}$ and $Y = (y_n)_{n\in\mathbb{N}}$. Let $\mathsf{P} = (\mathsf{P}_n)_{n\in\mathbb{N}}$ be the element determined by the relation

$$\sum_{d|n} d\left[\frac{n}{d}\right]_m \Psi^{\frac{n}{d}}(\mathsf{P}_d) = (1-m)\left(\sum_{d|n} d\left[\frac{n}{d}\right]_m \Psi^{\frac{n}{d}}(x_d)\right)\left(\sum_{d|n} d\left[\frac{n}{d}\right]_m \Psi^{\frac{n}{d}}(y_d)\right), \ (n \geq 1). \tag{5.6}$$

It is obvious that $\varphi^m_{\mathbb{Q}\otimes\mathsf{F}}(\mathsf{P}) = \varphi^m_{\mathbb{Q}\otimes\mathsf{F}}(X)\varphi^m_{\mathbb{Q}\otimes\mathsf{F}}(Y)$. By the mathematical induction on n one easily sees that

$$\mathsf{P}_n \in \mathbb{Q}[\Psi^{\frac{n}{d}}(x_d), \Psi^{\frac{n}{d}}(y_d) : d|n], \ (n \geq 1).$$

Lemma 5.5. *Let n be an arbitrary positive integer. Then*

$$P_n \in \mathbb{Z}[\Psi^{\frac{n}{d}}(x_d), \Psi^{\frac{n}{d}}(y_d) : d|n].$$

Proof. From Eq.(5.6) it follows that $n\mathsf{P}_n$ is equal to

$$(1-m)\left(\sum_{e|n} e\left[\frac{n}{e}\right]_m \Psi^{\frac{n}{e}}(x_e)\right)\left(\sum_{f|n} f\left[\frac{n}{f}\right]_m \Psi^{\frac{n}{f}}(y_f)\right) - \sum_{\substack{d|n\\ d<n}} d\left[\frac{n}{d}\right]_m \Psi^{\frac{n}{d}}(\mathsf{P}_d). \tag{5.7}$$

Particularly $\mathsf{P}_1 = (1-m)x_1y_1$. For convenience, let us split the values of m into two cases.

Case 1: $m = 1$

Note that $n\mathsf{P}_n = -n\sum_{\substack{d|n\\ d<n}} \Psi^{\frac{n}{d}}(\mathsf{P}_d) \, (n \geq 1)$ and $\mathsf{P}_1 = 0$. Hence $\mathsf{P}_n = 0$ for all $n \geq 1$.

Case 2: $m \neq 1$

The verification will be done by using the mathematical induction on n. If $n = 1$, then our assertion is obvious. If $n > 1$, then assume that the assertion holds for all positive integers less than n. Let p be a prime divisor of n. For our purpose it suffices to see that (5.7) is divisible by $p^{\mathrm{ord}_p(n)}$. In view of Lemma 3.2(b), we have

$$\begin{aligned} &n(1-m)\mathsf{P}_n \\ &= \left(\sum_{e|n} e(1-m^{\frac{n}{e}})\Psi^{\frac{n}{e}}(x_e)\right)\left(\sum_{f|n} f(1-m^{\frac{n}{f}})\Psi^{\frac{n}{f}}(y_f)\right) - \sum_{\substack{d|n\\ d<n}} d(1-m^{\frac{n}{d}})\Psi^{\frac{n}{d}}(\mathsf{P}_d) \\ &\equiv \Psi^p\left(\left(\sum_{e|\frac{n}{p}} e(1-m^{\frac{n}{pe}})\Psi^{\frac{n}{pe}}(x_e)\right)\left(\sum_{f|\frac{n}{p}} f(1-m^{\frac{n}{pf}})\Psi^{\frac{n}{pf}}(y_f)\right) - \sum_{d|\frac{n}{p}} d(1-m^{\frac{n}{pd}})\Psi^{\frac{n}{pd}}(\mathsf{P}_d)\right). \end{aligned} \tag{5.8}$$

Here '$\equiv$' denotes '$\equiv \pmod{p^{\mathrm{ord}_p(n)}}$'. But, by Eq.(5.6) we have

$$\left(\sum_{e|\frac{n}{p}} e(1-m^{\frac{n}{pe}})\Psi^{\frac{n}{pe}}(x_e)\right)\left(\sum_{f|\frac{n}{p}} f(1-m^{\frac{n}{pf}})\Psi^{\frac{n}{pf}}(y_f)\right) = \sum_{d|\frac{n}{p}} d(1-m^{\frac{n}{pd}})\Psi^{\frac{n}{pd}}(\mathsf{P}_d),$$

which shows that $n(1-m)\mathsf{P}_n \equiv 0 \pmod{p^{\mathrm{ord}_p(n)}}$. In case where $(p, 1-m) = 1$, our assertion is obvious. In case where $(p, 1-m) \neq 1$, let $1-m = p^\alpha s$ with $(s,p) = 1$. Since $\alpha \geq 1$, we have

$$m^{p^{\mathrm{ord}(n)-\mathrm{ord}(d)}} = (1-p^\alpha s)^{p^{\mathrm{ord}(n)-\mathrm{ord}(d)}} \equiv 1 \pmod{p^{\mathrm{ord}(n)-\mathrm{ord}(d)+\alpha}}.$$

for every divisor d of n. Hence

$$\mathrm{ord}_p(d(1-m^{\frac{n}{d}})) = \mathrm{ord}_p(d) + \mathrm{ord}_p(1-m^{\frac{n}{d}}) \geq \mathrm{ord}(d) + (\mathrm{ord}(n) - \mathrm{ord}(d) + \alpha) = \mathrm{ord}(n) + \alpha.$$

Combining the induction hypothesis with Eq.(5.8) yields $n(1-m)\mathsf{P}_n \equiv 0 \pmod{p^{\mathrm{ord}(n)+\alpha}}$. Consequently $n\mathsf{P}_n \equiv 0 \pmod{p^{\mathrm{ord}(n)}}$. Since p is arbitrary, it implies that $n\mathsf{P}_n$ is divisible by n. □

Theorem 5.6. *Given an integer m, $\mathsf{B}^m : \Psi$-Rings $\to$ RINGS satisfies the following conditions*:

(1) *As a set, $\mathsf{B}^m(R) = R^{\mathbb{N}}$.*

(2) *For every Ψ-ring homomorphism $f : R \to S$ and every $\alpha \in \mathsf{B}^m(R)$ one has $\mathsf{B}^m(f)(\alpha) = f \circ \alpha$.*

(3) *The map,*

$$\varphi_R^m : \mathsf{B}^m(R) \to \left(gh \circ F_{\mathit{Rings}}^{\Psi\text{-}\mathit{Rings}}\right)(R),\ (a_n)_{n\in\mathbb{N}} \mapsto \left(\sum_{d|n} d(1-m^{\frac{n}{d}})\Psi^{\frac{n}{d}}(a_d)\right)_{n\in\mathbb{N}},$$

is a ring homomorphism.

If $m \neq 1$, then it is uniquely determined by (1)-(3).

Proof. First, let us show the existence of B^m. Given a Ψ-ring R, let $\mathsf{B}^m(R)$ be the ring $R^{\mathbb{N}}$ such that the ring operations are defined in such a way that, for any elements $(a_n)_{n\in\mathbb{N}}, (b_n)_{n\in\mathbb{N}} \in \mathsf{B}^m(R)$,

$$(a_n)_{n\in\mathbb{N}} + (b_n)_{n\in\mathbb{N}} = (a_n + b_n)_{n\in\mathbb{N}},$$
$$(a_n)_{n\in\mathbb{N}} \cdot (b_n)_{n\in\mathbb{N}} = (\mathsf{P}_n|_{\substack{x_d\mapsto a_d\\ y_d\mapsto b_d}})_{n\in\mathbb{N}}.$$

Going further, if we define morphisms as in (2), then it is easy to see that the assignment $R \mapsto \mathsf{B}^m(R)$ induces a functor $\mathsf{B}^m : \Psi$-Rings $\to$ RINGS, satisfying the conditions (1)-(3).

In case where $m \neq 1$, the uniqueness can be shown in the exactly same way as in Theorem 3.5. So we are done. □

Remark 5.7. It should be noted that Theorem 5.6 cannot be deduced from Theorem 5.3 by the specialization $q \mapsto m$ since it is not compatible with Ψ^n, $(n \geq 1)$. For instance,

$$(1-q^n)\Psi^n(x) \xrightarrow{q\mapsto m} (1-m^n)\Psi^n(x), \text{ but}$$
$$\Psi^n((1-q)x) \xrightarrow{q\mapsto m} \Psi^n((1-m)x) = (1-m)\Psi^n(x).$$

5.3. **Classification theorems.** In this subsection, we show that 'statements analogous to propositions in Section 3.3 hold for necklace rings.

5.3.1. Here we classify $\overline{\mathsf{B}}^{g(q)}$ up to strict-isomorphism as $g(q)$ varies over $\mathbb{Z}[q]$.

Definition 5.8. *Given $g(q), h(q) \in \mathbb{Z}[q]$, we say that $\overline{\mathsf{B}}^{g(q)}$ is strictly isomorphic to $\overline{\mathsf{B}}^{h(q)}$ if there exists a natural isomorphism, say $\omega : \overline{\mathsf{B}}^{g(q)} \to \overline{\mathsf{B}}^{h(q)}$, such that $\overline{\varphi}^{g(q)} = \overline{\varphi}^{h(q)} \circ \omega$.*

Proposition 5.9. *Given $g(q), h(q) \in \mathbb{Z}[q]$, $\overline{\mathsf{B}}^{g(q)}$ is strictly isomorphic to $\overline{\mathsf{B}}^{h(q)}$ if and only if $h(q) = g(q)$ or $h(q) = 2 - g(q)$ with $g(q) \neq 1$.*

Proof. ($\Longrightarrow$): The assertion can be proven by the trivial modification of ($\Longrightarrow$) in the proof of Proposition 3.11.

($\Longleftarrow$): In case where $h(q) = g(q)$ we can take ω as id, the identity natural transformation. From now on, suppose $h(q) \neq g(q)$ (thus, $g(q) \neq 1$). Let $\mathsf{E} = \mathbb{Z}[q][\Psi^k(x_n) : k, n \geq 1]$ and $X = (x_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$. For each positive integer n, define y_n via the following recursive relation:

$$-n(1-g(q))\mathsf{y}_n = \sum_{d|n} d(1-g(q)^{\frac{n}{d}})\Psi^{\frac{n}{d}}(x_d) - \sum_{\substack{d|n \\ d<n}} d(1-(2-g(q))^{\frac{n}{d}})\Psi^{\frac{n}{d}}(\mathsf{y}_d), \ (n \geq 1). \quad (5.9)$$

Observe that the verification of the desired assertion can be accomplished in the same way as in the proof of Proposition 3.11 if one can see that $\mathsf{y}_n \in \mathbb{Z}[q][\Psi^{\frac{n}{d}}(x_d) : d|n]$ for all $n \geq 1$. When $n = 1$, it is obvious since $\mathsf{y}_1 = -x_1$. If $n > 1$, assume that our assertion holds for all positive integers less than n. According to the induction hypothesis, the right hand side of Eq.(5.9) is contained in $(1-g(q))\mathbb{Z}[q][\Psi^{\frac{n}{d}}(x_d) : d|n]$, which implies $nP_n \in \mathbb{Z}[q][\Psi^{\frac{n}{d}}(x_d) : d|n]$. Next, we will see that the right hand side of Eq.(5.9) is divisible by n. Choose any prime divisor p of n. Recall that for every divisor d of $\frac{n}{p}$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} &\Psi^p(1-g(q)^{\frac{n}{pd}}) = 1-g(q^p)^{\frac{n}{pd}} \equiv 1-g(q)^{\frac{n}{d}} \pmod{p^{\mathrm{ord}_p(\frac{n}{d})}} \ \text{ and} \\ &\Psi^p(1-(1-g(q))^{\frac{n}{pd}}) = 1-(1-g(q^p))^{\frac{n}{pd}} \equiv 1-(1-g(q))^{\frac{n}{d}} \pmod{p^{\mathrm{ord}_p(\frac{n}{d})}}. \end{aligned} \quad (5.10)$$

Therefore

$$\begin{aligned} & -n(1-g(q))\mathsf{y}_n - n(1-g(q))x_n \\ &= \sum_{\substack{d|n \\ d<n}} d(1-g(q)^{\frac{n}{d}})\Psi^{\frac{n}{d}}(x_d) - \sum_{\substack{d|n \\ d<n}} d(1-(2-g(q))^{\frac{n}{d}})\Psi^{\frac{n}{d}}(\mathsf{y}_d) \\ &\equiv \sum_{d|\frac{n}{p}} d(1-g(q)^{\frac{n}{d}})\Psi^{\frac{n}{d}}(x_d) - \sum_{d|\frac{n}{p}} d(1-(2-g(q))^{\frac{n}{d}})\Psi^{\frac{n}{d}}(\mathsf{y}_d) \\ &\equiv -\Psi^p\left(\sum_{d|\frac{n}{p}} d(1-g(q)^{\frac{n}{pd}})\Psi^{\frac{n}{pd}}(x_d)\right) - \sum_{d|\frac{n}{p}} d(1-(2-g(q))^{\frac{n}{d}})\Psi^{\frac{n}{d}}(\mathsf{y}_d) \ \text{(by (5.10))} \\ &\equiv -\Psi^p\left(\sum_{d|\frac{n}{p}} d(1-(2-g(q))^{\frac{n}{pd}})\Psi^{\frac{n}{pd}}(\mathsf{y}_d)\right) \\ &\quad - \sum_{d|\frac{n}{p}} d(1-(2-g(q))^{\frac{n}{d}})\Psi^{\frac{n}{d}}(\mathsf{y}_d) \ \text{(by (5.9) and (5.10))} \\ &\equiv 0. \end{aligned}$$

Here '$\equiv$' denotes '$\equiv \pmod{p^{\mathrm{ord}_p(n)}}$'. Since p is an arbitrary prime divisor of n, we get $(1-g(q))\mathsf{y}_n \in \mathbb{Z}[q][x_d : d|n]$. Utilizing the above results, we can show that the right hand side of Eq.(3.10) is divisible by $n(1-g(q))$ exactly in the same way as in the proof of Proposition 3.11. $\square$

Recall that $F^{\mathsf{RINGS}}_{\mathsf{Abel}} \circ \overline{\mathsf{B}}^{g(q)}$ is naturally isomorphic to $F^{\mathsf{RINGS}}_{\mathsf{Abel}} \circ \left(gh \circ F^{\mathbb{Z}[q] \otimes \Psi\text{-}\mathsf{Rings}}_{\mathsf{Rings}}\right)$ for all $g(q) \in \mathbb{Z}[q]$. In addition, for each object R in $\mathbb{Z}[q] \otimes \Psi$-$\mathsf{Rings}$, the map,

$$(\overline{\varphi}_{\text{ab}})^{g(q)}_R : F^{\mathsf{RINGS}}_{\mathsf{Abel}} \circ \left(\mathsf{gh} \circ F^{\mathbb{Z}[q] \otimes \Psi\text{-}\mathsf{Rings}}_{\mathsf{Rings}}\right)(R) \to F^{\mathsf{RINGS}}_{\mathsf{Abel}} \circ \left(\mathsf{gh} \circ F^{\mathbb{Z}[q] \otimes \Psi\text{-}\mathsf{Rings}}_{\mathsf{Rings}}\right)(R)$$

$$(a_n)_{n\in\mathbb{N}} \mapsto \left(\sum_{d|n} d \left[\frac{n}{d}\right]_{g(q)} \Psi^{\frac{n}{d}}(a_d)\right)_{n\in\mathbb{N}},$$

is an abelian group homomorphism. In the following proposition, we will show that a statement analogous to Proposition 3.13 holds for $F^{\mathsf{RINGS}}_{\mathsf{Abel}} \circ \left(gh \circ F^{\mathbb{Z}[q] \otimes \Psi\text{-}\mathsf{Rings}}_{\mathsf{Rings}}\right)$.

Proposition 5.10. *Let $g(q), h(q) \in \mathbb{Z}[q]$. Then there exists a natural isomorphism*

$$\omega : F^{\mathit{RINGS}}_{\mathit{Abel}} \circ \left(gh \circ F^{\mathbb{Z}[q] \otimes \Psi\text{-}\mathit{Rings}}_{\mathit{Rings}}\right) \to F^{\mathit{RINGS}}_{\mathit{Abel}} \circ \left(gh \circ F^{\mathbb{Z}[q] \otimes \Psi\text{-}\mathit{Rings}}_{\mathit{Rings}}\right)$$

such that $\overline{\varphi}^{g(q)}_{\text{ab}} = \overline{\varphi}^{h(q)}_{\text{ab}} \circ \omega$ if and only if $1 - g(q) = c_1 k(q)$ and $1 - h(q) = c_2 k(q)$ for some $c_1, c_2 \in \mathbb{Z}$ and $k(q) \in \mathbb{Z}[q]$ such that

1. *c_1 and c_2 have the same set of prime divisors, and*
2. *$k(q)$ is primitive.*

Proof. ($\Longrightarrow$): It follows from the proof of Proposition 3.13.

($\Longleftarrow$): Let $\mathsf{E} = \mathbb{Z}[q][\Psi^k(x_n) : k, n \geq 1]$ and $X = (x_n)_{n\in\mathbb{N}}$. For each positive integer n, define y_n via the following recursive relation:

$$n\mathsf{y}_n = \sum_{d|n} d \left[\frac{n}{d}\right]_{g(q)} \Psi^{\frac{n}{d}}(x_d) - \sum_{\substack{d|n \\ d<n}} d \left[\frac{n}{d}\right]_{h(q)} \Psi^{\frac{n}{d}}(\mathsf{y}_d) \text{ for all } n \geq 1. \tag{5.11}$$

We claim that $\mathsf{y}_n \in \mathbb{Z}[q][\Psi^{\frac{n}{d}}(x_d) : d|n]$ for all $n \geq 1$. When $n = 1$, $\mathsf{y}_1 = x_1$. If $n > 1$, assume that our assertion holds for all positive integers less than n. In view of the induction hypothesis, it is obvious that the right hand side of Eq.(5.11) is contained in $\mathbb{Z}[q][\Psi^{\frac{n}{d}}(x_d) : d|n]$. To see that it is also divisible by n, choose any prime divisor p of n.

Case 1: $(p, 1 - g(q)) = (p, 1 - h(q)) = p$

Refer to the proof of Proposition 3.13.

Case 2: $(p, 1 - g(q)) = (p, 1 - h(q)) = 1$

From Eq.(5.11) it follows that

$$\begin{aligned}
&n(1 - g(q))(1 - h(q))\mathsf{y}_n \\
&\equiv (1 - h(q)) \sum_{d|\frac{n}{p}} d(1 - g(q)^{\frac{n}{d}})\Psi^{\frac{n}{d}}(x_d) - (1 - g(q)) \sum_{d|\frac{n}{p}} d(1 - h(q)^{\frac{n}{d}})\Psi^{\frac{n}{d}}(\mathsf{y}_d) \\
&\equiv (1 - h(q))\Psi^p \left(\sum_{d|\frac{n}{p}} d(1 - (g(q))^{\frac{n}{dp}})\Psi^{\frac{n}{dp}}(x_d)\right) - (1 - g(q))\Psi^p \left(\sum_{d|\frac{n}{p}} d(1 - (h(q))^{\frac{n}{dp}})\Psi^{\frac{n}{dp}}(\mathsf{y}_d)\right) \\
&\equiv (1 - h(q))(1 - g(q^p))\Psi^p \left(\sum_{d|\frac{n}{p}} d \left[\frac{n}{dp}\right]_{g(q)} \Psi^{\frac{n}{dp}}(x_d)\right) \\
&\quad - (1 - g(q))(1 - h(q^p))\Psi^p \left(\sum_{d|\frac{n}{p}} d \left[\frac{n}{dp}\right]_{h(q)} \Psi^{\frac{n}{dp}}(\mathsf{y}_d)\right) \\
&\equiv 0.
\end{aligned}$$

Here '$\equiv$' denotes '$\equiv \pmod{p^{\mathrm{ord}_p(n)}}$'. The last modular equivalence follows from the identity

$$\sum_{d|\frac{n}{p}} d\left[\frac{n}{dp}\right]_{g(q)} \Psi^{\frac{n}{dp}}(x_d) = \sum_{d|\frac{n}{p}} d(1-(h(q))^{\frac{n}{dp}})(\mathsf{y}_d^p)^{\frac{n}{dp}}.$$

Now, the desired natural transformation can be constructed by mimicking the proof of Proposition 3.11. □

5.3.2. Here we classify B^m and $F^{\mathsf{RINGS}}_{\mathsf{Abel}} \circ \mathsf{B}^m$ up to strict-isomorphism as m varies over the set of integers.

Definition 5.11. *Given two integers a, b, we say that B^a is strictly-isomorphic to B^b if there exists a natural isomorphism, say $\omega : \mathsf{B}^a \to \mathsf{B}^b$, satisfying $\varphi^a = \varphi^b \circ \omega$. In this case, ω is called a strict natural isomorphism.*

Proposition 5.12. (a) *Let a, b be two distinct integers. Then B^a is strictly-isomorphic to B^a if and only if $a + b = 2$ with $a \neq 1$.*

(b) *Suppose that m varies over the set of integers. Then B^m is classified by the set of positive integers up to strict-isomorphism.*

Proof. (a) ($\Longrightarrow$): Let $(b_n)_{n\in\mathbb{N}} = \omega_{\mathbb{Z}}((a_n)_{n\in\mathbb{N}})$. Then, for all $n \geq 1$ we have

$$\sum_{d|n} d(1-a^{\frac{n}{d}})\Psi^{\frac{n}{d}}(a_d) = \sum_{d|n} d(1-b^{\frac{n}{d}})\Psi^{\frac{n}{d}}(b_d).$$

In particular, when $n = 1$, the equality $(1-a)a_1 = (1-b)b_1$ holds. Since a_1 is arbitrary, $\frac{1-a}{1-b}$ should be an integer. In the same manner one can see that $\frac{1-b}{1-a}$ is an integer, too. Consequently $\frac{1-a}{1-b}$ should be 1 or -1. But the former case is not allowed since a, b are distinct. Therefore we can deduce that $a + b = 2$ with $a \neq 1$.

($\Longleftarrow$): Assume $a + b = 2$ with $a \neq 1$. Let F be the Ψ-ring freely generated by x_n $(n \geq 1)$. Then $F = \mathbb{Z}[\Psi^k(x_n) : k, n \geq 1]$. Let $X = (x_n)_{n\in\mathbb{N}}$ and define y_n $(n \geq 1)$ recursively via the following equation:

$$n(1-b)\mathsf{y}_n = \sum_{d|n} d(1-a^{\frac{n}{d}})\Psi^{\frac{n}{d}}(x_d) - \sum_{\substack{d|n\\ d<n}} d(1-b^{\frac{n}{d}})\Psi^{\frac{n}{d}}(\mathsf{y}_d).$$

Note that the right hand side is divisible by $1-b$ since $1-b = -(1-a)$. Let p be any prime divisor of n. From Lemma 3.2(b) it follows that

$$d(1-a^{\frac{n}{d}}) \equiv d(1-a^{\frac{n}{pd}}) \pmod{p^{\mathrm{ord}_p(n)}} \text{ and}$$
$$d(1-b^{\frac{n}{d}}) \equiv d(1-b^{\frac{n}{pd}}) \pmod{p^{\mathrm{ord}_p(n)}}$$

for every divisor d of $\frac{n}{p}$. Hence

$$\begin{aligned} n(1-b)\mathsf{y}_n &\equiv \sum_{d|\frac{n}{p}} d(1-a^{\frac{n}{pd}})\Psi^{\frac{n}{d}}(x_d) - \sum_{d|\frac{n}{p}} d(1-b^{\frac{n}{pd}})\Psi^{\frac{n}{d}}(\mathsf{y}_d) \pmod{p^{\mathrm{ord}_p(n)}} \\ &\equiv \Psi^p\left(\sum_{d|\frac{n}{p}} d(1-a^{\frac{n}{pd}})\Psi^{\frac{n}{pd}}(x_d) - \sum_{d|\frac{n}{p}} d(1-b^{\frac{n}{pd}})\Psi^{\frac{n}{pd}}(\mathsf{y}_d)\right) \pmod{p^{\mathrm{ord}_p(n)}} \\ &= 0. \end{aligned}$$

In addition, since the coefficient of x_n is $1-a = -(1-b)$, we get

$$\mathsf{y}_n - x_n \in \mathbb{Z}[\Psi^{\frac{n}{i}}(x_d) : d|n, d < n], \ (n \geq 1).$$

Now, for any Ψ-ring A, let us define

$$\omega_A : \mathsf{B}^a(A) \to \mathsf{B}^b(A), \quad (a_n)_{n\in\mathbb{N}} \mapsto (\mathsf{y}_n|_{x_d \mapsto a_d})_{n\in\mathbb{N}}.$$

Obviously this map is a ring isomorphism and the assignment $A \mapsto \omega_A$ induces a natural isomorphism $\omega : \mathsf{B}^a \to \mathsf{B}^b$ with the desired property.

(b) The assertion can be verified in the same way as in the proof of Proposition 3.15(b). □

Finally, we give an analogue of Proposition 3.16. Recall that $F^{\mathsf{RINGS}}_{\mathsf{Abel}} \circ \mathsf{B}^m$ is naturally isomorphic to $F^{\mathsf{RINGS}}_{\mathsf{Abel}} \circ \left(\mathsf{g}h \circ F^{\Psi\text{-}\mathsf{Rings}}_{\mathsf{Rings}}\right)$ for all $m \in \mathbb{Z}$. In addition, for every Ψ-ring R, the map,

$$\begin{aligned}(\varphi_{\mathrm{ab}})^m_R : F^{\mathsf{RINGS}}_{\mathsf{Abel}} \circ \left(\mathsf{gh} \circ F^{\Psi\text{-}\mathsf{Rings}}_{\mathsf{Rings}}\right)(R) &\to F^{\mathsf{RINGS}}_{\mathsf{Abel}} \circ \left(\mathsf{gh} \circ F^{\Psi\text{-}\mathsf{Rings}}_{\mathsf{Rings}}\right)(R)\\ (a_n)_{n\in\mathbb{N}} &\mapsto \left(\sum_{d|n} d\left[\frac{n}{d}\right]_m \Psi^{\frac{n}{d}}(a_d)\right)_{n\in\mathbb{N}},\end{aligned}$$

is an abelian group homomorphism.

Proposition 5.13. *Let a, b be arbitrary integers. Then there exists a natural isomorphism*

$$\omega : F^{\mathsf{RINGS}}_{\mathsf{Abel}} \circ \left(\mathsf{gh} \circ F^{\Psi\text{-}\mathsf{Rings}}_{\mathsf{Rings}}\right) \to F^{\mathsf{RINGS}}_{\mathsf{Abel}} \circ \left(\mathsf{gh} \circ F^{\Psi\text{-}\mathsf{Rings}}_{\mathsf{Rings}}\right)$$

such that $(\varphi_{\mathrm{ab}})^a = (\varphi_{\mathrm{ab}})^b \circ \omega$ if and only if $(1-a)$ and $(1-b)$ have the same set of prime divisors.

Proof. ($\Longrightarrow$): Refer to the proof of Proposition 3.13.

($\Longleftarrow$): Let $F = \mathbb{Z}[\Psi^k(x_n) : k, n \geq 1]$ and $X = (x_n)_{n\in\mathbb{N}}$. For all $n \geq 1$, define y_n recursively via the following equation:

$$n\mathsf{y}_n = \sum_{d|n} d\left[\frac{n}{d}\right]_a \Psi^{\frac{n}{d}}(x_d) - \sum_{\substack{d|n\\ d<n}} d\left[\frac{n}{d}\right]_b \Psi^{\frac{n}{d}}(\mathsf{y}_d), \; (n \geq 1). \tag{5.12}$$

Let p be a prime divisor of n. If $p|1-a$, then $d\left[\frac{n}{d}\right]_a \equiv d\left[\frac{n}{d}\right]_b \equiv 0 \pmod{p^{\mathrm{ord}_p(n)}}$. So the right hand side of (5.12) is divisible by n. If $p \nmid 1-a$, then $d\left[\frac{n}{d}\right]_a \equiv d\left[\frac{n}{dp}\right]_a \pmod{p^{\mathrm{ord}_p(n)}}$ and $d\left[\frac{n}{d}\right]_b \equiv d\left[\frac{n}{dp}\right]_b \pmod{p^{\mathrm{ord}_p(n)}}$. Hence

$$\begin{aligned}n\mathsf{y}_n &\equiv \sum_{d|\frac{n}{p}} d\left[\frac{n}{dp}\right]_a \Psi^{\frac{n}{d}}(x_d) - \sum_{d|\frac{n}{p}} d\left[\frac{n}{d}\right]_b \Psi^{\frac{n}{d}}(\mathsf{y}_d) \pmod{p^{\mathrm{ord}_p(n)}}\\ &\equiv \Psi^p\left(\sum_{d|\frac{n}{p}} d\left[\frac{n}{dp}\right]_a \Psi^{\frac{n}{dp}}(x_d)\right) - \Psi^p\left(\sum_{d|\frac{n}{p}} d\left[\frac{n}{d}\right]_b \Psi^{\frac{n}{dp}}(\mathsf{y}_d)\right) \pmod{p^{\mathrm{ord}_p(n)}}\\ &= 0.\end{aligned}$$

It tells us that y_n is contained in F. Now, the desired natural isomorphism can be constructed in the same way as in the proof of Proposition 3.13. □

5.4. **Structure constants of B^m and $\overline{\mathsf{B}}^{g(q)}$.**

5.4.1. In the previous section, we have shown $\mathsf{B}^m(X)\mathsf{B}^m(Y) = (\mathsf{P}_n)$, where P_n can be written in the following form:

$$\sum_{i,j|n} c(i,j)\Psi^{\frac{n}{i}}(x_i)\Psi^{\frac{n}{j}}(y_j).$$

Here we focus on the explicit form of $c(i,j)$. To begin with, let us introduce notations necessary to develop our arguments. For a positive integer n, let D_n be the poset consisting of all divisors of n where $i \preceq j$ in D_n if j is divisible by i. For positive integers $a, b \in D_n$ with $a \preceq b$, let $\mathcal{C}(a,b)$ the set of all chains from a to b in D_n. It is easy to see that it does not depend on the choice of n. For any chain $C = (a = x_r \prec \cdots \prec x_0 = b) \in \mathcal{C}(a,b)$, let

$$\mathrm{wt}_m(C) := \begin{cases} (-1)^r \left[\frac{x_0}{x_1}\right]_m \cdots \left[\frac{x_{r-1}}{x_r}\right]_m & \text{if } a < b \\ 1 & \text{if } a = b \end{cases}$$

and

$$\mu_m(b,a) := \sum_{C \in \mathcal{C}(a,b)} \mathrm{wt}_m(C).$$

It can be easily seen that $\mu_m(b,a) = \mu_m(b',a')$ if $b/a = b'/a'$. For each positive integer n, let us define $\mu_m(n)$ by $\mu_m(n,1)$.

Proposition 5.14. *Let n be a positive integer. For any divisors i, j of n, we have*

$$c(i,j) = \frac{(1-m)ij}{n} \sum_{e | \frac{n}{[i,j]}} \left[\frac{n}{ei}\right]_m \left[\frac{n}{ej}\right]_m \mu_m(e).$$

Proof. Note that $n\mathsf{P}_n$ equals

$$(1-m)\left(\sum_{d_1 \preceq n} d_1 \left[\frac{n}{d_1}\right]_m \Psi^{\frac{n}{d_1}}(x_{d_1})\right)\left(\sum_{d_1' \preceq n} d_1' \left[\frac{n}{d_1'}\right]_m \Psi^{\frac{n}{d_1'}}(y_{d_1'})\right) - \sum_{d_1 \prec n} \left[\frac{n}{d_1}\right]_m \Psi^{\frac{n}{d_1}}(d_1 \mathsf{P}_{d_1}). \tag{5.13}$$

In the same manner, $d_1\mathsf{P}_{d_1}$ equals

$$(1-m)\left(\sum_{d_2 \preceq d_1} d_2 \left[\frac{d_1}{d_2}\right]_m \Psi^{\frac{d_1}{d_2}}(x_{d_2})\right)\left(\sum_{d_2' \preceq d_1} d_2' \left[\frac{d_1}{d_2'}\right]_m \Psi^{\frac{d_1}{d_2'}}(y_{d_2'})\right) - \sum_{d_2 \prec d_1} \left[\frac{d_1}{d_2}\right]_m \Psi^{\frac{d_1}{d_2}}(d_2 \mathsf{P}_{d_2}). \tag{5.14}$$

Plugging (5.14) into (5.13) yields that $n\mathsf{P}_n$ can be written as

$$\begin{aligned} &(1-m)\left(\sum_{d_1, d_1' \preceq n} d_1 d_1' \left[\frac{n}{d_1}\right]_m \left[\frac{n}{d_1'}\right]_m \Psi^{\frac{n}{d_1}}(x_{d_1})\Psi^{\frac{n}{d_1'}}(y_{d_1'})\right) \\ &- (1-m)\left(\sum_{d_2, d_2' \preceq d_1 \prec n} d_2 d_2' \left[\frac{n}{d_1}\right]_m \left[\frac{d_1}{d_2}\right]_m \left[\frac{d_1}{d_2'}\right]_m \Psi^{\frac{d_1}{d_2}}(x_{d_2})\Psi^{\frac{d_1}{d_2'}}(y_{d_2'})\right) \\ &- \sum_{d_2 \prec d_1 \prec n} \left[\frac{n}{d_1}\right]_m \left[\frac{d_1}{d_2}\right]_m \Psi^{\frac{n}{d_2}}(d_2 \mathsf{P}_{d_2}). \end{aligned}$$

In the same manner, if we replacing $d_i\mathsf{P}_{d_i}$ $(i \geq 2)$ by

$$(1-m)\left(\sum_{d_{i+1}\preceq d_i} d_{i+1}\left[\frac{d_i}{d_{i+1}}\right]_m \Psi^{\frac{d_i}{d_{i+1}}}(x_{d_{i+1}})\right)\left(\sum_{d'_{i+1}\preceq d_i} d'_{i+1}\left[\frac{d_i}{d'_{i+1}}\right]_m \Psi^{\frac{d_i}{d'_{i+1}}}(y_{d'_{i+1}})\right)$$
$$-\sum_{d_{i+1}\prec d_i}\left[\frac{d_i}{d_{i+1}}\right]_m \Psi^{\frac{d_i}{d_{i+1}}}(d_{i+1}\mathsf{P}_{d_{i+1}}),$$

we finally come to the equation

$$n\mathsf{P}_n = (1-m)\sum_{i,j|n}\left(\sum_{\substack{r\geq 0\\ i,j\preceq d_r\prec d_{r-1}\prec\cdots\prec d_1\prec d_0:=n}}(-1)^r ij\left(\prod_{l=0}^{r-1}\left[\frac{d_l}{d_{l+1}}\right]_m\right)\left[\frac{d_r}{i}\right]_m\left[\frac{d_r}{j}\right]_m\right)\Psi^{\frac{n}{i}}(x_i)\Psi^{\frac{n}{j}}(y_j),$$

where $\prod_{l=0}^{r-1}\left[\frac{d_l}{d_{l+1}}\right]_m$ is set to be 1 when $r=0$. Given i,j with $i,j|n$, let $\mathcal{A}(n;i,j)$ be the set of subposets $\{d_r, d_{r-1}, \ldots, d_2, d_1, d_0 := n\}$ of D_n such that $i,j \preceq d_r \prec d_{r-1} \prec \cdots \prec d_2 \prec d_1 \prec n$. Also we let

$$\mathcal{C}(n;i,j) := \bigsqcup_{e|\frac{n}{[i,j]}} \mathcal{C}(1,e).$$

Define $\eta : \mathcal{A}(n;i,j) \to \mathcal{C}(n;i,j)$ by

$$\{d_r, d_{r-1}, \ldots, d_2, d_1, n\} \mapsto \left(\frac{d_{r-1}}{d_r}, \ldots, \frac{n}{d_r}\right)$$

Since $[i,j]|d_r$ (thus $\frac{n}{d_r}|\frac{n}{[i,j]}$), η is well defined. Clearly η is a weight-preserving bijection. Furthermore, if we let $e := \frac{n}{d_r}$, then $\frac{d_r}{i} = \frac{n}{ei}$ and $\frac{d_r}{j} = \frac{n}{ej}$. Therefore

$$\left(\sum_{\substack{r\geq 0\\ i,j\preceq d_r\prec d_{r-1}\prec\cdots\prec d_1\prec d_0}}(-1)^r ij\left(\prod_{l=0}^{r-1}\left[\frac{d_l}{d_{l+1}}\right]_m\right)\left[\frac{d_r}{i}\right]_m\left[\frac{d_r}{j}\right]_m\right)$$
$$= ij\sum_{[i,j]|e|n}\left(\sum_{\substack{r\geq 0\\ e=d_r\prec d_{r-1}\prec\cdots\prec d_2\prec d_1\prec d_0}}(-1)^r\left(\prod_{l=0}^{r-1}\left[\frac{d_l}{d_{l+1}}\right]_m\right)\right)\left[\frac{n}{ei}\right]_m\left[\frac{n}{ej}\right]_m$$
$$= ij\sum_{e|\frac{n}{[i,j]}}\left[\frac{n}{ei}\right]_m\left[\frac{n}{ej}\right]_m\mu_m(e).$$

This completes the proof. $\square$

Example 5.15. (a) If $m=0$, then

$$\sum_{e|\frac{n}{[i,j]}}\left[\frac{n}{ei}\right]_0\left[\frac{n}{ej}\right]_0\mu_0(e) = \sum_{e|\frac{n}{[i,j]}}\mu(e) = \begin{cases}1 & \text{if } [i,j]=n,\\ 0 & \text{otherwise.}\end{cases}$$

As a consequence,

$$\mathsf{P}_n = \sum_{[i,j]=n}\frac{ij}{n}\Psi^{\frac{n}{i}}(x_i)\Psi^{\frac{n}{j}}(y_j) = \sum_{[i,j]=n}(i,j)\Psi^{\frac{n}{i}}(x_i)\Psi^{\frac{n}{j}}(y_j).$$

(b) If $m=1$, then $c(i,j)=0$ for all divisors i,j of n and thus $\mathsf{P}_n = 0$ for every positive integer n.

(c) If $m=-1$, then

$$[m]_{-1}=\begin{cases}0 & \text{if } m \text{ is even,}\\ 1 & \text{if } m \text{ is odd.}\end{cases}$$

Therefore

$$\sum_{e\mid \frac{n}{[i,j]}}\left[\frac{n}{ei}\right]_{-1}\left[\frac{n}{ej}\right]_{-1}\mu_{-1}(e)=\begin{cases}1 & \text{if } \frac{n}{i},\frac{n}{j} \text{ are odd and } [i,j]=n,\\ 0 & \text{otherwise.}\end{cases}$$

As a consequence,

$$\mathsf{P}_n=2\sum_{\substack{[i,j]=n\\ \frac{n}{i},\frac{n}{j}\text{ are odd}}}(i,j)\Psi^{\frac{n}{i}}(x_i)\Psi^{\frac{n}{j}}(y_j).$$

Remark 5.16. As an interesting corollary of Proposition 5.14, we can deduce that

$$d(i,j):=(1-m)ij\sum_{e\mid \frac{n}{[i,j]}}\left[\frac{n}{ei}\right]_m\left[\frac{n}{ej}\right]_m\mu_m(e)$$

is divisible by n. It would be very nice to give a direct proof of this divisibility. For instance, if $[i,j]=n$, then this is trivial since

$$\frac{d(i,j)}{n}=(1-m)(i,j)\left[\frac{n}{i}\right]_m\left[\frac{n}{j}\right]_m.$$

On the other hand, if $i=j=1$, then

$$d(i,j)=(1-m)\sum_{e\mid n}\left[\frac{n}{e}\right]_m^2\mu_m(e).$$

Therefor $(1-m)\sum_{e\mid n}\left[\frac{n}{e}\right]_m^2\mu_m(e)$ is divisible by n. However, its direct proof does not seem to be so trivial.

5.4.2. Recall the equality $\overline{\mathsf{B}}^{g(q)}(X)\overline{\mathsf{B}}^{g(q)}(Y)=(P_n)$, where P_n can be written in the following form:

$$\sum_{i,j\mid n}d(i,j)\Psi^{\frac{n}{i}}(x_i)\Psi^{\frac{n}{j}}(y_j).$$

Here we focus on the explicit form of $d(i,j)$. For positive integers $a,b\in D_n$ with $a\preceq b$ and for any chain $C=(a=x_r\prec\cdots\prec x_0=b)\in\mathcal{C}(a,b)$, let

$$\mathrm{Wt}_{g(q)}(C):=(-1)^r\left[\frac{x_0}{x_1}\right]_{g(q)}\left[\frac{x_1}{x_2}\right]_{g(q^{\frac{x_0}{x_1}})}\cdots\left[\frac{x_{r-1}}{x_r}\right]_{g(q^{\frac{x_0}{x_{r-1}}})}.$$

and

$$\hat{\mu}_{g(q)}(b,a):=\sum_{C\in\mathcal{C}(a,b)}\mathrm{Wt}_{g(q)}(C).$$

It can be easily seen that $\hat{\mu}_{g(q)}(b,a)=\hat{\mu}_{g(q)}(b',a')$ if $b/a=b'/a'$. For each positive integer n, let us define $\hat{\mu}_{g(q)}(n)$ by $\hat{\mu}_{g(q)}(n,1)$.

Proposition 5.17. *Let n be a positive integer. For any divisors i,j of n, we have*

$$d(i,j)=\frac{ij}{n}\sum_{e\mid \frac{n}{[i,j]}}(1-g(q^e))\left[\frac{n}{ei}\right]_{g(q^e)}\left[\frac{n}{ej}\right]_{g(q^e)}\hat{\mu}_{g(q)}(e).$$

Proof. Note that $n\mathsf{P}_n$ equals

$$(1-g(q))\left(\sum_{d_1\preceq n} d_1 \left[\frac{n}{d_1}\right]_{g(q)} \Psi^{\frac{n}{d_1}}(x_{d_1})\right)\left(\sum_{d_1'\preceq n} d_1' \left[\frac{n}{d_1'}\right]_{g(q)} \Psi^{\frac{n}{d_1'}}(y_{d_1'})\right) - \sum_{d_1\prec n} \left[\frac{n}{d_1}\right]_{g(q)} \Psi^{\frac{n}{d_1}}(d_1\mathsf{P}_{d_1}). \tag{5.15}$$

In the same manner, $d_1\mathsf{P}_{d_1}$ equals

$$(1-g(q))\left(\sum_{d_2\preceq d_1} d_2 \left[\frac{d_1}{d_2}\right]_{g(q)} \Psi^{\frac{d_1}{d_2}}(x_{d_2})\right)\left(\sum_{d_2'\preceq d_1} d_2' \left[\frac{d_1}{d_2'}\right]_{g(q)} \Psi^{\frac{d_1}{d_2'}}(y_{d_2'})\right) - \sum_{d_2\prec d_1} \left[\frac{d_1}{d_2}\right]_{g(q)} \Psi^{\frac{d_1}{d_2}}(d_2\mathsf{P}_{d_2}). \tag{5.16}$$

Plugging (5.16) into (5.15) shows that $n\mathsf{P}_n$ can be written as

$$\begin{aligned}
&(1-g(q))\left(\sum_{d_1,d_1'\preceq n} d_1 d_1' \left[\frac{n}{d_1}\right]_{g(q)} \left[\frac{n}{d_1'}\right]_{g(q)} \Psi^{\frac{n}{d_1}}(x_{d_1})\Psi^{\frac{n}{d_1'}}(y_{d_1'})\right)\\
&-(1-g(q^{\frac{n}{d_1}}))\left(\sum_{d_2,d_2'\preceq d_1\prec n} d_2 d_2' \left[\frac{n}{d_1}\right]_{g(q)} \left[\frac{d_1}{d_2}\right]_{g(q^{\frac{n}{d_1}})} \left[\frac{d_1}{d_2'}\right]_{g(q^{\frac{n}{d_1}})} \Psi^{\frac{d_1}{d_2}}(x_{d_2})\Psi^{\frac{d_1}{d_2'}}(y_{d_2'})\right)\\
&-\sum_{d_2\prec d_1\prec n} \left[\frac{n}{d_1}\right]_{g(q)} \left[\frac{d_1}{d_2}\right]_{g(q^{\frac{n}{d_1}})} \Psi^{\frac{n}{d_2}}(d_2\mathsf{P}_{d_2}).
\end{aligned}$$

In the same manner, if we replacing $d_i\mathsf{P}_{d_i}$ $(i\geq 2)$ by

$$\begin{aligned}
&(1-g(q))\left(\sum_{d_{i+1}\preceq d_i} d_{i+1} \left[\frac{d_i}{d_{i+1}}\right]_{g(q)} \Psi^{\frac{d_i}{d_{i+1}}}(x_{d_{i+1}})\right)\left(\sum_{d_{i+1}'\preceq d_i} d_{i+1}' \left[\frac{d_i}{d_{i+1}'}\right]_{g(q)} \Psi^{\frac{d_i}{d_{i+1}'}}(y_{d_{i+1}'})\right)\\
&-\sum_{d_{i+1}\prec d_i} \left[\frac{d_i}{d_{i+1}}\right]_{g(q)} \Psi^{\frac{d_i}{d_{i+1}}}(d_{i+1}\mathsf{P}_{d_{i+1}}),
\end{aligned} \tag{5.17}$$

we finally come to the equation

$$n\mathsf{P}_n = \sum_{i,j\mid n} c(i,j)\Psi^{\frac{n}{i}}(x_i)\Psi^{\frac{n}{j}}(y_j).$$

Here $c(i,j)$ is given by

$$ij \sum_{\substack{r\geq 0\\ i,j\preceq d_r\prec d_{r-1}\prec\cdots\prec d_1\prec d_0:=n}} (-1)^r(1-g(q^{\frac{n}{d_r}}))\left(\prod_{l=0}^{r-1}\left[\frac{d_l}{d_{l+1}}\right]_{g(q^{\frac{n}{d_l}})}\right)\left[\frac{d_r}{i}\right]_{g(q^{\frac{n}{d_r}})}\left[\frac{d_r}{j}\right]_{g(q^{\frac{n}{d_r}})},$$

where $\prod_{l=0}^{r-1} \left[\frac{d_l}{d_{l+1}}\right]_{g(q^{\frac{n}{d_l}})}$ is set to be 1 when $r = 0$. As in the proof of Proposition 5.14, we can derive

$$\begin{aligned}
&\sum_{\substack{r\geq 0 \\ i,j\preceq d_r\prec d_{r-1}\prec\cdots\prec d_1\prec d_0:=n}} (-1)^r(1-g(q^{\frac{n}{d_r}}))\left(\prod_{l=0}^{r-1}\left[\frac{d_l}{d_{l+1}}\right]_{g(q^{\frac{n}{d_l}})}\right)\left[\frac{d_r}{i}\right]_{g(q^{\frac{n}{d_r}})}\left[\frac{d_r}{j}\right]_{g(q^{\frac{n}{d_r}})} \\
&= \sum_{[i,j]|e|n}(1-g(q^{\frac{n}{e}}))\left(\sum_{\substack{r\geq 0 \\ e=d_r\prec d_{r-1}\prec\cdots\prec d_2\prec d_1\prec d_0}}(-1)^r\left(\prod_{l=0}^{r-1}\left[\frac{d_l}{d_{l+1}}\right]_{g(q^{\frac{n}{d_l}})}\right)\right)\left[\frac{e}{i}\right]_{g(q^{\frac{n}{e}})}\left[\frac{e}{j}\right]_{g(q^{\frac{n}{e}})} \\
&= \sum_{e|\frac{n}{[i,j]}}(1-g(q^e))\left[\frac{n}{ei}\right]_{g(q^e)}\left[\frac{n}{ej}\right]_{g(q^e)}\hat{\mu}_{g(q)}(e) \quad \text{(by replacing } n/e \text{ by } e\text{).}
\end{aligned}$$

This completes the proof. □

Example 5.18. Let $g(q) = q$. Then one can easily see that $\hat{\mu}_q(e) = [e]_q\,\mu(e)$. Therefore

$$\begin{aligned}
d(i,j) &= \frac{ij}{n}\sum_{e|\frac{n}{[i,j]}}(1-g(q^e))\left[\frac{n}{ei}\right]_{g(q^e)}\left[\frac{n}{ej}\right]_{g(q^e)}\hat{\mu}_{g(q)}(e) \\
&= \frac{ij}{n}\sum_{e|\frac{n}{[i,j]}}(1-q^e)\left[\frac{n}{ei}\right]_{q^e}\left[\frac{n}{ej}\right]_{q^e}[e]_q\mu(e) \\
&= \frac{ij(1-q)}{n}\left[\frac{n}{i}\right]_q\left[\frac{n}{j}\right]_q\sum_{e|\frac{n}{[i,j]}}\mu(e).
\end{aligned}$$

Applying the formula

$$\sum_{d|n}\mu(d) = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } n=1, \\ 0 & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

yields

$$d(i,j) = \begin{cases} \frac{ij(1-q)}{n}\left[\frac{n}{i}\right]_q\left[\frac{n}{j}\right]_q & \text{if } [i,j]=n, \\ 0 & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

6. Functorial and structural properties

6.1. **Induction.** Assume that $\mathcal{F}$ denotes one of the following functors:

$$\mathsf{gh}\circ F_{\mathsf{Rings}}^{\mathbb{Z}[q]\text{-}\mathsf{Algebras}},\ \ \mathsf{gh}\circ F_{\mathsf{Rings}}^{\mathbb{Z}[q]\,\otimes\,\Psi\text{-}\mathsf{Rings}},\ \ \text{and } \mathsf{gh}.$$

For each $r \geq 1$ and each object A consider the map

$$\mathsf{Ind}_{r,A} : \mathcal{F}(A) \to \mathcal{F}(A), \quad (a_n)_{n\in\mathbb{N}} \mapsto (ra_{\frac{n}{r}})_{n\in\mathbb{N}},$$

where $b_n = ra_{\frac{n}{r}}$ with $a_{\frac{n}{r}} := 0$ if $\frac{n}{r} \notin \mathbb{N}$. It should be noted that this map is additive, but not multiplicative. Letting

$$\mathsf{ind}_{r,A} : (F_{\mathsf{Abel}}^{\mathsf{RINGS}}\circ\mathcal{F})(A) \to (F_{\mathsf{Abel}}^{\mathsf{RINGS}}\circ\mathcal{F})(A), \quad (a_n)_{n\in\mathbb{N}} \mapsto (ra_{\frac{n}{r}})_{n\in\mathbb{N}},$$

it is easy to show that the assignment $A \mapsto \mathsf{ind}_{r,A}$ induces a natural transformation $\mathsf{ind}_r : F_{\mathsf{Abel}}^{\mathsf{RINGS}}\circ\mathcal{F} \to F_{\mathsf{Abel}}^{\mathsf{RINGS}}\circ\mathcal{F}$.

In the same manner, assume that $\mathcal{G}$ denotes one of the following functors:

$$\overline{\mathsf{W}}^{g(q)},\ \overline{\mathsf{B}}^{g(q)},\ \mathsf{W}^m,\ \text{ and } \mathsf{B}^m, \qquad \text{where } g(q) \in \mathbb{Z}[q] \text{ and } m \geq 1.$$

For each $r \geq 1$ and each object A, consider the maps

$$Ind_{r,A} : \mathcal{G}(A) \to \mathcal{G}(A),\ (a_n)_{n\in\mathbb{N}} \mapsto (a_{\frac{n}{r}})_{n\in\mathbb{N}}$$

and

$$ind_{r,A} : (F^{\mathsf{RINGS}}_{\mathsf{Abel}} \circ \mathcal{G})(A) \to (F^{\mathsf{RINGS}}_{\mathsf{Abel}} \circ \mathcal{G})(A),\ (a_n)_{n\in\mathbb{N}} \mapsto (a_{\frac{n}{r}})_{n\in\mathbb{N}}.$$

Proposition 6.1. *Suppose that $g(q) \in \mathbb{Z}[q]$ and $m \in \mathbb{Z}$. For an arbitrary positive integer r we have the following.*

(a) *The assignment $A \mapsto \mathrm{ind}_{r,A}$ induces a natural transformation $\mathrm{ind}_r : F^{\mathsf{RINGS}}_{\mathsf{Abel}} \circ \overline{\mathsf{W}}^{g(q)} \to F^{\mathsf{RINGS}}_{\mathsf{Abel}} \circ \overline{\mathsf{W}}^{g(q)}$ satisfying $\overline{\Phi}^{g(q)}_A \circ \mathrm{ind}_{r,A} = \mathrm{ind}_{r,A} \circ \overline{\Phi}^{g(q)}_A$ for every $\mathbb{Z}[q]$-algebra A. If $g(q) \neq 1$, then it is uniquely determined by this commutativity.*

(b) *The assignment $A \mapsto \mathrm{ind}_{r,A}$ induces a natural transformation $\mathrm{ind}_r : F^{\mathsf{RINGS}}_{\mathsf{Abel}} \circ \overline{\mathsf{B}}^{g(q)} \to F^{\mathsf{RINGS}}_{\mathsf{Abel}} \circ \overline{\mathsf{B}}^{g(q)}$ satisfying $\overline{\varphi}^{g(q)}_A \circ \mathrm{ind}_{r,A} = \mathrm{ind}_{r,A} \circ \overline{\varphi}^{g(q)}_A$ for every object of $\mathbb{Z}[q] \otimes \Psi$-$\mathsf{Rings}$. If $m \neq 1$, then it is uniquely determined by this commutativity.*

(c) *The assignment $A \mapsto \mathrm{ind}_{r,A}$ induces a natural transformation $\mathrm{ind}_r : F^{\mathsf{RINGS}}_{\mathsf{Abel}} \circ \mathsf{W}^m \to \mathsf{W}^m$ satisfying $\Phi^m_A \circ \mathrm{ind}_{r,A} = \mathrm{ind}_{r,A} \circ \Phi^m_A$ for every commutative ring A with unity. If $m \neq 1$, then it is uniquely determined by this commutativity.*

(d) *The assignment $A \mapsto \mathrm{ind}_{r,A}$ induces a natural transformation $\mathrm{ind}_r : F^{\mathsf{RINGS}}_{\mathsf{Abel}} \circ \mathsf{B}^m \to \mathsf{B}^m$ satisfying $\varphi^m_A \circ \mathrm{ind}_{r,A} = \mathrm{ind}_{r,A} \circ \varphi^m_A$ for every Ψ-ring A. If $g(q) \neq 1$, then it is uniquely determined by this commutativity.*

Proof. Since all the assertions can be proved in the same way, we here prove only (a). The proof will be accomplished in the following steps:

Step 1: First, we verify that $\Phi^{g(q)}_A \circ Ind_{r,A} = Ind_{r,A} \circ \Phi^{g(q)}_A$ for every $\mathbb{Z}[q]$-algebra A. For this purpose it suffices to see that $(\Phi_{\mathrm{ab}})^{g(q)}_A \circ ind_{r,A} = ind_{r,A} \circ (\Phi_{\mathrm{ab}})^{g(q)}_A$. This is obvious since

$$\begin{aligned}
(\Phi_{\mathrm{ab}})^{g(q)}_A \circ ind_{r,A}((a_n)_{n\in\mathbb{N}}) &= (\Phi_{\mathrm{ab}})^{g(q)}_A((a_{\frac{n}{r}})_{n\in\mathbb{N}}) \\
&= \left(\sum_{d|n} d \left[\frac{n}{d}\right]_{g(q)} a_{\frac{\frac{n}{d}}{\frac{d}{r}}} \right)_{n\in\mathbb{N}} \\
&= \left(r \sum_{e|\frac{n}{r}} e \left[\frac{n}{er}\right]_{g(q)} a_e^{\frac{n}{er}} \right)_{n\in\mathbb{N}} \qquad \text{(by letting } e = d/r) \\
&= \mathsf{ind}_{r,A} \circ (\Phi_{\mathrm{ab}})^{g(q)}_A((a_n)_{n\in\mathbb{N}}).
\end{aligned}$$

Step 2: Second, we show that $ind_{r,A}$ is additive for any $\mathbb{Z}[q]$-algebra A. Let $\mathsf{F} = \mathbb{Z}[q][x_n, y_n : n \geq 1]$, $X = (x_n)_{n\in\mathbb{N}}$ and $Y = (y_n)_{n\in\mathbb{N}}$. To begin with, we will see that $ind_{r,\mathsf{F}}(X+Y) = ind_{r,\mathsf{F}}(X) + ind_{r,\mathsf{F}}(Y)$. Note

$$\begin{aligned}
(\Phi_{\mathrm{ab}})^{g(q)}_{\mathsf{F}} \circ ind_{r,\mathsf{F}}(X+Y) &= \mathsf{nd}_{r,\mathsf{F}} \circ (\Phi_{\mathrm{ab}})^{g(q)}_{\mathsf{F}}(X+Y) \\
&= \mathsf{ind}_{r,\mathsf{F}} \circ (\Phi_{\mathrm{ab}})^{g(q)}_{\mathsf{F}}(X) + \mathsf{ind}_{r,\mathsf{F}} \circ (\Phi_{\mathrm{ab}})^{g(q)}_{\mathsf{F}}(Y) \\
&= (\Phi_{\mathrm{ab}})^{g(q)}_{\mathsf{F}} \circ ind_{r,\mathsf{F}}(X) + (\Phi_{\mathrm{ab}})^{g(q)}_{\mathsf{F}} \circ ind_{r,\mathsf{F}}(Y).
\end{aligned}$$

Hence our assertion follows from the injectivity of $(\Phi_{\mathrm{ab}})^{g(q)}_{\mathsf{F}}$. For any elements $a = (a_n)_{n\in\mathbb{N}}$ and $b = (b_n)_{n\in\mathbb{N}}$ of $\overline{\mathsf{W}}^{g(q)}(A)$, let $\pi : \mathsf{F} \to A$ be the unique $\mathbb{Z}[q]$-algebra homomorphism with $x_n \mapsto a_n, y_n \mapsto b_n$ for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$. Then we have

$$\begin{aligned}
ind_{r,A}((a_n)_{n\in\mathbb{N}} + (b_n)_{n\in\mathbb{N}}) &= Ind_{r,A}((a_n)_{n\in\mathbb{N}} + (b_n)_{n\in\mathbb{N}}) \\
&= Ind_{r,A}(\overline{\mathsf{W}}^{g(q)}(\pi)(X) + \overline{\mathsf{W}}^{g(q)}(\pi)(Y)) \\
&= Ind_{r,A}(\overline{\mathsf{W}}^{g(q)}(\pi)(X + Y)) \\
&= \overline{\mathsf{W}}^{g(q)}(\pi)(Ind_{r,\mathsf{F}}(X + Y)) \\
&= \overline{\mathsf{W}}^{g(q)}(\pi)(Ind_{r,\mathsf{F}}(X) + Ind_{r,\mathsf{F}}(Y)) \\
&= \overline{\mathsf{W}}^{g(q)}(\pi) \circ Ind_{r,\mathsf{F}}(X) + \overline{\mathsf{W}}^{g(q)}(\pi) \circ Ind_{r,\mathsf{F}}(Y) \\
&= Ind_{r,A}((a_n)_{n\in\mathbb{N}}) + Ind_{r,A}((b_n)_{n\in\mathbb{N}}) \\
&= ind_{r,A}((a_n)_{n\in\mathbb{N}}) + ind_{r,A}((b_n)_{n\in\mathbb{N}}).
\end{aligned}$$

Step 3: For every $\mathbb{Z}[q]$-algebra homomorphism $f : A \to B$ the diagram

$$\begin{tikzcd}
F^{\mathsf{RINGS}}_{\mathsf{Abel}} \circ \overline{\mathsf{W}}^{g(q)}(A) \arrow[r, "ind_{r,A}"] \arrow[d, "(F^{\mathsf{RINGS}}_{\mathsf{Abel}} \circ \overline{\mathsf{W}}^{g(q)}(f) =)\, \overline{\mathsf{W}}^{g(q)}(f)"'] & F^{\mathsf{RINGS}}_{\mathsf{Abel}} \circ \overline{\mathsf{W}}^{g(q)}(A) \arrow[d, "\overline{\mathsf{W}}^{g(q)}(f)"] \\
F^{\mathsf{RINGS}}_{\mathsf{Abel}} \circ \overline{\mathsf{W}}^{g(q)}(B) \arrow[r, "ind_{r,B}"'] & F^{\mathsf{RINGS}}_{\mathsf{Abel}} \circ \overline{\mathsf{W}}^{g(q)}(B)
\end{tikzcd}$$

is obviously commutative. *Step 2* and *Step 3* imply that ind_r is a natural transformation.

Step 4: For the uniqueness, let $g(q) \neq 1$ and let V_r be a natural transformation with the desired property. Let $\mathsf{E} = \mathbb{Z}[q][x_n : n \geq 1]$, Let $X = (x_n)_{n\in\mathbb{N}}$ and $\mathsf{V} = (\mathsf{v}_n)_{n\in\mathbb{N}} := V_{r,\mathsf{E}}(X)$. From $\Phi^{g(q)}_{\mathsf{E}} \circ V_{r,\mathsf{E}} = V_{r,\mathsf{E}} \circ \Phi^{g(q)}_{\mathsf{E}}$ it follows that

$$\sum_{d|n} d(1 - g(q)^{\frac{n}{d}})\mathsf{v}_d^{\frac{n}{d}} = r \sum_{e|\frac{n}{r}} e(1 - g(q)^{\frac{n}{er}})x_e^{\frac{n}{er}} = \sum_{d|n} d(1 - g(q)^{\frac{n}{d}})x_{\frac{d}{r}}^{\frac{n}{d}} \quad \text{(by letting } d := er).$$

By the injectivity of $\Phi^{g(q)}_{\mathsf{E}}$ we get $\mathsf{v}_n = x_{\frac{n}{r}}$ for all $n \geq 1$. Now, for any $\mathbb{Z}[q]$-algebra A and any element $(a_n)_{n\in\mathbb{N}} \in \overline{\mathsf{W}}^{g(q)}(A)$, let $\hat{\pi} : \mathsf{E} \to A$ be the morphism uniquely determined by $x_n \mapsto a_n$, $(n \geq 1)$. From the commutativity of the diagram

$$\begin{tikzcd}
F^{\mathsf{RINGS}}_{\mathsf{Abel}} \circ \overline{\mathsf{W}}^{g(q)}(\mathsf{E}) \arrow[r, "V_{r,\mathsf{E}}"] \arrow[d, "\overline{\mathsf{W}}^{g(q)}(\hat{\pi})"'] & F^{\mathsf{RINGS}}_{\mathsf{Abel}} \circ \overline{\mathsf{W}}^{g(q)}(\mathsf{E}) \arrow[d, "\overline{\mathsf{W}}^{g(q)}(\hat{\pi})"] \\
F^{\mathsf{RINGS}}_{\mathsf{Abel}} \circ \overline{\mathsf{W}}^{g(q)}(A) \arrow[r, "V_{r,A}"'] & F^{\mathsf{RINGS}}_{\mathsf{Abel}} \circ \overline{\mathsf{W}}^{g(q)}(A)
\end{tikzcd}$$

it follows that $V_{r,A}((a_n)_{n\in\mathbb{N}}) = V_{r,A}(F^{\mathsf{RINGS}}_{\mathsf{Abel}} \circ \overline{\mathsf{W}}^{g(q)}(\hat{\pi})(X)) = (F^{\mathsf{RINGS}}_{\mathsf{Abel}} \circ \overline{\mathsf{W}}^{g(q)}(\hat{\pi})) \circ V_{r,\mathsf{E}}(X) = (a_{\frac{n}{r}})_{n\in\mathbb{N}} = ind_{r,A}((a_n)_{n\in\mathbb{N}})$. So $V_{r,A} = ind_{r,A}$. $\square$

In case of $\overline{\Lambda}^{g(q)}$ and Λ^m ($m \in \mathbb{Z}$), the rth inductions $V_r^{\overline{\Lambda}^{g(q)}}$ and $V_r^{\Lambda^m}$ ($m \in \mathbb{Z}$) are defined in such a way that $V_{r,A}^{\overline{\Lambda}^{g(q)}} = \Theta_A \circ Ind_{r,A} \circ \Theta_A^{-1}$ and $V_{r,A}^{\Lambda^m} = \Theta_A \circ Ind_{r,A} \circ \Theta_A^{-1}$. In fact, it is easy to see that, for any object A

$$V_{r,A}^{\overline{\Lambda}^{g(q)}}(1+a_1t+a_2t^2+\cdots)=1+a_1t^r+a_2t^{2r}+\cdots,$$
$$V_{r,A}^{\Lambda^m}(1+a_1t+a_2t^2+\cdots)=1+a_1t^r+a_2t^{2r}+\cdots.$$

6.2. **Restriction.** Assume that $\mathcal{F}$ denotes one of the following functors

$$\mathsf{gh}\circ F_{\mathsf{Rings}}^{\mathbb{Z}[q]\text{-}\mathsf{Algebras}},\ \mathsf{gh}\circ F_{\mathsf{Rings}}^{\mathbb{Z}[q]\otimes\Psi\text{-}\mathsf{Rings}},\quad\text{and } \mathsf{gh}.$$

For each $r\geq 1$ and each object A, define

$$\mathsf{Res}_{r,A}:\mathcal{F}(A)\to\mathcal{F}(A),\quad (a_n)_{n\in\mathbb{N}}\mapsto(a_{rn})_{n\in\mathbb{N}}.$$

Obviously $\mathsf{Res}_{r,A}$ is a ring homomorphism. Moreover, it is easy to show that the assignment $A\mapsto\mathsf{Res}_{r,A}$ induces a natural transformation $\mathsf{Res}_r:\mathcal{F}\to\mathcal{F}$.

6.2.1. Here we introduce restrictions on $\overline{\mathsf{W}}^{g(q)}$ and W^m, $(m\in\mathbb{Z})$.

Proposition 6.2. *Given a polynomial $g(q)\in\mathbb{Z}[q]$, there exists a natural transformation $Res_r^{\overline{W}^{g(q)}}:\overline{W}^{g(q)}\to\overline{W}^{g(q)}$ such that the diagram*

$$\begin{tikzcd}
\overline{W}^{g(q)} \arrow[r, "Res_r^{\overline{W}^{g(q)}}"] \arrow[d, "\overline{\Phi}^{g(q)}"'] & \overline{W}^{g(q)} \arrow[d, "\overline{\Phi}^{g(q)}"'] \\
gh\circ F_{Rings}^{\mathbb{Z}[q]\text{-}Algebras} \arrow[r, "Res_r"'] & gh\circ F_{Rings}^{\mathbb{Z}[q]\text{-}Algebras}
\end{tikzcd}$$

is commutative. Furthermore, if $g(q)\neq 1$, then $Res_r^{\overline{W}^{g(q)}}$ is uniquely determined by the above commutativity.

Proof. Let $\mathsf{F}=\mathbb{Z}[q][x_n:n\geq 1]$. Let $X=(x_n)_{n\in\mathbb{N}}$ and define $\mathsf{R}_n,(n\geq 1)$ via the following recursive relation:

$$n\mathsf{R}_n=\sum_{e|nr}e\left[\frac{nr}{e}\right]_{g(q)}x_e^{\frac{nr}{e}}-\sum_{\substack{d|n\\ d<n}}d\left[\frac{n}{d}\right]_{g(q)}\mathsf{R}_d^{\frac{n}{d}},\quad\forall n\geq 1.\tag{6.1}$$

Obviously $\mathsf{R}_n\in\mathbb{Q}[g(q)][x_d:d|nr]$. However, we claim that $\mathsf{R}_n\in\mathbb{Z}[g(q)][x_d:d|nr]$ for all $n\geq 1$.

Case 1. $g(q)=1$.

Eq.(6.1) is simplified as $\sum_{d|n}\mathsf{R}_d^{\frac{n}{d}}=r\sum_{e|nr}x_e^{\frac{nr}{e}}$ for all $n\geq 1$. Since $\mathsf{R}_1=r\sum_{e|r}x_e^{\frac{r}{e}}$, our assertion holds when $n=1$. Now, the desired result can be verified by using the mathematical induction on n.

Case 2. $g(q)\neq 1$.

Since $\mathsf{R}_1=\sum_{e|r}e\left[\frac{r}{e}\right]_{g(q)}x_e^{\frac{r}{e}}$, our claim holds when $n=1$. If $n>1$, assume that the claim holds for all positive integers less than n. Then it is easy to see that the right hand side of Eq.(6.1) is in $\mathbb{Z}[g(q)][x_d:d|nr]$. Thus, for the verification of our claim, we have only to see that the right hand side of Eq.(6.1) is divisible by n. To do this, we will see that the right hand side of Eq.(6.1) multiplied by $1-g(q)$ is divisible by $n(1-g(q))$. But it can be done exactly in the same way as in the proof of Lemma 3.3. The only nontrivial part is to see that $n(1-g(q))\mathsf{R}_n$ is divisible by n. Indeed this is true since, for every prime divisor p of n, we have

$$\begin{aligned} n(1-g(q))\mathsf{R}_n &= \sum_{e|nr} e(1-g(q)^{\frac{nr}{e}})x_e^{\frac{nr}{e}} - \sum_{\substack{d|n\\ d<n}} d(1-g(q)^{\frac{n}{d}})\mathsf{R}_d^{\frac{n}{d}} \\ &\equiv \sum_{e|\frac{nr}{p}} e(1-(g(q)^p)^{\frac{nr}{pe}})(x_e^p)^{\frac{nr}{pe}} - \sum_{d|\frac{n}{p}} d(1-g(q)^{\frac{n}{d}})\mathsf{R}_d^{\frac{n}{d}} \pmod{p^{\mathrm{ord}_p(n)}} \\ &\equiv \sum_{d|\frac{n}{p}} d(1-g(q)^{\frac{n}{d}})\left(\left(\mathsf{R}_d|_{\substack{g(q)\mapsto g(q)^p\\ x_e\mapsto x_e^p}}\right)^{\frac{n}{pd}} - (\mathsf{R}_d^p)^{\frac{n}{pd}}\right) \pmod{p^{\mathrm{ord}_p(n)}} \\ &\equiv 0 \pmod{p^{\mathrm{ord}_p(n)}} \quad \text{(by Lemma 3.2(a))}. \end{aligned}$$

So we get that $\mathsf{R}_n \in \mathbb{Z}[g(q)][x_d : d|n, d<n]$. For any $\mathbb{Z}[q]$-algebra A, define

$$Res_{r,A}^{\overline{\mathsf{W}}^{g(q)}} : \overline{\mathsf{W}}^{g(q)}(A) \to \overline{\mathsf{W}}^{g(q)}(A), \quad (a_n)_{n\in\mathbb{N}} \mapsto (\mathsf{R}_n|_{x_d\mapsto a_d})_{n\in\mathbb{N}}.$$

Following the steps in the proof of Proposition 6.1, one can easily see that the assignment $A \mapsto Res_{r,A}^{\overline{\mathsf{W}}^{g(q)}}$ induces a natural transformation with the desired property. □

Let $g(q)=q$. Then Proposition 6.2 produces the following corollary when q is specialized into m.

Corollary 6.3. *Let m be an integer. For each positive integer r, there exists a natural transformation $Res_r^{\mathsf{W}^m} : \mathsf{W}^m \to \mathsf{W}^m$ such that the diagram*

$$\begin{tikzcd} \mathsf{W}^m \arrow[r, "Res_r^{\mathsf{W}^m}"] \arrow[d, "\Phi^m"'] & \mathsf{W}^m \arrow[d, "\Phi^m"'] \\ \mathsf{gh} \arrow[r, "\mathsf{Res}_r"'] & \mathsf{gh} \end{tikzcd}$$

is commutative. Furthermore, if $g(q)\neq 1$, then $Res_r^{\mathsf{W}^m}$ is uniquely determined by the above commutativity.

Remark 6.4. In case of $\overline{\Lambda}^{g(q)}$ and $\Lambda^m, (m\in\mathbb{Z})$, the rth restrictions $F_r^{\overline{\Lambda}^{g(q)}}$ and $F_r^{\Lambda^m}$, $(m\in\mathbb{Z})$, are defined in such a way that $F_{r,A}^{\overline{\Lambda}^{g(q)}} = \Theta_A\circ Res_{r,A}\circ\Theta_A^{-1}$ and $F_{r,A}^{\Lambda^m} = \Theta_A\circ Res_{r,A}\circ\Theta_A^{-1}$. It is easy to see that for any $\mathbb{Z}[q]$-algebra A,

$$F_{r,A}^{\overline{\Lambda}^{g(q)}}\left(\prod_{i=1}^{\infty}\frac{1}{1-a_nt^n}\right) = \prod_{i=1}^{\infty}\frac{1}{1-\mathsf{R}_n|_{x_d\mapsto a_d}t^n}.$$

6.2.2. Here we show that statements analogous to Proposition 6.2 and Corollary 6.3 hold for $\overline{\mathsf{B}}^{g(q)}$ and B^m, respectively.

Proposition 6.5. (a) *Given a polynomial $g(q)\in\mathbb{Z}[q]$, there exists a natural transformation $Res_r^{\mathsf{B}^{g(q)}} : \overline{\mathsf{B}}^{g(q)} \to \overline{\mathsf{B}}^{g(q)}$ such that the diagram*

$$\begin{tikzcd} \overline{\mathsf{B}}^{g(q)} \arrow[r, "Res_r^{\overline{\mathsf{B}}^{g(q)}}"] \arrow[d, "\overline{\varphi}^{g(q)}"'] & \overline{\mathsf{B}}^{g(q)} \arrow[d, "\overline{\varphi}^{g(q)}"'] \\ \mathsf{gh}\circ F_{\mathsf{Rings}}^{\mathbb{Z}[q]\otimes\Psi\text{-}\mathsf{Rings}} \arrow[r, "\mathsf{Res}_r"'] & \mathsf{gh}\circ F_{\mathsf{Rings}}^{\mathbb{Z}[q]\otimes\Psi\text{-}\mathsf{Rings}}, \end{tikzcd}$$

is commutative. Furthermore, if $g(q) \neq 1$*, then* $\mathrm{Res}_r^{B^{g(q)}}$ *is uniquely determined by the above commutativity.*

(b) *For each integer* m*, there exists a natural transformation* $\mathrm{Res}_r^{\mathsf{B}^m} : \mathsf{B}^m \to \mathsf{B}^m$ *such that the diagram*

$$\begin{tikzcd}
\mathsf{B}^m \arrow[r, "\mathrm{Res}_r^{\mathsf{B}^m}"] \arrow[d, "\varphi^m"'] & \mathsf{B}^m \arrow[d, "\varphi^m"'] \\
\mathsf{gh} \arrow[r, "\mathsf{Res}_r"'] & \mathsf{gh}
\end{tikzcd}$$

is commutative. Furthermore, if $m \neq 1$*, then* $\mathrm{Res}_r^{\mathsf{B}^m}$ *is uniquely determined by the above commutativity.*

(c) *For each* Ψ*-ring* A *and* $(a_n)_{n\in\mathbb{N}} \in \mathsf{B}^m(A)$*, we have*

$$Res_r^{\mathsf{B}^m}((a_n)_{n\in\mathbb{N}}) = \left(\frac{1}{n}\sum_{e|nr}\left(e\sum_{d|(n,\frac{nr}{e})}\mu_m(d)\left[\frac{nr}{de}\right]_m\right)\Psi^{\frac{nr}{e}}(a_e)\right)_{n\in\mathbb{N}}. \tag{6.2}$$

Proof. (a) Let $\mathsf{F} = \mathbb{Z}[q][\Psi^k(x_n) : k, n \geq 1]$. Let $X = (x_n)_{n\in\mathbb{N}}$ and define $\mathsf{R} = (\mathsf{R}_n)_{n\in\mathbb{N}}$ using the following recursive relation:

$$n\mathsf{R}_n = \sum_{e|nr} e\left[\frac{nr}{e}\right]_{g(q)}\Psi^{\frac{nr}{e}}(x_e) - \sum_{\substack{d|n\\ d<n}} d\left[\frac{n}{d}\right]_{g(q)}\Psi^{\frac{n}{d}}(\mathsf{R}_d), \ (n \geq 1).$$

In a recursive way, one easily sees that $\mathsf{R}_n \in \mathbb{Q}[q][\Psi^d(x_{\frac{nr}{d}}) : d|nr]$. Going further, we claim that $\mathsf{R}_n \in \mathbb{Z}[q][\Psi^d(x_{\frac{nr}{d}}) : d|nr]$ for all $n \geq 1$. When $g(q) = 1$, it is almost straightforward. On the other hand, when $g(q) \neq 1$, the only non-trivial part of the verification is to see that $n(1-g(q))\mathsf{R}_n$ is divisible by n. To verify this it suffices to show $n(1-g(q))\mathsf{R}_n \equiv 0 \pmod{p^{\mathrm{ord}_p(n)}}$ for every prime divisor p of n. Indeed it is true since

$$\begin{aligned}
n(1-g(q))\mathsf{R}_n &= \sum_{e|nr} e(1-g(q)^{\frac{nr}{e}})\Psi^{\frac{nr}{e}}(x_e) - \sum_{\substack{d|n\\ d<n}} d(1-g(q)^{\frac{n}{d}})\Psi^{\frac{n}{d}}(\mathsf{R}_d) \\
&\equiv \sum_{e|\frac{nr}{p}} e(1-g(q)^{\frac{nr}{e}})\Psi^{\frac{nr}{e}}(x_e) - \Psi^p\left(\sum_{d|\frac{n}{p}} d(1-g(q)^{\frac{n}{pd}})\Psi^{\frac{n}{pd}}(\mathsf{R}_d)\right) \pmod{p^{\mathrm{ord}_p(n)}} \\
&\equiv \sum_{e|\frac{nr}{p}} e(1-g(q)^{\frac{nr}{e}})\Psi^{\frac{nr}{e}}(x_e) - \Psi^p\left(\sum_{e|\frac{nr}{p}} e(1-g(q)^{\frac{nr}{pe}})\Psi^{\frac{nr}{pe}}(x_e)\right) \pmod{p^{\mathrm{ord}_p(n)}} \\
&\equiv 0 \pmod{p^{\mathrm{ord}_p(n)}}.
\end{aligned}$$

The rest of the proof can be accomplished exactly in the same way as in Proposition 6.2.

(b) Since the assertion can be verified by referring to the proofs of Proposition 6.1 and Lemma 5.5, we will give only a sketch of the proof. For every positive integer n, define R_n via the relation

$$\sum_{d|n} d\left[\frac{n}{d}\right]_m \Psi^{\frac{n}{d}}(\mathsf{R}_d) = \sum_{e|nr} e\left[\frac{nr}{e}\right]_m \Psi^{\frac{nr}{e}}(x_e), \ (n \geq 1).$$

Then one easily sees that $\mathsf{R}_n \in \mathbb{Z}[\Psi^d(x_{\frac{n}{d}}) : d|n]$. Now, for any object A, define $Res_{r,A}^{\mathsf{B}^m}$ by $(a_n)_{n\in\mathbb{N}} \mapsto (\mathsf{R}_n|_{x_d \mapsto a_d})_{n\in\mathbb{N}}$. Then the assignment $A \mapsto Res_{r,A}^{\mathsf{B}^m}$ induces a natural transformation $Res_r^{\mathsf{B}^m}$ satisfying the desired property. For the uniqueness, refer to Proposition 6.2.

(c) Note that

$$\sum_{d|n} d\left[\frac{n}{d}\right]_m \Psi^{\frac{n}{d}}(\mathsf{R}_d) = \sum_{e|nr} e\left[\frac{nr}{e}\right]_m \Psi^{\frac{nr}{e}}(x_e),\ (n \geq 1).$$

In view of Lemma 7.8, one has

$$\mathsf{R}_n = \frac{1}{n}\sum_{d|n}\mu_m(d)\Psi^d\left(\sum_{e|\frac{nr}{d}} e\left[\frac{nr}{ed}\right]_m \Psi^{\frac{nr}{ed}}(x_e)\right) = \frac{1}{n}\sum_{e|nr} e\left(\sum_{d|(n,\frac{nr}{e})}\mu_m(d)\left[\frac{nr}{ed}\right]_m\right)\Psi^{\frac{nr}{e}}(x_e).$$

As a consequence,

$$\mathsf{R}_n|_{x_d\mapsto a_d} = \frac{1}{n}\sum_{e|nr} e\left(\sum_{d|(n,\frac{nr}{e})}\mu_m(d)\left[\frac{nr}{ed}\right]_m\right)\Psi^{\frac{nr}{e}}(a_e), \tag{6.3}$$

as required. □

Remark 6.6. If $m = 0$, then Eq.(6.3) reduces

$$\mathsf{R}_n|_{x_d\mapsto a_d} = \frac{1}{n}\sum_{[r,e]=nr} e\Psi^{\frac{nr}{e}}(a_e)$$

since

$$\sum_{d|(n,\frac{nr}{e})}\mu_m(d) = \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if } (n, \frac{nr}{e}) \neq 1 \\ 1 & \text{if } (n, \frac{nr}{e}) = 1. \end{cases}$$

and $(n, \frac{nr}{e}) = 1$ if and only if $n = \frac{e}{(r,e)}$ if and only if $[r, e] = nr$.

6.3. **Unitalness theorems.** Given a ring-valued functor, say $\mathcal{F} : \mathcal{C} \to \mathcal{D}$, one of the most fundamental problems may be to determine whether $\mathcal{F}(A)$ is unital or not for each object A in $\mathcal{C}$. In the rest of this section, we deal with this problem for our generalized functors.

Proposition 6.7. *Suppose $g(q) \neq 1$. Then the following hold.*

(a) *Let A be a $\mathbb{Z}[q]$-algebra. Then $\overline{\mathsf{W}}^{g(q)}(A)$ is unital if and only if $1 - g(q)$ is a unit in A.*

(b) *Let A be an object of the category $\mathbb{Z}[q]\otimes\Psi$-Rings. Then $\overline{\mathsf{B}}^{g(q)}(A)$ is unital if and only if $1 - g(q)$ is a unit in A.*

Proof. (a) First, assume that $\overline{\mathsf{W}}^{g(q)}(A)$ is unital. Let $(e_n)_{n\in\mathbb{N}}$ be the unity of $\overline{\mathsf{W}}^{g(q)}(A)$ and let $(a_n)_{n\in\mathbb{N}}$ be an arbitrary element in $\overline{\mathsf{W}}^{g(q)}(A)$. Since $(e_n)_{n\in\mathbb{N}} \cdot (a_n)_{n\in\mathbb{N}} = (a_n)_{n\in\mathbb{N}}$, it holds that $(1-g(q))a_1e_1 = a_1$. In particular, by letting $a_1 = 1$, we have $(1-g(q))e_1 = 1$ So, $1 - g(q)$ is a unit in A. For the converse, let us define $\mathsf{E}_n \in \mathbb{Q}(q), (n \geq 1)$ via the following recursion

$$\left(\sum_{d|n} d(1-g(q)^{\frac{n}{d}})\mathsf{E}_d^{\frac{n}{d}}\right) = 1,\ (n \geq 1). \tag{6.4}$$

We claim that $(1-g(q))^n\mathsf{E}_n \in \mathbb{Z}[q]$. The case where $n=1$ is trivial since $(1-g(q))\mathsf{E}_1 = 1$. If $n>1$, assume $(1-g(q))^i\mathsf{E}_i \in \mathbb{Z}[q]$ for all positive integers $i<n$. Let

$$\mathsf{E}_i = \frac{\hat{\mathsf{E}}_i}{(1-g(q))^i} \text{ for } 1 \le i < n.$$

Multiplying both sides of Eq.(6.4) by $(1-g(q))^n$ yields

$$n(1-g(q))^{n+1}\mathsf{E}_n = (1-g(q))^n - \left(\sum_{\substack{d|n \\ d<n}} d(1-g(q)^{\frac{n}{d}})\hat{\mathsf{E}}_d^{\frac{n}{d}} \right). \tag{6.5}$$

Since $\hat{\mathsf{E}}_d \in \mathbb{Z}[q]$, the right hand side is contained in $(1-g(q))\mathbb{Z}[q]$ and thus $n(1-g(q))^{n+1}\mathsf{E}_n$ is divisible by $1-g(q)$. To see that $n(1-g(q))^{n+1}\mathsf{E}_n$ is divisible by n, choose any prime divisor p of n. Notice

$$\begin{aligned}\sum_{\substack{d|n \\ d<n}} d(1-g(q)^{\frac{n}{d}})\hat{\mathsf{E}}_d^{\frac{n}{d}} &\equiv \sum_{d|\frac{n}{p}} d(1-(g(q)^p)^{\frac{n}{dp}})(\hat{\mathsf{E}}_d^p)^{\frac{n}{dp}} \pmod{p^{\mathrm{ord}_p(n)}} \\ &\equiv \sum_{d|\frac{n}{p}} d(1-g(q^p)^{\frac{n}{dp}})(\hat{\mathsf{E}}_d|_{q\mapsto q^p})^{\frac{n}{dp}} \pmod{p^{\mathrm{ord}_p(n)}},\end{aligned}$$

which holds since

$$\sum_{d|\frac{n}{p}} d(1-g(q^p)^{\frac{n}{dp}})\left((\hat{\mathsf{E}}_d|_{q\mapsto q^p})^{\frac{n}{dp}} - (\hat{\mathsf{E}}_d^p)^{\frac{n}{dp}}\right) \equiv 0 \pmod{p^{\mathrm{ord}_p(n)}}.$$

On the other hand, in view of Eq.(6.4), one has

$$\sum_{d|\frac{n}{p}} d(1-g(q^p)^{\frac{n}{dp}})(\hat{\mathsf{E}}_d|_{q\mapsto q^p})^{\frac{n}{dp}} = (1-g(q^p))^{\frac{n}{p}}.$$

As a consequence,

$$n(1-g(q))^{n+1}\mathsf{E}_n \equiv (1-g(q))^n - (1-g(q^p))^{\frac{n}{p}} \equiv 0 \pmod{p^{\mathrm{ord}_p(n)}}.$$

The last equivalence follows from Lemma 3.2(a). Now, we are ready to prove $(1-g(q))^n\mathsf{E}_n \in \mathbb{Z}[q]$. Let p' be a prime divisor of $n(1-g(q))$. In case where $(p',n)=1$ or $(p',1-g(q))=1$, our assertion is trivial since $n(1-g(q))\left((1-g(q))^n\mathsf{E}_n\right)$ is divisible by n and $1-g(q)$. So we assume $(p',n)=(p',1-g(q))=p'$. Then, for every divisor d of n, it holds that

$$d(1-g(q)^{\frac{n}{d}}) \equiv p'^{\mathrm{ord}_p(n(1-g(q))}$$

(refer to the proof of Lemma 3.3). Hence the right hand side of Eq.(6.5) is divisible by $p'^{\mathrm{ord}_p(n(1-g(q))}$. So our claim is verified. Finally, set $e_n = \mathsf{E}_n \cdot 1_A$ for all $n \ge 1$. By construction it is obvious that $(e_n)_{n\in\mathbb{N}}$ is the unity of $\overline{\mathsf{W}}^{g(q)}(A)$.

(b) The 'only if'-part can be shown as in the same way as in (a). For the converse, assume that $1-g(q)$ is a unit. For all $n \ge 1$ define $E_n \in \mathbb{Q}(q)$ to be

$$\begin{cases} (1-g(q))^{-1} & \text{if } n=1, \\ 0 & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

Set $e_n = \mathsf{E}_n \cdot 1_A$ for all $n \ge 1$. Then it is easy to see that $\sum_{d|n} d(1-g(q)^{\frac{n}{d}})\Psi^{\frac{n}{d}}(e_d) = 1$ for all $n \ge 1$. It says that $(e_n)_{n\in\mathbb{N}}$ is the unity of $\overline{\mathsf{B}}^{g(q)}(A)$. □

Corollary 6.8. *Suppose that m is an integer not equal to 1. Then the following hold.*

(a) *Let A be an commutative ring with unity. Then $\mathsf{W}^m(A)$ is unital if and only if $1-m$ is a unit in A.*

(b) *Let A be a Ψ-ring. Then $\mathsf{B}^m(A)$ is unital if and only if $1-m$ is a unit in A.*

Proof. (a) It follows from Proposition 6.7 by specializing q into m.

(b) To prove the 'only if'-part, let $(e_n)_{n\in\mathbb{N}}$ be the unity of $\mathsf{B}^m(A)$. Then, for any element $(a_n)_{n\in\mathbb{N}} \in \mathsf{B}^m(A)$, it holds that $(1-m)a_1e_1 = a_1$. Since a_1 is arbitrary, $(1-m)$ should be a unit in A. For the converse, define $\mathsf{E}_n \in \mathbb{Q}\,(n\geq 1)$ via the following recursion

$$\left(\sum_{d|n} d(1-m^{\frac{n}{d}})\mathsf{E}_d\right) = 1, \quad \forall n \geq 1. \tag{6.6}$$

We claim that $(1-m)^2\mathsf{E}_n \in \mathbb{Z}$. If $n=1$, then it is trivial since $(1-m)\mathsf{E}_1 = 1$. For $i>1$, assume $(1-m)^2\mathsf{E}_i \in \mathbb{Z}$ for all positive integers $i<n$. Due to the induction hypothesis we have

$$\begin{aligned}
n(1-m)^2\mathsf{E}_n &= (1-m) - \left(\sum_{\substack{d|n\\ d<n}} d(1-m^{\frac{n}{d}})(1-m)\mathsf{E}_d\right)\\
&= (1-m) - \left(\sum_{\substack{d|n\\ d<n}} d\left[\frac{n}{d}\right]_m (1-m)^2\mathsf{E}_d\right) \in \mathbb{Z}.
\end{aligned}$$

Now, we will show that $n(1-m)^2\mathsf{E}_n$ is divisible by n. Let p be any prime divisor of n. Then

$$\begin{aligned}
n(1-m)^2\mathsf{E}_n &\equiv (1-m) - \sum_{d|\frac{n}{p}} d(1-m^{\frac{n}{pd}})(1-m)\mathsf{E}_d \pmod{p^{\mathrm{ord}_p(n)}A}\\
&\equiv (1-m) - \sum_{d|\frac{n}{p}} d(1-m^{\frac{n}{pd}})(1-m)\mathsf{E}_d \pmod{p^{\mathrm{ord}_p(n)}A}\\
&= (1-m) - (1-m)\left(\sum_{d|\frac{n}{p}} d(1-m^{\frac{n}{pd}})\mathsf{E}_d\right) \quad \text{(by Eq.(6.6))}\\
&= 0.
\end{aligned}$$

Hence $n(1-m)^2\mathsf{E}_n$ is divisible by n. Letting $n(1-m)^2\mathsf{E}_n = nk$ for some $k\in\mathbb{Z}$ tells us that $\mathsf{E}_n = \frac{k}{(1-m)^2}$. Set $e_n = E_n \cdot 1_A$ for all $n\geq 1$. Since $\Psi^k(m) = m$ for all $k\geq 1$, we have $\Psi^{\frac{n}{d}}(e_d) = e_d$ for every divisor d of n. It follows that

$$\sum_{d|n} d(1-m^{\frac{n}{d}})\Psi^{\frac{n}{d}}(e_d) = \left(\sum_{d|n} d(1-m^{\frac{n}{d}})\mathsf{E}_d\right)\cdot 1_A = 1_A,\ (n\geq 1).$$

Therefore we can conclude that $(e_n)_{n\in\mathbb{N}}$ is the unity of $\overline{\mathsf{B}}^m(A)$. □

7. Relationship between Witt rings and necklace rings

7.1. Connection between $\overline{\mathsf{W}}^{g(q)}$ and $\overline{\mathsf{B}}^{g(q)}$. Let $\mathbb{Z}[q]\otimes\lambda$-Rings be the subcategory of $\mathbb{Z}[q]\otimes\Psi$-Rings such that the objects are $\mathbb{Z}[q]$-algebras equipped with the λ-ring structure with $\psi^n = \Psi^n$ for all $n\geq 1$ and the morphisms are $\mathbb{Z}[q]$-algebra homomorphisms preserving λ-operations. Therefore $\psi^n(g(q)) = g(q^n)$ for all $g(q)\in\mathbb{Z}[q]$ and $n\geq 1$.

Let E be the object of $\mathbb{Z}[q] \otimes \lambda$-$\mathsf{Rings}$ freely generated by x, thus $\mathsf{E} = \mathbb{Z}[q][\lambda^n(x) : n \geq 1]$. For each positive integer n define $\mathsf{M}^{g(q)}(x, n)$ via the following recursive relation:

$$\sum_{d|n} d(1 - g(q)^{\frac{n}{d}})\Psi^{\frac{n}{d}}(\mathsf{M}^{g(q)}(x, d)) = (1 - g(q)^n)x^n.$$

Let p be a prime divisor of n. Then, in view of (5.5), we have

$$\begin{aligned} n(1 - g(q))\mathsf{M}^{g(q)}(x, n) &= (1 - g(q)^n)x^n - \sum_{d|n} d(1 - g(q)^{\frac{n}{d}})\Psi^{\frac{n}{d}}(\mathsf{M}^{g(q)}(x, d)) \\ &\equiv (1 - g(q)^n)x^n - \sum_{d|\frac{n}{p}} d(1 - g(q^p)^{\frac{n}{dp}})\Psi^{\frac{n}{d}}(\mathsf{M}^{g(q)}(x, d)) \\ &\equiv (1 - g(q)^n)x^n - \Psi^p\left(\sum_{d|\frac{n}{p}} d(1 - g(q)^{\frac{n}{dp}})\Psi^{\frac{n}{dp}}(\mathsf{M}^{g(q)}(x, d))\right) \\ &\equiv (1 - g(q)^n)x^n - \Psi^p\left((1 - g(q)^{\frac{n}{p}})x^{\frac{n}{p}}\right) \\ &\equiv (1 - g(q)^n)x^n - (1 - g(q^p)^{\frac{n}{p}})\Psi^p(x^{\frac{n}{p}}) \\ &\equiv (1 - g(q)^n)\left(x^n - \Psi^p(x^{\frac{n}{p}})\right) \pmod{p^{\mathrm{ord}_p(n)}}. \end{aligned}$$

Let $n = p^{\mathrm{ord}_p(n)}n'$. Then Lemma 2.8 implies

$$M(x^{n'}, p^{\mathrm{ord}_p(n)}) = \frac{1}{p^{\mathrm{ord}_p(n)}}(x^n - \psi^p(x^{\frac{n}{p}})) \in \mathsf{E},$$

and which implies $x^n - \psi^p(x^{\frac{n}{p}}) \equiv 0 \pmod{p^{\mathrm{ord}_p(n)}}$.

Let R be an arbitrary object R of the category $\mathbb{Z}[q] \otimes \lambda$-$\mathsf{Rings}$. Given an element $r \in R$ let $\pi : \mathsf{E} \to R$ be the unique $\mathbb{Z}[q]$-algebra homomorphism preserving λ-ring homomorphisms determined by $r \mapsto x$. Define $\mathsf{M}^{g(q)}(r, n)$ to be $\pi(\mathsf{M}^{g(q)}(x, n))$ for all $n \geq 1$ and consider the map $\mathsf{M}^{g(q)} : R \to \overline{\mathsf{B}}^{g(q)}(R)$ sending r to $(\mathsf{M}^{g(q)}(r, n))_{n\in\mathbb{N}}$. Finally, let us define the map

$$\overline{\tau}_R^{g(q)} : \overline{\mathsf{W}}^{g(q)}(R) \to \overline{\mathsf{B}}^{g(q)}(R), \quad (a_n)_{n\in\mathbb{N}} \mapsto \sum_{n\geq 1} Ind_{n,R}(\mathsf{M}^{g(q)}(a_n)).$$

Then we have

$$\overline{\tau}_R^{g(q)}((a_n)_{n\in\mathbb{N}}) = \left(\sum_{d|n} \mathsf{M}^{g(q)}\left(a_d, \frac{n}{d}\right)\right)_{n\in\mathbb{N}}.$$

Theorem 7.1. *With the notation above, we have*

(a) *For every object R of the category $\mathbb{Z}[q] \otimes \lambda$-$\mathsf{Rings}$, $\overline{\tau}_R^{g(q)}$ is a ring isomorphism with $\overline{\Phi}_R^{g(q)} = \overline{\varphi}_R^{g(q)} \circ \overline{\tau}_R^{g(q)}$.*

(b) *The assignment $R \mapsto \overline{\tau}_R^{g(q)}$ induces a natural isomorphism*

$$\overline{\tau}^{g(q)} : \overline{\mathsf{W}}^{g(q)} \circ F_{\mathsf{Rings}}^{\mathbb{Z}[q]\,\otimes\,\lambda\text{-}\mathsf{Rings}} \to \overline{\mathsf{B}}^{g(q)}|_{\mathbb{Z}[q]\,\otimes\,\lambda\text{-}\mathsf{Rings}}.$$

Proof. (a) Note

$$\overline{\tau}_R^{g(q)}((a_n)_{n\in\mathbb{N}}) = \left(a_n + \sum_{\substack{d|n \\ d<n}} \mathsf{M}^{g(q)}\left(a_d, \frac{n}{d}\right)\right)_{n\in\mathbb{N}}.$$

Since the coefficient of a_n is 1, one can see in a recursive way that $\overline{\tau}^{g(q)}_R$ is bijective. To see that $\overline{\Phi}^{g(q)}_R = \overline{\varphi}^{g(q)}_R \circ \overline{\tau}^{g(q)}_R$, choose any element $(a_n)_{n\in\mathbb{N}} \in \overline{\mathsf{W}}^{g(q)}(R)$. Then we have

$$\begin{aligned}\overline{\varphi}^{g(q)}_R \circ \sum_{r\geq 1} \mathit{Ind}_r(M^{g(q)}(a_r)) &\overset{\text{by Prop. 6.1}}{=} \sum_{r\geq 1} \mathit{Ind}_r \circ \overline{\varphi}^{g(q)}_R(M^{g(q)}(a_r)) \\ &= \sum_{r\geq 1} \mathit{Ind}_r(((1-g(q)^n)a_r^n)_{n\geq 1}).\end{aligned}$$

Note that $\mathit{Ind}_r(((1-g(q)^n)a_r^n)_{n\geq 1}) = (b_{r,n})_{n\in\mathbb{N}}$, where

$$b_{r,m} = \begin{cases} r(1-g(q)^{\frac{n}{r}})a_r^{\frac{n}{r}} & \text{if } r|n, \\ 0 & \text{otherwise.}\end{cases}$$

Consequently the nth component of $\sum_{r\geq 1} \mathit{Ind}_r(M^{g(q)}(a_r))$ is given by $\sum_{d|n} d(1-g(q)^{\frac{n}{d}})a_d^{\frac{n}{d}}$.

(b) We have to check the commutativity condition. For any $\mathbb{Z}[q]$-algebra homomorphism, $f : R \to S$, preserving λ-operations, the diagram

$$\begin{tikzcd}
\overline{\mathsf{W}}^{g(q)} \circ F^{\lambda\text{-Rings}}_{\text{Rings}}(R) \arrow[r, "\overline{\mathsf{W}}^{g(q)} \circ F^{\lambda\text{-Rings}}_{\text{Rings}}(f)"] \arrow[d, "\overline{\tau}^{g(q)}_R"'] & \overline{\mathsf{W}}^{g(q)} \circ F^{\lambda\text{-Rings}}_{\text{Rings}}(S) \arrow[d, "\overline{\tau}^{g(q)}_S"'] \\
\overline{\mathsf{B}}^{g(q)}|_{\lambda\text{-Rings}}(R) \arrow[r, "\overline{\mathsf{B}}^{g(q)}|_{\lambda\text{-Rings}}(f)"'] & \overline{\mathsf{B}}^{g(q)}|_{\lambda\text{-Rings}}(S),
\end{tikzcd}$$

is commutative since

$$\begin{aligned}\overline{\tau}^{g(q)}_S \circ \overline{\mathsf{W}}^{g(q)} \circ F^{\lambda\text{-Rings}}_{\text{Rings}}(f)((a_n)_{n\in\mathbb{N}}) &= \overline{\tau}^{g(q)}_S((f(a_n))_{n\in\mathbb{N}}) \\ &= \left(\sum_{d|n} \mathsf{M}^{g(q)}(f(a_d), n/d)\right)_{n\in\mathbb{N}} \\ &= \left(f\left(\sum_{d|n} \mathsf{M}^{g(q)}(a_d, n/d)\right)\right)_{n\in\mathbb{N}} \\ &= \overline{\mathsf{B}}^{g(q)}|_{\lambda\text{-Rings}}(f) \circ \overline{\tau}^{g(q)}_R((a_n)_{n\in\mathbb{N}}).\end{aligned}$$

□

Let R be an object of the category $\mathbb{Z}[q] \otimes \lambda$-Rings. Then the diagram

$$\begin{tikzcd}
\overline{\mathsf{B}}^{g(q)}|_{\mathbb{Z}[q]\otimes\lambda\text{-Rings}}(R) \arrow[d, "\overline{\varphi}^{g(q)}_R"'] & \overline{\mathsf{W}}^{g(q)} \circ F^{\mathbb{Z}[q]\otimes\lambda\text{-Rings}}_{\text{Rings}}(R) \arrow[l, "\overline{\tau}^{g(q)}_R"'] \arrow[r, "\Theta_R"] \arrow[d, "\overline{\Phi}^{g(q)}_R"'] & \Lambda^0 \circ F^{\mathbb{Z}[q]\otimes\lambda\text{-Rings}}_{\text{Rings}}(R) \arrow[d, "\overline{\Upsilon}^{g(q)}_R"'] \\
\left(\mathsf{gh} \circ F^{\mathbb{Z}[q]\otimes\lambda\text{-Rings}}_{\text{Rings}}\right)(R) & \left(\mathsf{gh} \circ F^{\mathbb{Z}[q]\otimes\lambda\text{-Rings}}_{\text{Rings}}\right)(R) \arrow[l, "\text{id}"'] \arrow[r, "int_R"] & \left(\mathsf{Gh} \circ F^{\mathbb{Z}[q]\otimes\lambda\text{-Rings}}_{\text{Rings}}\right)(R)
\end{tikzcd}$$

is commutative. From the commutativity it follows that

$$\Theta_R \circ (\overline{\tau}^{g(q)}_R)^{-1}((a_n)_{n\in\mathbb{N}}) = \prod_{n\geq 1} \exp\left(\sum_{r\geq 1} \frac{1}{r}(1-g(q)^r)\psi^r(a_n)t^{nr}\right). \tag{7.1}$$

In particular, if $\psi^r(a_n) = a_n$ for all $r, n \geq 1$, then Eq.(7.1) is reduced to

$$\Theta_R \circ (\overline{\tau}_R^{g(q)})^{-1}((a_n)_{n\in\mathbb{N}}) = \prod_{n\geq 1} \left(\frac{1-g(q)t^n}{1-t^n}\right)^{a_n}. \tag{7.2}$$

Remark 7.2. Recently Kim and Lee [9] introduced the product identities of the following form:

$$\prod_{n\geq 1} \left(\frac{1-t^n}{1-qt^n}\right)^{a_n} = 1 + \sum_{n\geq 1} b_n t^n, \tag{7.3}$$

where $a_n \in \mathbb{Z}$ and $b_n \in \mathbb{Z}[q]$ for all $n \geq 1$. In order to interpret them ring-theoretically, let $R = \mathbb{Z}[q]$ be the λ-ring with $\psi^n(q) = q^n$, $(n \geq 1)$. Let $\bar{\Lambda}^q(R) = \{1 + \sum_{n\geq 1} a_n t^n : a_n \in R\}$ be the ring such that the ring operations are defined in such a way that

$$f(t) + g(t) := \rho(\rho^{-1}(f(t)) + \rho^{-1}(g(t)))$$
$$f(t) * g(t) := \rho(\rho^{-1}(f(t)) * \rho^{-1}(g(t))),$$

where $\rho : \Lambda^q(R) \to \bar{\Lambda}^q(R),\ \ f(t) \mapsto \frac{1}{f(t)}$. In view of Eq.(7.2), if $a_n \in \mathbb{Z}$ for all $n \geq 1$, then

$$\rho \circ \Theta_R \circ (\overline{\tau}_R^{q})^{-1}((a_n)_{n\in\mathbb{N}}) = \prod_{n\geq 1} \left(\frac{1-t^n}{1-qt^n}\right)^{a_n}.$$

Finally, let $1 + \sum_{n\geq 1} b_n t^n := \rho \circ \Theta_R \circ (\overline{\tau}_R^{q})^{-1}((a_n)_{n\in\mathbb{N}})$, Since $\rho, \Theta_R, \overline{\tau}_R^{q}$ are isomorphisms, $b_n \in \mathbb{Z}[q]$ for all $n \geq 1$ and thus we obtain Eq.(7.3).

Let $g(q) \in \mathbb{Z}[q] \setminus \{1\}$ and let $\mathbb{Q}(q)$ be the filed of rational functions in q. Endow $\mathbb{Q}(q)$ with the Ψ-ring structure such that $\Psi^n(q) = q^n\,(n \geq 1)$. For a positive integer n define $f_n(q) \in \mathbb{Q}(q)$ via the following recursive relation:

$$\sum_{d|n} \left(1 - g(q)^{\frac{n}{d}}\right) \psi^{\frac{n}{d}}(f_d(q)) = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } n = 1, \\ 0 & \text{if } n > 1. \end{cases} \tag{7.4}$$

Example 7.3. In $g(q) = q$, then $f_n(q) = \frac{\mu(n)}{1-q}$ for all $n \geq 1$.

Let R be an object of the category $\mathbb{Z}[q] \otimes \Psi\text{-}\mathsf{Rings}$ equipped with $\mathbb{Q}[q]$-algebra structure. Define

$$\eta_R^{g(q)} : \left(\mathsf{gh} \circ F_{\mathsf{Rings}}^{\mathbb{Z}[q]\,\otimes\,\Psi\text{-}\mathsf{Rings}}\right)(R) \to \overline{\mathsf{B}}^{g(q)}(R), \quad (y_n)_{n\in\mathbb{N}} \mapsto \left(\frac{1}{n}\sum_{d|n} f_d(q)\Psi^d(y_{\frac{n}{d}})\right)_{n\in\mathbb{N}}.$$

Proposition 7.4. *Under the notation above, $\eta_R^{g(q)}$ is the inverse of $\overline{\varphi}^{g(q)}$.*

Proof. One can easily see that $\overline{\varphi}_R^{g(q)}$ is a bijection. Therefore we have only to prove $\overline{\varphi}_R^{g(q)} \circ \eta_R^{g(q)} = \text{id}$. But this is trivial since for all $(y_n)_{n\in\mathbb{N}} \in \left(\mathsf{gh} \circ F_{\mathsf{Rings}}^{\mathbb{Z}[q]\,\otimes\,\Psi\text{-}\mathsf{Rings}}\right)(R)$,

$$\begin{aligned}
\overline{\varphi}_R^{g(q)} \circ \eta_R^{g(q)}(y_n) &= \left(\sum_{d|n} d\left(1 - g(q)^{\frac{n}{d}}\right)\psi^{\frac{n}{d}}\left(\frac{1}{d}\sum_{e|d} f_{\frac{d}{e}}(q)\Psi^{\frac{d}{e}}(y_e)\right)\right)_{n\in\mathbb{N}} \\
&= \left(\sum_{e|n}\left(\sum_{l|\frac{n}{e}}\left(1 - g(q)^{\frac{n}{el}}\right)\psi^{\frac{n}{el}}\left(f_l(q)\right)\right)\Psi^{\frac{n}{e}}(y_e)\right)_{n\in\mathbb{N}} \\
&= (y_n)_{\in\mathbb{N}}.
\end{aligned}$$

□

Corollary 7.5. *For every positive integer n we have*

$$\sum_{d|n} f_d(q)\Psi^d((1-g(q)^{\frac{n}{d}})) = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } n = 1, \\ 0 & \text{if } n > 1. \end{cases}$$

Proof.

Algebraic proof: Since $\overline{\varphi}^{g(q)}((1,0,0,\ldots)) = ((1-g(q)^{\frac{n}{d}}))_{n\in\mathbb{N}}$, we have

$$\eta^{g(q)}(((1-g(q)^{\frac{n}{d}}))_{n\in\mathbb{N}}) = \left(\sum_{d|n} f_d(q)\Psi^d((1-g(q)^{\frac{n}{d}}))\right)_{n\in\mathbb{N}} = (1,0,0,\ldots).$$

Therefore we obtain the desired equality.

Combinatorial proof: First, let us obtain a closed formula for $f_n(q)$ by exploiting the recursive relation (7.4).

$$f_n(q) = \frac{1}{1-g(q^n)} \sum_{C\in\mathcal{C}(1,n)} (-1)^r \begin{bmatrix} n \\ d_1 \end{bmatrix}_{g(q)} \begin{bmatrix} d_1 \\ d_2 \end{bmatrix}_{g(q^{\frac{n}{d_1}})} \cdots \begin{bmatrix} d_{r-2} \\ d_{r-1} \end{bmatrix}_{g(q^{\frac{n}{d_{r-2}}})} \begin{bmatrix} d_{r-1} \\ d_r \end{bmatrix}_{g(q^{\frac{n}{d_{r-1}}})},$$

where $C = (1 = d_r \prec d_{r-1\preceq} \prec \cdots \prec d_1 \prec d_0 := n)$ ranges over the set of all chains from 1 to n. We now define $\hat{f}_n(q)\,(n \geq 1)$ via the relation

$$\sum_{d|n} \hat{f}_d(q)\Psi^d((1-g(q)^{\frac{n}{d}})) = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } n = 1, \\ 0 & \text{if } n > 1. \end{cases}$$

Then the closed formula for $\hat{f}_n(q)$ is given by

$$\hat{f}_n(q) = \frac{1}{1-g(q^n)} \sum_{C\in\mathcal{C}(1,n)} (-1)^r \begin{bmatrix} n \\ d_1 \end{bmatrix}_{g(q^{d_1})} \begin{bmatrix} d_1 \\ d_2 \end{bmatrix}_{g(q^{d_2})} \cdots \begin{bmatrix} d_{r-2} \\ d_{r-1} \end{bmatrix}_{g(q^{d_{r-1}})} \begin{bmatrix} d_{r-1} \\ d_r \end{bmatrix}_{g(q^{d_r})}.$$

For $C = (1 = d_r \prec d_{r-1\preceq} \prec \cdots \prec d_1 \prec d_0 := n) \in \mathcal{C}(1,n)$ let $C^{\mathrm{re}} := (e_r, e_{r-1}, \ldots, e_1, e_0)$ where $e_i = \frac{n}{d_{r-i}}\,(0 \leq i \leq r)$. Combining the equality

$$\begin{aligned} &\begin{bmatrix} n \\ e_1 \end{bmatrix}_{g(q^{e_1})} \begin{bmatrix} e_1 \\ e_2 \end{bmatrix}_{g(q^{e_2})} \cdots \begin{bmatrix} e_{r-2} \\ e_{r-1} \end{bmatrix}_{g(q^{e_{r-1}})} \begin{bmatrix} e_{r-1} \\ e_r \end{bmatrix}_{g(q^{e_r})} \\ &= \begin{bmatrix} d_{r-1} \\ d_r \end{bmatrix}_{g(q^{\frac{n}{d_{r-1}}})} \begin{bmatrix} d_{r-2} \\ d_{r-1} \end{bmatrix}_{g(q^{\frac{n}{d_{r-2}}})} \cdots \begin{bmatrix} d_1 \\ d_2 \end{bmatrix}_{g(q^{\frac{n}{d_1}})} \begin{bmatrix} n \\ d_1 \end{bmatrix}_{g(q)} \end{aligned}$$

with the fact that $C \mapsto C^{\mathrm{re}}$ induces a bijection on $\mathcal{C}(1,n)$ yields $f_n(q) = \hat{f}_n(q)$ for all $n \geq 1$. This completes the proof. □

Remark 7.6. From $\overline{\varphi}^{g(q)}((\mathsf{M}^{g(q)}(x,n))_{n\in\mathbb{N}}) = ((1-g(q)^n)x^n)_{n\in\mathbb{N}}$ it follows that

$$\mathsf{M}^{g(q)}(x,n) = \frac{1}{n}\sum_{d|n} f_d(q)(1-g(q^d)^{\frac{n}{d}})\psi^d(x^{\frac{n}{d}}).$$

In particular, if $g(q) = q$, then

$$\mathsf{M}^q(x,n) = \frac{[n]_q}{n}\sum_{d|n} \mu_d(q)\psi^d(x^{\frac{n}{d}}) = [n]_q M(x,n).$$

7.2. **Connection between W^m and B^m.** Let us define an $\mathbb{N}\times\mathbb{N}$ matrix ζ_m with entries in $\mathbb{Z}$ by

$$\zeta_m(a,b)=\begin{cases}\left[\frac{a}{b}\right]_m & \text{if } b|a,\\ 0 & \text{otherwise.}\end{cases}$$

It is a lower-triangular matrix with the diagonal elements 1. Denote by μ_m the inverse of ζ_m. As in Section 5.4, for any chain $C=(a=x_r\prec\cdots\prec x_0=b)\in\mathcal{C}(a,b)$, we let

$$\mathrm{wt}_m(C):=(-1)^r\left[\frac{x_0}{x_1}\right]_m\cdots\left[\frac{x_{r-1}}{x_r}\right]_m.$$

Then it is easy to see $\mu_m(b,a)=\sum_{C\in\mathcal{C}(a,b)}\mathrm{wt}_m(C)$ and $\mu_m(b,a)=\mu_m(b',a')$ if $b/a=b'/a'$. For each positive integer n, let us define $\mu_m(n)$ by $\mu_m(n,1)$. Then the entries of μ_m have the following properties. To begin with, let us introduce some notations. Given a positive integer n, a *multiplicative composition* of n, denoted by $\alpha\vDash n$, is an ordered sequence $(\alpha_1,\alpha_2,\ldots,\alpha_l)$ of positive integers with $\alpha_1\cdot\alpha_2\cdot\cdots\cdot\alpha_l=n$ and $1\le l\le n$. In this case, l is called the *length of* α. Set $[\alpha]_m=[\alpha_1]_m[\alpha_2]_m\cdots[\alpha_l]_m$.

Lemma 7.7. *Let a be a positive integer. For any multiple n of a, we have*
(a) $\mu_m(n,a)=\sum_{\alpha\vDash\frac{n}{a}}(-1)^{l(\alpha)-1}[\alpha]_m$.
(b) $\mu_m(n,a)=\mu_m(ln,la)$ *for every positive integer* l.

Proof. (a) Note that the (n,a)th entry of $\zeta_m\mu_m$ equals

$$\sum_{a|d|n}\left[\frac{n}{d}\right]_m\mu_m(d,a)=\begin{cases}1 & \text{if } a=n,\\ 0 & \text{otherwise.}\end{cases}$$

If $a=n$, then the desired result obviously holds. Assume the the assertion holds for all multiples of a less than n. Then

$$\begin{aligned}\mu_m(n,a)&=-\sum_{\substack{a|d|n\\ d<n}}\left[\frac{n}{d}\right]_m\left(\sum_{\alpha\vDash\frac{d}{a}}(-1)^{l(\alpha)-1}[\alpha]_m\right)\\&=\sum_{\beta\vDash\frac{n}{a}}(-1)^{l(\beta)-1}[\beta]_m\quad(\text{letting }\beta=(\alpha_1,\ldots,\alpha_{l(\alpha)},n/d).\end{aligned}$$

(b) It follows from (a). □

Define $\mu_m(n)$ to be $\mu_m(n,1)$, $(n\ge1)$. From Lemma 7.7 it follows that

$$\mu_m(n,1)=\sum_{\alpha\vDash n}(-1)^{l(\alpha)-1}[\alpha]_m=\mu_m(nl,l),\ (\forall l\ge1).$$

For $m\ne1$, let us define another $\mathbb{N}\times\mathbb{N}$ matrix $\tilde{\zeta}_m$ by

$$\tilde{\zeta}_m(a,b)=\begin{cases}b(1-m^{\frac{a}{b}})\Psi^{\frac{n}{d}} & \text{if } b|a,\\ 0 & \text{otherwise.}\end{cases}$$

Let $\tilde{\mu}_m$ be the inverse of $\tilde{\zeta}_m$. Then we have the following lemma.

Lemma 7.8. *Let a be any positive integer. For any multiple n of a, we have*

$$\tilde{\mu}_m(n,a)=\frac{\mu_m(n,a)}{n(1-m)}\Psi^{\frac{n}{a}}.$$

Proof. The (n,a)th entry of $\tilde{\zeta}_m\tilde{\mu}_m$ equals

$$\sum_{a|d|n} d(1-m^{\frac{n}{d}})\Psi^{\frac{n}{d}}\circ\tilde{\mu}_m(d,a)=\begin{cases}\mathrm{id} & \text{if } a=n,\\ 0 & \text{otherwise.}\end{cases}$$

If $a=n$, then our assertion is trivial since $\tilde{\mu}_m(a,a)=\frac{1}{a(1-m)}\mathrm{id}$. Now, we assume the our assertion holds for all multiples of a less than n. Then

$$\begin{aligned} n(1-q)\tilde{\mu}_m(n,a) &= -\sum_{\substack{a|d|n\\ d<n}} d(1-m^{\frac{n}{d}})\Psi^{\frac{n}{d}}\circ\frac{1}{d(1-m)}\left(\sum_{\alpha\models\frac{d}{a}}(-1)^{l(\alpha)-1}[\alpha]_m\right)\Psi^{\frac{d}{a}}\\ &= \left(\sum_{\beta\models\frac{n}{a}}(-1)^{l(\beta)-1}[\beta]_m\right)\Psi^{\frac{n}{a}}. \end{aligned}$$

□

Let R be a torsion free Ψ-ring. For $x\in R$, let

$$\mathsf{M}^m(x):=(\mathsf{M}^m(x,n)_{n\in\mathbb{N}})=\left[\tilde{\mu}_m\left(((1-m^n)x^n)^T_{n\in\mathbb{N}}\right)\right]^T,$$

where the superscript T denotes the transpose of a matrix.

Remark 7.9. It should be noticed that $\mathsf{M}^m(x,n)$ is contained in $\mathbb{Q}\otimes R$, not in R, in general.

Hence, for all $n\geq 1$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \mathsf{M}^m(x,n) &= \sum_{d|n}\tilde{\mu}_m(n,d)((1-m^d)x^d)\\ &= \frac{1}{n(1-m)}\sum_{d|n}\mu_m(n,d)\Psi^{\frac{n}{d}}((1-m^d)x^d) \quad \text{(by Lemma 7.8)} \qquad (7.5)\\ &= \frac{1}{n}\sum_{d|n}\mu_m(d)\left[\frac{n}{d}\right]_m\Psi^d(x^{\frac{n}{d}}). \end{aligned}$$

Utilizing the relation $\tilde{\zeta}_m\mathsf{M}^m(x)^T=(((1-m^n)x^n)_{n\in\mathbb{N}})^T$, we can derive the following recursive formula for $\mathsf{M}^m(x,n)$:

$$\sum_{d|n} d\left[\frac{n}{d}\right]_m\Psi^{\frac{n}{d}}(\mathsf{M}^m(x,d))=[n]_m x^n,\ (n\geq 1).$$

Let R be an arbitrary λ-ring and let m be an arbitrary integer. For $r\in R$ and each positive integer n, let us define $\mathsf{M}^m(r,n)$. To do this, we first consider the free λ-ring generated by x, denoted by $F(x)$. It is easy to see that $F(x)=\mathbb{Z}[\lambda^k(x):k\geq 1]$ (for instance, see [1]). It is well known that $F(x)$ is isomorphic to $\Lambda_{\mathbb{Z}}$, the ring of symmetric functions over $\mathbb{Z}$ in $x_1,x_2,\ldots$, where the explicit isomorphism is given by

$$F(x)\to\Lambda,\quad \lambda^k(x)\mapsto e_k(x),\ (k\geq 1).$$

Let

$$\mathsf{M}^m(x,n)=\frac{1}{n}\sum_{d|n}\mu_m(d)\left[\frac{n}{d}\right]_m\psi^d(x^{\frac{n}{d}}),\ (n\geq 1). \qquad (7.6)$$

By definition it satisfies the recursive relation

$$\sum_{d|n} d \left[\frac{n}{d}\right]_m \psi^{\frac{n}{d}}(\mathsf{M}^m(x,d)) = [n]_m x^n, \ (n \geq 1).$$

Lemma 7.10. *Let $F(x)$ be the free λ-ring generated by x and m an integer. Then $\mathsf{M}^m(x,n) \in F(x)$ for each positive integer n.*

Proof. Recall that

$$n\mathsf{M}^m(x,n) = -\sum_{\substack{d|n \\ d<n}} d \left[\frac{n}{d}\right]_m \psi^{\frac{n}{d}}(\mathsf{M}^m(x,d)) + [n]_m \, x^n, \ (n \geq 1). \tag{7.7}$$

First, assume $1-m \neq 0$. To show that it is divisible by n, choose an arbitrary prime divisor p of n. Then

$$\begin{aligned} n(1-m)\mathsf{M}^m(x,n) &\equiv -\sum_{d|\frac{n}{p}} d(1-m^{\frac{n}{pd}})\psi^{\frac{n}{d}}(\mathsf{M}^m(x,d)) + (1-m^{\frac{n}{p}})x^n \pmod{p^{\mathrm{ord}_p(n)}} \\ &\equiv -\psi^p \left(\sum_{d|\frac{n}{p}} d(1-m^{\frac{n}{pd}})\psi^{\frac{n}{pd}}(\mathsf{M}^m(x,d)) \right) + (1-m^{\frac{n}{p}})x^n \pmod{p^{\mathrm{ord}_p(n)}} \\ &\equiv -\psi^p \left((1-m^{\frac{n}{p}})x^{\frac{n}{p}}) \right) + (1-m^{\frac{n}{p}})x^n \pmod{p^{\mathrm{ord}_p(n)}} \\ &\equiv (1-m^{\frac{n}{p}}) \left(x^n - \psi^p \left(x^{\frac{n}{p}} \right) \right) \pmod{p^{\mathrm{ord}_p(n)}} \\ &\equiv 0 \pmod{p^{\mathrm{ord}_p(n)}}. \end{aligned}$$

The last equivalence follows from the fact that

$$\mathsf{M}^0(x, p^{\mathrm{ord}_p(n)}) = \frac{1}{p^{\mathrm{ord}_p(n)}}(x^p - \psi^p(x^{\frac{n}{p}})) \in F(x) \quad \text{(by Lemma 2.8)}.$$

Consequently we have shown that $n(1-m)\mathsf{M}^m(x,n)$ is divisible by n and $1-m$. To see that it is divisible by $n(1-m)$, let $\bar{p}$ be a prime divisor of n and $1-m$. Let

$$n = \bar{p}^{\nu} n', \ d = \bar{p}^{\alpha} d', \ \text{and } 1-m = -\bar{p}^l k, \ \text{where } (n',\bar{p}) = (d',\bar{p}) = (k,\bar{p}) = 1.$$

Since $(1-m^{\frac{n}{d}}) = 1-(1+\bar{p}^l k)^{\bar{p}^{\nu-\alpha}\frac{n'}{d'}} \equiv 0 \pmod{\bar{p}^{\nu-\alpha+l}}$, the product of the right hand side of Eq.(7.7)) and $1-m$ is divisible by $p^{\nu+\alpha}$. Hence $n(1-m)\mathsf{M}^m(x,n)$ is divisible by $p^{\nu+\alpha}$ and thus we can conclude that it is divisible by $n(1-m)$.

If $m=1$, then $\sum_{d|n} \psi^{\frac{n}{d}}(\mathsf{M}^1(x,d)) = x^n$. By Möbius inversion formula, one gets that

$$\mathsf{M}^1(x,n) = \sum_{d|n} \mu(d)\psi^d(x^{\frac{n}{d}}), \ (n \geq 1),$$

which are obviously contained in $F(x)$ (see Lemma 2.8). This completes the proof. □

For any λ-ring R and $r \in R$, let $\pi : F(x) \to R$ be the λ-ring homomorphism determined by the condition $x \mapsto r$. Define $\mathsf{M}^m(r,n)$ by $\pi(\mathsf{M}^m(x,n))$. Let

$$\tau_R^m : \mathsf{W}^m(R) \to \mathsf{B}^m(R), \quad (a_n)_{n\in\mathbb{N}} \mapsto \left(\sum_{d|n} \mathsf{M}^m\left(a_d, \frac{n}{d}\right) \right)_{n\in\mathbb{N}}.$$

Theorem 7.11. *Let m vary the set of integers. Then we have*

(a) *For each λ-ring R, τ_R^m is a ring isomorphism satisfying $\Phi_R^m = \varphi_R^m \circ \tau_R^m$.*

(b) *The assignment $R \mapsto \tau_R^m$ induces a natural isomorphism*

$$\tau^m : \mathsf{W}^m \circ F_{\mathsf{Rings}}^{\lambda\text{-}\mathsf{Rings}} \to \mathsf{B}^m|_{\lambda\text{-}\mathsf{Rings}}.$$

Proof. (a) can be shown in the same way as in Theorem 7.1(a). To prove (b), let us observe that for a λ-ring homomorphism $f : R \to S$, the diagram

$$\begin{tikzcd}
\mathsf{W}^m \circ F_{\mathsf{Rings}}^{\lambda\text{-}\mathsf{Rings}}(R) \arrow[r, "\mathsf{W}^m \circ F_{\mathsf{Rings}}^{\lambda\text{-}\mathsf{Rings}}(f)"] \arrow[d, "\tau_R^m"'] & \mathsf{W}^m \circ F_{\mathsf{Rings}}^{\lambda\text{-}\mathsf{Rings}}(S) \arrow[d, "\tau_S^m"'] \\
\mathsf{B}^m|_{\lambda\text{-}\mathsf{Rings}}(R) \arrow[r, "\mathsf{B}^m|_{\lambda\text{-}\mathsf{Rings}}(f)"'] & \mathsf{B}^m|_{\lambda\text{-}\mathsf{Rings}}(S),
\end{tikzcd}$$

is commutative since

$$\begin{aligned}
\tau_S^m \circ \mathsf{W}^m \circ F_{\mathsf{Rings}}^{\lambda\text{-}\mathsf{Rings}}(f)((a_n)_{n\in\mathbb{N}}) &= \tau_S^m((f(a_n))_{n\in\mathbb{N}}) \\
&= \left(\sum_{d|n} \mathsf{M}^m(f(a_d), n/d) \right)_{n\in\mathbb{N}} \\
&= \left(f\left(\sum_{d|n} \mathsf{M}^m(a_d, n/d) \right) \right)_{n\in\mathbb{N}} \\
&= \mathsf{B}^m|_{\lambda\text{-}\mathsf{Rings}}(f) \circ \tau_R^m((a_n)_{n\in\mathbb{N}}).
\end{aligned}$$

Notice that the third equality follows from the fact that f is a λ-ring homomorphism. □

We close this section by remarking that $\overline{\tau}^{g(q)}$ and τ^m are compatible with induction and restriction. To be more precise, we have

Remark 7.12. (a) Let $g(q) \in \mathbb{Z}[q]$ and let R be an object of the category $\mathbb{Z}[q] \otimes \lambda$-Rings. Then $\overline{\tau}_R^{g(q)} \circ Ind_{r,R} = Ind_{r,R} \circ \overline{\tau}_R^{g(q)}$ and $\overline{\tau}_R^{g(q)} \circ Res_{r,R}^{\overline{\mathsf{W}}^{g(q)}} = Res_{r,R}^{\overline{\mathsf{B}}^{g(q)}} \circ \overline{\tau}_R^{g(q)}$ for all $r \geq 1$.

(b) Let $m \in \mathbb{Z}$ and let R be a λ-ring. Then $\tau_R^m \circ Ind_{r,R} = Ind_{r,R} \circ \tau_R^m$ and $\tau_R^m \circ Res_{r,R}^{\mathsf{W}^m} = Res_{r,R}^{\mathsf{B}^m} \circ \tau_R^m$ for all $r \geq 1$.

Acknowledgement Most of this work was completed while the author was visiting the Department of the University of British Columbia in 2012. He is very grateful to Professor Steph van Willigenburg for her hospitality during his stay at the University of British Columbia.

References

[1] M. F. Atiyah, D. O. Tall, Group representations, λ-rings and the J-homomarphism, Topology **8** (1969) 253-297.

[2] P. Cartier, Groupes formels associés aux anneaux de Witt généralisés, C. R. Acac. Sci. Paris, Sér. A-B **265** (1967), A129-A132.

[3] A. Dress, C. Siebeneicher, The Burnside ring of profinite groups and the Witt vector construction, Adv. Math. **70** (1988), 87-132.

[4] A. Dress, C. Siebeneicher, The Burnside ring of the infinite cyclic group and its relations to the necklace algebra, λ-rings, and the universal ring of Witt vectors, Adv. Math. **78** (1989), 1-41.

[5] J. Elliott, Witt-Burnside rings, Ph.D. Thesis, UC Berkley 2003.

[6] J. Elliott, Constructing Witt-Burnside rings, Adv. Math. **203** (2006), 319-363.

[7] M. Hazewinkel, Formal groups and applications, Academic Press, New York, 1978.

[8] M. Hazewinkel, Witt vectors. I (in Handbook of algebra. Vol. 6, 319-472), Handb. Algebr., 6, Elsevier/North-Holland, Amsterdam, 2009.

[9] H. H. Kim, K.-H. Lee, A family of generalized Kac-Moody algebras and deformation of modular forms, Int. J. Number Theory, **8** (2012), 1107-1131.

[10] D. Knutson, λ-rings and the representation theory of the symmetric group, Lecture notes in Mathematics 308, Springer-Verlag, 1973.

[11] I. G. Macdonald, Symmetric functions and Hall polynomials, Oxford Mathematical Monographs, second ed., Clarendon Press, Oxford University Press, New York, 1995.

[12] N. Metropolis, G.-C. Rota, Witt vectors and the algebra of necklaces, Adv. Math. **50** (1983), 95-125.

[13] Y. -T. Oh, R-analogue of the Burnside ring of profinite groups and free Lie algebras, Adv. Math. **190** (2005), 1-46.

[14] Y.-T. Oh, Necklace rings and logarithmic functions, Adv. Math. **205** (2006), 434-486.

[15] Y.-T. Oh, q-deformation of Witt-Burnside rings, Math. Z. **257** (2007) 151-191.

[16] Y.-T. Oh, Classification of the ring of Witt vectors and the necklace ring associated with the formal group law $X + Y - qXY$, J. Algebra **310** (2007), 325-350.

[17] Y.-T. Oh, Classification and decomposition of the Witt-Burnside ring and Burnside ring of a profinite group, Proc. London Math. Soc. (3) **104** (2012), 649-689.

[18] C. Reutenauer, On symmetric functions related to Witt vectors and the free Lie algebra, Adv. Math. **110** (1995), 234-246.

[19] T. Scharf, J.-V. Thibon, On Witt vectors and symmetric functions, Algebra Colloq. **3** (1996), 231-238.

[20] E. Witt, Zyklisch Körper und Algebren der Charakteristik p vom Grade p^m, J. Reine Angew. Math. **176** (1937), 126-140.

[21] D. Yau, Lambda-rings, World Scientific Publishing Co. Pte. Ltd., Hackensack, NJ, 2010.

Department of Mathematics, Sogang University, Seoul 121-742, Korea
E-mail address: `ytoh@sogang.ac.kr`